

Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care

Analysis of hospital-acquired diagnoses and their effect on case complexity and resource use

Final report

Suggested citation

Health Policy Analysis 2013, Analysis of hospital-acquired diagnoses and their effect on case complexity and resource use – Final report, Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care, Sydney.

Disclaimer

In accordance with Health Policy Analysis' policy, we are obliged to advise that neither Health Policy Analysis nor any employee nor sub-contractor undertakes responsibility in any way whatsoever to any person or organisation (other than the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care) in respect of information set out in this report, including any errors or omissions therein, arising through negligence or otherwise however caused.

Health Policy Analysis Pty Ltd Suite 102, 30 Atchison Street, St Leonards 2065 ABN: 54 105 830 920 Phone: +61 2 8065 6491 Fax: +61 2 8905 9151

Table of contents

1. C)verview	1
D	oata sources and concepts	4
Н	low well is COF reported by hospitals?	4
T	o what extent is the coding correct?	5
Н	low should the codes be interpreted?	5
٧	Vhat are the limitations of the COF?	6
٧	Vhat is the impact on AR-DRG assignment if hospital-acquired diagnoses are excluded?	6
V d	Vhat additional costs and/ or length of stay are associated with hospital-acquired liagnoses?	7
Ir	nplications for activity based funding (ABF)1	0
٧	Vhat is the potential for hospitals to use the COF for monitoring patient safety?1	2
V O	Vhat steps can be taken to improve the capture and/ or interpretation of hospital- Icquired diagnoses?1	2
2. Ir	ntroduction	4
Р	roject objectives1	4
S	tructure of report1	4
3. C	oata sources and issues	6
T	he Condition Onset Flag (COF)1	6
D	pata sets1	8
Li	imitations1	9
4. C	Characteristics of hospital-acquired diagnoses and associated episodes	0
E	pisodes with hospital-acquired diagnoses2	0
lr	nitial exclusions	5
C	Characteristics of hospital-acquired diagnosis codes2	5
Ir	nplications for analysis of the NHCDC2	9
5. Ir	npact of hospital-acquired diagnoses on AR-DRG assignment	3
6. Ir	npact on cost and length of stay	6
Ν	1ethods	6
C	Control variables	8
S	ample characteristics4	0
R	esults4	4
S	ector wide estimates	1
Арр	pendix 1: Descriptive statistics	3
App	oendix 2: NHCDC sample characteristics6	1

Appendix 3: Detailed results	67
Appendix 4: Methodological issues in estimating the impact on cost and length of stay of hospital-acquired conditions.	83
Literature on methods	83
Studies of national/state level hospital morbidity data	87

Acronyms

ADRG	Adjacent Diagnosis Related Group
APC	Admitted Patient Care (National Minimum Data Set)
APR-DRG	All Patient Refined Diagnosis Related Group (3M)
AR-DRG	Australian Refined Diagnosis Related Group
CCL	Complication and Comorbidity Level
CHADx	Classification of Hospital-acquired Diagnoses
COF	Condition Onset Flag
DRG	Diagnosis Related Group
GLM	Generalised Linear Model
HAI	Hospital-acquired infections
ICD-10-AM	International Classification of Diseases – 10th Revision – Australian Modification
ICD-9-CM	International Classification of Diseases – 9th Revision – Clinical Modification
MDC	Major Diagnostic Category
NHCDC	National Hospital Cost Data Collection
nmds	National Minimum Data Set
OLS	Ordinary Least Squares
PCCL	Patient Clinical Complexity Level

Executive summary

The Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (the Commission), working in collaboration with the Independent Hospital Pricing Authority (IHPA), engaged Health Policy Analysis to analyse hospital-acquired diagnoses and their effect on case complexity and resource use.

The Commission and IHPA are exploring ways in which data routinely collected by hospitals can be used towards improving safety and quality. One of the variables available in these data is a flag against each diagnosis for a patient, identifying which ones were pre-existing at the time of the patient's admission to hospital, and which ones arose during the hospital stay. This is known as the condition onset flag (COF), and has been collected in a standardised way on a national basis in Australia since 1 July 2008. The COF provides a basis for examining hospital-acquired diagnoses.

While it is acknowledged that the COF has limitations as a measure of quality of care, one of the purposes of this project is to explore its potential use. The specific issues examined in this study included:

- The completeness and accuracy with which public hospitals record the COF.
- The impact of excluding hospital-acquired diagnoses in assigning Australian-Refined Diagnosis Related groups (AR-DRGs) and, hence, the impact on the prices payable for certain services.
- The incremental impact of hospital-acquired diagnoses on costs and bed days that are incurred over and above the cost of uncomplicated care.

The analysis undertaken to address these questions referenced a range of technical concepts which are described in the box below. Key findings from this research include:

- The COF is reported by about 81% of public hospitals nationally. Reporting varies between states, ranging from 62% of New South Wales public hospitals to 98% of Victorian public hospitals. Use of the COF is highest among larger hospitals that provide the majority of public hospital services. However, its use is still relatively common (upwards of 78%) even in small rural and remote hospitals. Lower rates of reporting of the COF are found in specialist psychiatric and rehabilitation facilities.
- For hospitals reporting the COF, an estimated 10% of episodes have at least one hospital-acquired diagnosis reported, and for these there is an average 2.19 diagnoses flagged as hospital-acquired reported per episode.
- The exclusion of hospital-acquired diagnoses in determining each patient's AR-DRG changes a patient's AR-DRG in 3.1% of all patient episodes. One of the reasons that there is not a larger impact is that many of the hospital-acquired diagnosis codes do not have an impact on AR-DRG assignment (i.e. they are not in the list of codes that attract a complexity score greater than zero). Also, any procedures necessitated by treatment of hospital-acquired diagnoses cannot be identified as such, and therefore, remain in the reallocation process. If these procedures could be removed from AR-DRG assignment, the impact on AR-DRG assignment would be greater.

- There are many methodological challenges in analysing the impact of hospitalacquired diagnoses on cost and length of stay. These are explored in Appendix 4 of this report. The current project has provided an opportunity to develop enhanced approaches to analysing these data, compared with the existing literature, but limitations should be taken into account in interpreting the findings.
- Using data from the National Hospital Cost Data Collection (NHCDC) for 2011-12, the impact of the presence of hospital-acquired diagnoses on cost and length of stay was explored for a subset of high volume and high priority conditions/ interventions that was identified by the Commission¹. It was found the costs for episodes with hospital-acquired diagnoses present were \$9,200 per episode higher on average than was the case for episodes without these conditions. The length of stay averaged 5.3 days longer. Many of these episodes have more than one hospital-acquired diagnoses present within a particular episode.
- Modelling at a more detailed level revealed that the cost and length of stay impacts vary significantly according to the type of hospital-acquired diagnosis. The total cost impact is a function of both the additional costs and the frequency with which particular types of hospital-acquired diagnoses occur. For example, there were relatively few instances of a foreign body being retained in a patient after a procedure, but each instance costs almost \$10,000. In contrast, pressure ulcers result in relatively low additional costs per episode (about \$1,850), but they are estimated to cost a total of \$11 million nationally due to their relatively high prevalence within the AR-DRGs modelled. Note that these estimates also relate to a single hospitalisation rather than ongoing costs of treatment.
- Modelling suggested that hospital-acquired diagnoses potentially explained between 12.0% and 16.5% of total costs within the sample hospitals for the high volume ADRGs analysed.

This analysis suggests that in setting priorities for improved patient safety, there needs to be sufficient attention on low cost but relatively common complications, such as hypotension, electrolyte disorders and urinary tract infections, as well as high cost hospital-acquired diagnoses.

There are four recommendations arising from the study. These are:

- 1. That the COF becomes part of routine auditing of clinical coding undertaken by states and territories.
- 2. That a system for grouping together related hospital 'events' (i.e. diagnoses and procedures) to assist in accurately counting hospital-acquired events, and the procedures that result from them be further pursued.
- 3. That further work on identifying false positives (i.e. complications that are not hospitalacquired but are flagged as such) and false negatives (i.e. complications that are most certainly hospital-acquired but are not flagged as such) in the APC NMDS be

¹ The list of conditions/interventions and the criteria by which they were selected are in Table 9, page 31.

undertaken. The work should involve clinicians as well as reviewing the rich literature on these issues.

4. COF coding be extended to capture complications arising during care provided at another facility or during a preceding admission (e.g. an infection acquired during a same day surgical procedure or a fall in a previous sub- or non-acute admission requiring a 'type change' to acute).

Overview

This Chapter provides a more detailed summary of the project methodology and results.

The Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (the Commission) engaged Health Policy Analysis to analyse hospital-acquired diagnoses and their effect on case complexity and resource use.

This project is of joint interest to the Commission and the Independent Hospital Pricing Authority (IHPA). Responding to submissions received by IHPA in its consultation around the Pricing Framework, the Commission and IHPA created a Joint Working Party for Safety and Quality in Health Care (JWP) to consider options on the most appropriate approaches for ensuring safety and quality in the provision of health care services under the new funding reforms of the National Health Reform Agreement (NHRA).

On 1 July 2012, a national system of activity based funding (ABF) was introduced under the NHRA for the funding of public hospital services in Australia. For acute admitted patient care, the system is based on weights (National Weighted Activity Units or NWAUs) developed for Australian Refined Diagnosis Related Groups (AR-DRGs). The resulting weight for each patient episode is then multiplied by the National Efficient Price, which will ultimately be used to determine the Commonwealth component of funding to public hospitals.

The payment system does not incorporate an explicit quality dimension. All episodes are included in the development of the NWAUs, and all episodes in scope are paid for, which includes the entire spectrum of quality of care delivered.

Internationally, there have been efforts in recent years to adjust hospital funding based on the quality of care provided by the hospital. A variety of approaches has been used by different countries and payers.

The Commission and IHPA jointly commissioned a systematic review of the literature on this issue. It found no material impact on the outcomes of care of the introduction of payment systems incorporating a quality component. However, it did find evidence for the impact of providing relevant and timely data and information for driving safety and quality improvements.

The Commission and IHPA are now undertaking further work on ways in which data routinely generated by hospitals can be used towards improving safety and quality. One of the variables available in these data is a flag against each diagnosis for a patient identifying which ones were pre-existing at the time of the patient's admission to hospital, and which diagnoses arose during the hospital stay. This is known as the condition onset flag (COF), and has been collected in a standardised way on a national basis in Australia since 1 July 2008.

It is acknowledged that the COF has limitations as a measure of quality of care. Quality in the context of health care is defined as "the degree to which health services for individuals and populations increase the likelihood of desired health outcomes and are consistent with current professional knowledge" (Institute of Medicine, 2013). Quality of care encompasses dimensions such as timeliness and clinical appropriateness. The main contribution of COF is as a measure of safety; that is, flagging adverse events (see discussion below under 'What is the potential for hospitals to use the COF for monitoring patient safety?').

Concepts used in this report

Condition onset flag (COF): A flag to indicate whether a diagnosis reported for an admitted episode of care had an onset during the episode or otherwise. A COF is assigned to each diagnosis reported for each patient for an episode of care. It is assigned to the principal as well as additional diagnoses. The principal diagnosis code is always assigned a flag of <u>not</u> noted as arising during the episode of admitted patient care. The exception to this is neonates in their admitted birth episode where codes sequenced as the principal diagnosis may be assigned as having an onset during the episode of admitted patient care, if appropriate.

COF diagnoses: Diagnoses flagged as having an onset during the episode of admitted patient care.

Hospital-acquired diagnoses: Synonymous with COF diagnoses, that is, diagnoses flagged as having an onset during the episode of admitted patient care.

Principal diagnosis: The diagnosis established after study to be chiefly responsible for occasioning an episode of admitted patient care.

Additional diagnoses: Conditions or complaints either coexisting with the principal diagnosis or arising during the episode of admitted patient care.

Australian Refined Diagnosis Related Groups (AR-DRGs): A method of grouping acute admitted episodes into clinically meaningful, resource homogenous categories. Episodes are assigned to AR-DRGs based on an algorithm that first partitions episodes into MDCs, which in turn is based on the principal diagnosis assigned to the episode. The version of AR-DRGs used in this report is 6.0x. There are 708 AR-DRGs in this version.

Major Diagnostic Categories (MDCs): These represent body systems or conditions, broadly reflecting specialties providing care.

Adjacent Diagnosis Related Groups (ADRGs): These are groupings of procedures and conditions within an MDC. They are the next level of grouping following MDC, and preceding AR-DRGs. There are 399 ADRGs in the version 6.0x AR-DRG classification.

Complication and Co-morbidity Level (CCL): To determine the severity level of an episode to assign it to the appropriate AR-DRG within an ADRG, additional diagnoses are analysed. Each additional diagnosis attracts a Complication and Comorbidity Level (CCL). The level assigned may range from 0 to 4. An assignment of a CCL level of 0 means that the additional diagnosis is not recognised as a complication or comorbidity (CC) for the purposes of AR-DRG assignment. Of the approximately 19,500 valid ICD-10-AM diagnosis codes, just over 3,000 are recognised as CCs for neonates for AR-DRG version 6.0x (i.e. have a CCL value of greater than zero) and just under 3,000 codes are recognised as CCs for all other patients.

Patient Clinical Complexity Level (PCCL): The combined effect of each CCL for each additional diagnosis is then determined using an algorithm to calculate what is known as the PCCL. The PCCL is a summary of the combined impact of each additional diagnosis reported to a single episode of care with a CCL of greater than 0. PCCL will have a value of between 0 and 4. Depending on the ADRG (i.e. whether or not it is split into severity levels), the PCCL will be used to allocate episodes to more or less complicated AR-DRGs.

Severity level in AR-DRGs: ADRGs may be split further based on the severity level assigned to the episode (i.e. the PCCL score). In version 6.0x, 148 ADRGs have a single severity level, indicated by a 'Z' suffix of the corresponding AR-DRG. The remainder may be split into two or three severity levels indicated by an 'A', 'B' or 'C' suffix on the AR-DRG.

International Classification of Diseases – 10th Revision – Australian Modification (ICD-10-AM): This is a system used to represent diagnoses assigned to each patient, as abstracted from their medical record. The system is hierarchical in that codes assigned can be grouped up in various ways (e.g. major conditions such as stroke), ultimately up to chapters representing body systems or major events (such as childbirth and the perinatal period).

Classification of Hospital-Acquired Diagnoses (CHADx): A system for grouping of hospital-acquired diagnoses developed by researchers at the Australian Centre for Economic Research on Health at the University of Queensland, with funding from the Commission. The CHADx groups the 4,500 ICD-10-AM codes identified as hospital-acquired into a hierarchical set of 17 classes, with 145 sub-classes. Examples include post-procedural complications; adverse drug events; accidental injuries; specific infections; and metabolic disorders.

Data cleaning algorithm: An algorithm enabling cleaning of COF in patient morbidity data, particularly identifying false positives and some false negatives, developed by Jackson et al. (2009). The algorithm also groups up related diagnoses into 'events' using the rules for sequencing of codes specified in the Australian Coding Standards.

Australian Classification of Healthcare Interventions (ACHI): This forms part of the ICD-10-AM suite, and is used to represent procedures undergone by patients during their admitted episode.

Australian Coding Standards: This also forms part of the ICD-10-AM suite, and is a guide to professional clinical coders on the diagnoses and procedures to abstract for each episode, and how to represent them using an ICD-10-AM or ACHI code. It also includes instructions on sequencing codes to represent related events (e.g. the organism responsible for an infection follows the infection/ infectious site).

Hospital peer groups: Groupings of hospitals based on similarity in size and/ or complexity and/ or specialist role. The peer hospital groups referred to in this report are the ones developed by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW), published in Australian hospital statistics.

Overall, understanding the interaction of the effects of ABF and the Commission's efforts to achieve national standards for safety and quality is important in the initial years of ABF implementation in Australia.

The specific questions that were raised by this study are as follows:

- 1. How well is COF reported by hospitals?
- 2. To what extent is the coding correct?
- 3. How should the codes be interpreted?
- 4. What are the limitations of the COF?
- 5. What is the impact on AR-DRG assignment if hospital-acquired diagnoses are excluded?
- 6. What additional costs and/ or length of stay are there associated with hospitalacquired diagnoses?

An additional question, although not specifically the target of this study, is:

7. What is the potential for hospitals to use the COF for monitoring patient safety?

Lastly, in answering the questions above, another question is:

8. What are the limitations of the COF, and what steps can be taken to improve its capture and/ or interpretation?

These are examined in turn.

Data sources and concepts

Before proceeding to outline the findings in relation to each of the above questions, it is important to outline the data that were used for this analysis, and the concepts that are referred to throughout this report.

The data sets used for analysis for this project are the Admitted Patient Care (APC) National Minimum Data Set (NMDS) and the National Hospital Cost Data Collection (NHCDC). The reasons for using both of these collections are that:

- The APC NMDS provides the full range of variables associated with hospital 'activity' (i.e. relating to the events of each episode of admitted care) in all hospitals within Australia.
- The NHCDC provides costs for episodes of care.

Concepts referred to throughout this report are outlined in the text box in the Overview.

How well is COF reported by hospitals?

The analysis in this report showed that since it was introduced as a national standard in 2008, reporting of the COF has improved over time. Overall, 81% of public hospitals reported COF in 2011-12, an increase on 74% in 2009-10. Public hospitals are reporting it more consistently than private hospitals (about 60% of private hospitals reported COF in 2010-11).

COF reporting was generally higher amongst the larger hospitals, that is, ones grouped to the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) hospital peer groups of: Principal referral (A1), Specialist women's and children's (A2), Major city (B1), Major regional and remote (B2), Medium Group 1 (C1) and Medium Group 2 (C2). The proportion of hospitals reporting COF was lower for hospitals with a designated sub-acute role. However, episodes with a care type of sub-acute or non-acute (which often are reported for acute hospitals) generally had more COF diagnoses than acute episodes (e.g. 21.5% of rehabilitation episodes had at least one COF diagnosis reported compared with 8.8% of acute episodes in 2011-12).

Following this exploratory analysis it was decided to limit the analysis relating to the impact on AR-DRG assignment and on length of stay and cost to:

- Public hospitals reporting COF in AIHW peer groups A1 to C2. The reasons for this were that the scope of this project was limited to public hospitals at the outset; and cost data (which is required for analysing the cost impact of hospital-acquired diagnoses), was predominantly available for these peer groups.
- Financial year 2011-12. This year was selected as it is the latest year for which both activity and cost data are available. After analysis, this year was shown to have better quality COF reporting than the previous two years.
- Episodes with a care type of acute and newborn care (the latter with 'qualified' days). The reason for selecting these was that it is not clear with other care types,

which generally have a longer length of stay, whether the hospital-acquired diagnoses result from the fact that they stay longer.

One issue that was not examined was the extent to which the breadth of coding at each hospital reflected their reporting of the COF. This is an extensive piece of work requiring analysis of COF reporting by the number of diagnoses reported by episode, standardised by AR-DRG (and potentially age group). Systematically recording less additional diagnoses per episode may under-estimate the number of hospital-acquired conditions.

Another related issue, not examined in this report, is the use of non-specific codes in favour of more specific ones within each ICD-10-AM category. An example is the use of G97.9 *Postprocedural disorder of nervous system, unspecified*, rather than any one of:

- G97.0 Cerebrospinal fluid leak from spinal puncture
- G97.1 Other reaction to spinal and lumbar puncture
- G97.2 Intracranial hypotension following ventricular shunting
- G97.8 Other postprocedural disorders of nervous system

This impacts on the collection of information on hospital-acquired diagnoses as it does not identify the specific complication. This may occur due to the skills and experience of the clinical coder, and potentially also the time that they have to code a record, as well as the documentation that is available to them. Hospitals capturing fewer codes are also more likely to have under-coding of the COF.

To what extent is the coding correct?

The quality of COF coding cannot easily be ascertained through data available in the APC NMDS. An algorithm enabling cleaning of data, particularly identifying false positives and some false negatives², has been developed by Jackson et al. (2009) and could be used in improving coding of the COF. The COF has not traditionally been part of routine clinical coding audits undertaken by states and territories. If the COF is to be used to guide quality and safety efforts, it is recommended that it forms part of these audits.

Recommendation

1. That the COF becomes part of routine auditing of clinical coding undertaken by states and territories.

How should the codes be interpreted?

The ICD-10-AM system, which is used to represent patient diagnoses in the admitted patient data set, is structured in a way that sometimes more than one code is required to adequately describe a health issue. Also, at other times, multiple concepts are captured within a single code. Therefore, one hospital-acquired event can have multiple codes associated with it, and one code may represent a component of a condition that is hospital-

² False positives are diagnoses that are flagged as hospital-acquired but it is certain that they were present on admission (e.g. neoplasms). False negatives are diagnoses not flagged as hospital-acquired, when it is certain that they arose subsequent to admission (e.g. codes related to child birth).

acquired, and a component that is not. In the analysis presented in this report, the data cleaning algorithm referred to above was used to group together hospital-acquired diagnoses that are likely to be part of the same event. This is necessary for any system of counting hospital-acquired diagnoses. A 'straight-out' count would misrepresent (i.e. over specify) the number of hospital-acquired events.

What are the limitations of the COF?

Conditions acquired during hospitalisation are not necessarily conditions that can be prevented, and do not necessarily imply that the care provided to a patient was suboptimal (Pronovost, Goeschel, & Wachter, 2008). This study has considered the impact of all hospital-acquired conditions, rather than a subset of conditions.

Some hospital-acquired conditions relate to complications of the primary conditions leading to the hospital admission, rather than hospital care itself. For example, patients admitted to hospital with subarachnoid haemorrhage will sometimes suffer from vasospasm (delayed cerebral ischaemia) usually days after the original haemorrhage. This will typically result in the need for additional procedures and drugs, and adds significantly to cost and length of stay. Vasospasm is a complication of the original condition and there is little evidence that the nature of hospital treatment impacts the likelihood of a patient experiencing this complication.

However, many hospital-acquired conditions have been shown to be amenable to a reduction in their rates (Umscheid et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 1995; Berenholtz et al., 2011; Pronovost et al., 2006).

The COF is applied to diagnoses in the context of a single episode of care. This means that the condition onset may not be picked up in certain circumstances, such as where a patient is admitted to hospital as a result of a complication arising from treatment at another hospital or a preceding admission (e.g. following an episode of same day surgery after which the patient went home but needed to be readmitted as a result of a hospital-acquired infection, or the patient had a fall during sub- and non-acute episode, after which they are typechanged to acute).

The COF is also applied to diagnoses and not to procedures. The full clinical impact of hospital-acquired diagnoses cannot be ascertained without also identifying the procedure(s) or other interventions that the patient had to undergo as a result of a complication.

What is the impact on AR-DRG assignment if hospitalacquired diagnoses are excluded?

The analysis undertaken for this project included regrouping of episodes to AR-DRGs once diagnoses flagged as onset during the hospital stay were removed. Overall, there was a change in AR-DRG for 3.1% of episodes. This was made up of 0.2% episodes being grouped to another ADRG, and 2.9% of episodes changing severity level within an ADRG block. Therefore, close to 97% of episodes did not result in a changed AR-DRG once the diagnosis(es) being reported as onset during the hospital stay was removed. There are a number of reasons for this:

- a. Of the 708 AR-DRGs in version 6.0x, 148 (21%) only have a single severity level (i.e. those with a 'Z' suffix).
- b. Of the approximately 19,500 valid ICD-10-AM diagnosis codes, just over 3,000 codes are recognised as complications that may impact AR-DRG assignment for neonates and just under 3,000 are recognised as such for all other patients. Also, although an additional diagnosis might be recognised as potentially affecting AR-DRG assignment, its severity level is overwritten with a zero if 1) the diagnosis is part of the definition of the ADRG (e.g. multiple trauma); 2) it is closely related to the principal diagnosis; or 3) it is already in the record (i.e. duplicate code).
- c. Procedures are retained in the re-assignment process, whether or not they are necessitated by a hospital-acquired diagnosis. Procedures determine AR-DRG assignment in 364 of the 708 (51%) AR-DRGs in version 6.0x. With the current data collection specifications and standards used in Australia (and comparable countries), it is not possible to directly link the provision of a particular procedure with any diagnosis(es), including those that are acquired during a hospital stay. Therefore, an implicit assumption in this analysis (and prior analyses of similar data (e.g. Jackson, Nghiem, Rowell, Jorm, & Wakefield, 2011, Fuller, McCullough, Bao, & Averill, 2009, Zhan & Miller, 2003) is that procedures are not related to diagnoses that were flagged as hospital-acquired (except in the case of tracheostomy and ventilation procedures to specific diagnoses, this would require considerable investment in conceptualising and researching the issue, and in developing relevant data standards (including education in applying these).

In short, there are several limitations of examining the effect of hospital-acquired conditions on case complexity and AR-DRG grouping. The classification system is not sensitive to factoring in secondary diagnoses (whether pre-existing or hospital-acquired)³. In addition, only the removal of *conditions* is possible. Procedures that are the result of a hospitalacquired diagnosis are not specifically identified.

What additional costs and/ or length of stay are associated with hospital-acquired diagnoses?

Across the sample of high volume and high priority conditions/interventions identified by the Commission, the mean incremental impact of the presence of any COF diagnosis was estimated to be \$9,244 and 5.3 days. The median incremental cost impact was estimated to be \$6,710. Many of these episodes have more than one hospital-acquired diagnosis reported, so these estimates reflect the combined impact of all hospital-acquired conditions present within a particular episode.

Several models were estimated examining the incremental costs of selected groups of conditions (Table 1). These varied from between \$2,000 and \$3,000 (e.g. metabolic disorders and haematological disorders) up to over \$6,000 (e.g. the post-procedural complications,

³ Note that this is potentially not desirable for some additional diagnoses. That is, preventable hospitalacquired diagnoses should not lead to assignment to an AR-DRG with a higher level of severity, which in turn attracts a higher payment under ABF.

specific infections, and nervous system complications). The largest incremental costs are estimated for the specific infections which add an estimated \$7,615 per episode.

Costs of specific hospital-acquired conditions were also estimated. These estimates revealed a number of conditions with a very high cost impact per episode. For some of these conditions (sepsis, gas embolism and complications of transplants), the number of occurrences in the sample was very low. Estimates were suppressed in these instances. Table 2 shows the estimated impact of other conditions with a high cost per episode impact. These ranged from between \$9,208 for methicillin resistant agent to \$15,032 for injury due to assault. The numbers of episodes with these conditions was between 17 and 967. As a consequence, the total cost impact of these conditions was not always very high relative to other conditions.

In contrast there were a number of other conditions which had relatively lower cost per episode impacts, but because there were larger numbers of the episodes, the total cost impact was very high. These are also shown in Table 2. Total cost impacts of these conditions ranged from \$10.9 million for pressure ulcers (1,866 episodes) to \$27.4 million for electrolyte disorders without dehydration (9,808 episodes). As has been pointed out elsewhere (Jackson et al., 2011), this perspective suggests that in setting priority for safety initiatives, it may be beneficial to consider conditions that have a relatively low cost impact on the individual episode of care, but may be very common.

	Episode _ with COF diagnosis	Estimates of incremental impact of hospital acquired conditions on:				
Hospital acquired conditions		Co	st:	Length of stay:		
grouped to.		Mean effect	Median effect	Mean effect	Median effect	
	n	\$	S	days	days	
Post-procedural complications	14,686	6,330	4,856	2.8	2.1	
Adverse drug events	6,853	3,771	2,593	2.7	1.9	
Accidental injuries	2,556	4,792	3,247	4.7	3.3	
Specific infections	2,001	7,615	5,338	4.5	3.7	
Cardiovascular complications	19,732	3,071	2,542	1.4	1.1	
Respiratory Complications	9,299	5,465	4,438	2.4	1.8	
Gastrointestinal Complications	12,337	3,237	2,769	2.3	1.8	
Skin Conditions	5,495	4,701	4,170	3.3	2.7	
Genitourinary Complications	10,757	3,648	3,052	2.4	2.0	
Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	3,293	2,583	2.1	1.5	
Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	49	2,200	481	3.0	0.6	
Haematological Disorders	6,375	2,763	1,662	1.2	0.8	
Metabolic Disorders	15,684	2,595	1,939	1.5	1.3	
Nervous System Complications	1,948	6,363	6,113	2.8	2.6	
Other Complications	13,880	2,435	1,899	1.9	1.4	

Table 1 – Estimates of incremental impact of major COF diagnoses groups on cost and length of stay, selected ADRGs, NHCDC, 2011-12

Table 2 – Estimates of incremental impact of selected COF diagnoses on cost and length of s	tay,
plus estimated total cost impact, selected ADRGs, NHCDC, 2011-12	

	Episodes with COF diagnoses	Impact on cost (mean)	Total cost estimate	Impact on length of stay (mean)
	n	\$	\$m	days
Selected hospital acquired conditions with high cost per episod	de impact			
Injury due to assault	87	\$15,032	\$1.3	3.9
Disruption of wound	649	\$12,200	\$7.9	5.3
Post-procedural disorders: Respiratory system	967	\$10,604	\$10.3	2.5
Foreign body or substance left following procedure	17	\$9,821	\$0.2	1.6
Anaphylactic shock due to correct drug properly administered	68	\$9,447	\$0.6	2.8
Methicillin resistant agent	123	\$9,208	\$1.1	3.6
Selected hospital acquired conditions with high total cost impa	ict			
Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration	9,808	\$2,797	\$27.4	1.1
Cardiac arrythmias, conduction disturbances & abnormal hear	8,566	\$2,335	\$20.0	3.7
Urinary tract infection	3,449	\$4,950	\$17.1	0.9
Hypotension	9,331	\$1,735	\$16.2	0.8
Acute lower respiratory infections (incl influenza & pneumoni	2,742	\$5,710	\$15.7	2.6
Pressure Ulcers	1,866	\$5,892	\$11.0	2.8

Table 3 – Estimates of incremental impact of COF diagnoses on cost and length of stay, By ADRG, NHCDC, 2011-12

Adjacent DBC.	Total	% with	Overnight enisodes	Estimsates of incremental impact of presence of COF on:		
i		diagnosis	in sample	Cost (mean)	Length of stay (mean)	
	n	%	n	\$	days	
Total sample	406,401	17%	342,230	\$9,244	5.3	
B02 Cranial Procedures	8,194	49%	8,153	\$26,450	9.3	
B70 Stroke and Other Cerebro-vascular Disorders	22,052	21%	21,140	\$8,436	6.3	
E62 Respiratory Infections/ Inflam-mations	51,920	15%	49,199	\$8,659	4.7	
E65 Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease	36,428	13%	34,516	\$7,006	4.4	
F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Inves.	1,683	74%	1,682	\$13,825	3.9	
F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Inves.	3,771	72%	3,769	\$9,661	2.8	
F07 Other Cardio-thoracic/Vascular Proc. W CPB Pump	866	72%	865	\$21,991	5.9	
F10 Intervent-ional Coronary Procedures W AMI	9,631	27%	9,593	\$3,031	1.6	
F14 Vascular Procedures Except Major Reconstr. W/O CPB Pump	9,107	19%	7,283	\$9,304	5.6	
F41 Circulatory Disorders W AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inves. Proc.	6,312	19%	6,163	\$3,481	2.2	
F42 Circulatory Disorders W/O AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inve. Proc.	27,254	10%	14,858	\$3,248	2.1	
F62 Heart Failure and Shock	26,303	19%	25,289	\$6,154	4.8	
F74 Chest Pain	70,609	2%	40,151	\$2,491	1.9	
G02 Major Small and Large Bowel Procedures	10,758	55%	10,596	\$17,421	8.0	
H08 Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy	21,178	14%	20,527	\$3,195	2.3	
103 Hip Replacement	11,630	51%	11,625	\$4,156	2.6	
IO4 Knee Replacement	10,470	40%	10,460	\$2,564	1.7	
I31 Hip Revision	1,327	59%	1,327	\$7,919	3.2	
168 Non-surgical Spinal Disorders	32,860	8%	21,010	\$7,331	6.3	
N04 Hysterectomy for Non-Malignancy	8,268	24%	8,244	\$2,734	1.5	
U61 Schizophrenia Disorders	21,178	10%	21,178	\$22,725	24.7	
U63 Major Affective Disorders	14,602	12%	14,602	\$16,754	14.2	

Estimated impacts vary across ADRGs. For example, the mean incremental cost of the presence of any COF diagnosis for episodes in the chest pain ADRG was \$2,491, but for the cranial procedures ADRG it was \$26,450.

Overall, the modelling suggests that hospital-acquired conditions potentially account for between 12% and 16.5% of total costs within the sample, and 11.6% and 15.9% of costs across all hospitals for the selected conditions. Across all acute episodes assigned to the selected ADRGs, the incremental cost of hospital-acquired conditions was estimated to be between \$634 million and \$896 million. To place this estimate in context, total expenditures for public hospitals were \$40,384 million in 2011-12, of which an approximated \$28,000 million (70%) related to admitted patients (2013a).

Limitations to these estimates should be emphasised. A particular challenge is endogeneity bias. This is the potential that length of stay (which is closely correlated with cost) may increase the probability that a hospital-acquired condition will occur, in addition to the hospital-acquired condition causing longer length of stay and higher costs. This particular issue has not been addressed in similar studies of large national samples of hospital data, and it was beyond the scope of this study to develop methods to address this issue. Endogeneity bias may result in inflated estimates of the impact of hospital-acquired conditions. Endogeneity bias is likely to vary across different types of hospital-acquired conditions, which is one of the reasons approaches to this issue were not feasible within the scope of this project. At the extremes, procedural related conditions are less likely to be impacted by this problem, but conditions commonly associated with long lengths of stay (e.g. pressure ulcers), may be more likely to be impacted.

A further limitation is that the differences in the quality of coding of diagnoses and the COF may impact the estimates. This problem may result in under-estimates of the impact of hospital-acquired conditions.

Finally, it is important to re-emphasise that hospital-acquired conditions may not all be preventable. Some hospital-acquired conditions relate to complications of the primary condition leading to the hospital admission, rather than hospital care itself. Other hospital-acquired conditions may be able to be significantly reduced, but not entirely eliminated.

The results of this study are not directly comparable with previous studies due to the focus on a specific sample defined by specific conditions of interest which had been determined by the Commission. One previous Australian study estimated that hospital-acquired conditions add 17.3% to treatment costs (Jackson et al., 2011), which is slightly higher than the range estimated for the current project. Factors that may contribute to differences include that the Jackson et al. study included maternity services, which have a relatively higher rate of reported hospital-acquired conditions, and that there were variables introduced to control for differences between the complicated and uncomplicated patient episodes. An earlier study (Ehsani, Jackson, & Duckett, 2006) estimated that adverse events accounted for 18.6% of the total inpatient hospital budget in Victorian hospitals in 2003-04.

Implications for activity based funding (ABF)

On 1 July 2012, a national system of ABF was introduced for the funding of Australian hospitals. For acute admitted patient care, the system is based on weights (National Weighted Activity Units or NWAUs) developed for AR-DRGs, including adjustments for a range

of factors, such as patients staying much shorter or longer than expected within a DRG. The resulting weight for each patient episode is then multiplied by the National Efficient Price, which will ultimately be used to determine the Commonwealth component of funding to public hospitals.

The payment system does not incorporate a specific quality dimension – all episodes are included in the development of the NWAUs, and all episodes in scope are paid for, which includes the entire spectrum of quality of care delivered.

Internationally, there have been efforts in recent years to adjust hospital funding based on the quality of care provided by the hospital. A variety of approaches has been used by different countries and payers. One of these approaches is to identify any component of care provided to an admitted patient that was suboptimal (i.e. resulting in an adverse event or the patient acquiring a condition that could have been prevented with better care), and to withhold or reduce payment for that component.

The Commission and IHPA jointly commissioned a systematic review of the literature on this issue. The review found evidence for material impact on the outcomes of care of the introduction of payment systems incorporating a quality component was lacking. The review suggested there was evidence for the impact of providing relevant and timely data and information for driving safety and quality improvements.

It is this finding which has led the Commission and IHPA to commission the current study. Understanding the interaction of the effects of ABF and the Commission's efforts to achieve national standards for safety and quality is important, at least in these initial years of ABF implementation in Australia.

This study provides some insights into the implications of approaches that attempt to include a quality dimension into the ABF systems. The broad approaches available include:

- Excluding hospital-acquired complications in the AR-DRG assignment, so that they do not impact on the patient's DRG (including changing this to a higher cost DRG in a small proportion of episodes). This means that the price payable to the hospital would reflect the average costs of providing care across episodes complicated by hospital-acquired conditions and those with no hospital-acquired conditions. This approach involves changes only to the AR-DRG assignment processes, but otherwise the setting of prices by IHPA would be undertaken in an identical fashion to present. Under this approach, hospitals with lower than average hospital-acquired diagnoses would receive the same funding as hospitals with higher than average numbers of hospital-acquired diagnoses. This study has indicated that taking this approach might have a range of impacts on NWAUs, including the base weights applied, but also how short and long stay outliers are identified and weighted.
- Excluding a subset of hospital-acquired complications in the AR-DRG assignment. A variation on the first approach is that a subset of hospital-acquired conditions could be ignored in the AR-DRG assignment, where there is good evidence concerning the preventability of the complications. This is effectively the model adopted by US Medicare.

• Excluding the costs of hospital-acquired complications, in calculating the NWAU for each AR-DRG. This means that the price payable to hospitals would reflect the average costs of providing uncomplicated care and the prices would not incorporate the additional costs of managing hospital-acquired conditions. This approach requires estimation and exclusion of costs associated with hospital-acquired complications. This study indicates that in taking this approach, up to 15% of costs would be excluded in calculating prices, if the costs of all hospital-acquired conditions were excluded. A lower proportion would apply if the approach was taken to a subset of hospital-acquired conditions where there was good evidence concerning preventability. The study also highlights that there are significant methodological challenges that impact the estimates of hospital-acquired conditions on cost. Having a stable and well-validated basis for excluding costs would be necessary prior to implementation.

What is the potential for hospitals to use the COF for monitoring patient safety?

Although the primary objective of this project was to assess the impact of hospital-acquired diagnoses on length of stay and cost, it is worth mentioning the value of this work for monitoring patient safety at the local level. The use of the COF for this purpose offers the health care system an efficient means of monitoring these issues, as it uses data collected for other purposes to screen for quality and safety issues. Other similar systems that are used within the Australian health care system are the Commission's core, hospital based outcome indicators (CHBOI) and Queensland's variable life adjusted display (VLAD) system (although the former is applied as a global indication of safety). Vincent et al. (2008) assert that the health system move "away from unsystematic voluntary reporting towards systematic measurement". They advocate "a broad but manageable spectrum of indicators that are genuinely useful to the clinical teams that monitor quality and safety day to day", using "local data that are relevant to clinical concerns . . . how a team is doing compared with last month and last year" (cited in Jackson, Michel, Roberts, Jorm, & Wakefield, 2009, p. 544). The COF lends itself to this.

Another application of analysing hospital-acquired conditions is to prioritise quality and safety efforts. For example, Jackson et al. (2011) found that common, often neglected, conditions such as urinary tract infections, add considerably to the costs of inpatient care in addition to the detrimental effect to the patient. The identification and quantification of common hospital-acquired conditions can help to prioritise initiatives to areas that have a large impact.

What steps can be taken to improve the capture and/ or interpretation of hospital-acquired diagnoses?

An important piece of work would be to determine the extent to which COF reporting is impacted by depth of clinical coding at each hospital and/ or at a state/ territory level. That is, there are known differences in the comprehensiveness of coding amongst hospitals and amongst states and territories. The differences between the public and private sectors are particularly marked. The specific question is: Are the number of COF diagnoses reported by any one hospital as a result of hospital-acquired events, or the extent to which the hospital tends to capture diagnoses, as compared with other hospitals for similar patients?

As described above, an algorithm exists for grouping hospital-acquired 'events' as opposed to counting single diagnoses codes. This relies on codes being sequenced as per the *Australian Coding Standards* (National Casemix and Classification Centre, 2012). However, standards are only available for some code combinations and not others. Also, the extent to which this is followed by coders is not known. This could potentially lead to multiple counts of what is actually a single hospital-acquired event (Michel, Nghiem, & Jackson, 2009). In addition, as mentioned earlier, procedures are currently not identified as having arisen due to a hospital-acquired event (i.e. versus those planned on admission or indicated at the point of admission by the patient's diagnosis(es) occasioning the admission). A system whereby ICD-10-AM diagnoses and procedure codes can be related to one another in the routine data collection would assist in addressing these issues.

Recommendation

2. That a system for grouping together related hospital 'events' (i.e. diagnoses and procedures) to assist in accurately counting hospital-acquired events, and the procedures that result from them be further pursued.

The data cleaning algorithm currently only identifies false positives (i.e. diagnoses that are not hospital-acquired but are flagged as such) (e.g. congenital conditions or cancers). False negatives (conditions that are most certainly hospital-acquired but are not flagged as such) are identified only for obstetric and perinatal events, as indicated by the specified ICD-10-AM codes, whether or not a coder has flagged them as such. There is rich literature on this, particularly in the United States. A potential issue with this is that the COF currently flags as hospital-acquired only those diagnoses that arose during an episode of care. It could be envisaged that separate codes might be used to flag conditions acquired during or as a result of a previous admission. In any case, further work could be done on identifying false positives and false negatives, and using this as an additional data quality check and/ or for coder education.

Recommendation

- 3. That further work on identifying false positives (i.e. complications that are not hospitalacquired but are flagged as such) and false negatives (i.e. complications that are most certainly hospital-acquired but are not flagged as such) in the APC NMDS be undertaken. The work should involve clinicians as well as reviewing the rich literature on these issues.
- 4. COF coding be extended to capture complications arising during care provided at another facility or during a preceding admission (e.g. an infection acquired during a same day surgical procedure or a fall in a previous sub- or non-acute admission requiring a 'type change' to acute).

Introduction

Project objectives

The Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (the Commission) engaged Health Policy Analysis Pty Ltd to analyse hospital-acquired diagnoses and their effect on case complexity and resource use.

This project is of joint interest to the Commission and the Independent Hospital Pricing Authority (IHPA). Responding to submissions received by IHPA in its consultation on the 2012 Draft Pricing Framework, the Commission and IHPA created a Joint Working Party for Safety and Quality in Health Care (JWP) to consider options on the most appropriate approaches for ensuring safety and quality in the provision of health care services under the funding reforms of the National Health Reform Agreement 2011. This project is of specific interest to this group.

The principal objective of this project, as requested by the Commission and IHPA, is "to analyse hospital-acquired diagnoses and their effect on case complexity and resource use" through the following steps:

- i. analyse the impact on selected DRGs of excluding hospital-acquired diagnoses
- ii. examine the impact of hospital-acquired diagnoses on costs and bed days
- iii. report on the findings, making appropriate and relevant recommendations for future activity.

Hospital-acquired diagnoses are indicated by the COF. This is a flag that clinical coders assign to each diagnosis for each admitted episode of care to indicate whether the diagnosis was present on admission or acquired during the hospital stay. It was introduced into the Admitted Patient Care (APC) National Minimum Data Set (NMDS) on 1 July 2008, although some states (e.g. Queensland and Victoria) were already collecting it prior to it becoming a national standard.

Conditions acquired during hospitalisation are not necessarily conditions that can be prevented, and do not necessarily imply that the care provided to a patient was suboptimal (Pronovost et al., 2008). However, many hospital-acquired conditions have been shown to be amenable to a reduction in their rates (Wilson et al., 1995; Berenholtz et al., 2011; Pronovost et al., 2006).

Structure of report

This report is structured as follows:

Chapter 3 defines the COF, and introduces the data sets analysed for this project and their limitations.

Chapter 4 describes the nature of the COF diagnosis codes that are reported in the national data, and high level analysis of the characteristics of episodes within which these are

reported. It then introduces the exclusion criteria designed to focus the remaining analyses on the issues of relevance for this project.

Chapter 5 examines the impact of the change to AR-DRG assignment once hospitalacquired diagnoses are removed from each episode of care.

Chapter 6 shows the results of the impact of the presence of COF diagnoses on cost and length of stay (within the selected AR-DRGs), and estimates the impact of specific groups of COF diagnoses.

Appendix 1 shows descriptive statistics of the COF according to a number of dimensions (e.g. by state/ territory, hospital peer group).

Appendix 2 shows the characteristics of the NHCDC sample.

Appendix 3 shows detailed results.

Appendix 4 details the model specifications for the results shown in Chapter 4: Impact on cost and length of stay, including a review of the literature on methods.

Data sources and issues

This Chapter defines the COF, and introduces the data sets analysed for this project and their limitations.

The Condition Onset Flag (COF)

A COF is assigned to each diagnosis reported for each patient for an episode of care indicating whether the diagnosis arose during the episode or otherwise. Reporting of the COF⁴ was agreed as a national standard from 1 July 2008. Several jurisdictions had already implemented reporting this flag (or a similar version) prior to that date.

The COF data element in the national standard is represented by three values. These are described in Table 4.

Table 4 – National standard for the representation of the COF

⁴ METeOR identifier 496512.

Value	Description	Definition
2	Condition not noted as arising during the episode of admitted patient care	 A condition present on admission, such as the presenting problem, a comorbidity or chronic disease. Includes: Conditions that have not been documented at the time of admission, but clearly did not develop after admission (e.g. newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus, malignancy and morphology). A previously existing condition that is exacerbated during the current episode of admitted patient care (e.g. atrial fibrillation, unstable angina). Conditions that are suspected at the time of admission and subsequently confirmed during the current episode of admitted patient care (e.g. atrial fibrillation, unstable angina). Conditions that are suspected at the time of admission and subsequently confirmed during the current episode of admitted patient care (e.g. pneumonia, acute myocardial infarction (AMI), stroke, unstable angina). Conditions impacting on obstetric care arising prior to admission (e.g. venous complications, maternal disproportion). For neonates, this also includes the condition(s) in the birth episode arising before the labour and delivery process (e.g. prematurity, birth weight, talipes, clicking hip). Disease status or administrative codes not arising during the episode of admitted patient care (e.g. history of tobacco use, duration of pregnancy, colostomy status). Outcome of delivery (Z37) and place of birth (Z38) codes.
9	Not reported	 The COF could not be reported due to limitations of the data management system.

Source: 2013b

The following guide for use is also provided:

"Assign the relevant COF value only to ICD-10-AM codes assigned in the principal diagnosis and additional diagnosis fields for the National Hospital Morbidity Database collection.

Sequencing of ICD-10-AM codes must comply with the Australian Coding Standards and therefore codes should not be re-sequenced in an attempt to list codes with the same COF values together.

The principal diagnosis code is always assigned COF 2. The exception to this is neonates in their admitted birth episode in that hospital where codes sequenced as the principal diagnosis may be assigned COF 1 if appropriate.

For neonates, where a condition in the admitted birth episode is determined to have arisen during the birth event (i.e. labour and delivery process), these conditions should be considered as arising during the episode of admitted patient care and assigned COF 1.

When a single ICD-10-AM code describes multiple concepts (i.e. a combination code) and any concept within that code meets the criteria of COF 1, assign COF 1.

When it is difficult to decide if a condition was present at the beginning of the episode of care or if it arose during the episode, assign a COF 2.

Explanatory notes:

The COF value assigned to external cause, place of occurrence and activity codes should match that of the corresponding injury or disease code. Injuries which occur during the admitted episode of care but not on the hospital grounds (e.g. hospital in the home (HITH)) should be assigned COF 1 as 'arising during the episode of admitted patient care'.

The COF value assigned to morphology codes should match that on the corresponding neoplasm code.

The COF value on Z codes related to the outcome of delivery on the mother's record (Z37), or the place of birth on the baby's record (Z38) should always be assigned COF 2.

The COF value on aetiology and manifestation (dagger and asterisk) codes should be appropriate to each condition and therefore the dagger and asterisk codes may be assigned different COF values.

An episode of admitted patient care includes all periods when the patient remains admitted and under the responsibility of the health care provider, including periods of authorised leave and HITH. Where diagnoses arising during this period meet the criteria for ACS 0002 Additional diagnoses, coders should apply the COF Guide for use instructions and assign COF 1 if appropriate. Unauthorised leave does not fall under the responsibility of the health care provider and conditions arising during this time should be assigned COF 2.

Where an admission has multiple admitted patient episode 'care type' changes (e.g. acute to rehabilitation), COF assignment should be relevant to each episode. A condition arising in an episode should be assigned COF 1. If care for that condition continues in subsequent episodes those conditions should be assigned COF 2.

(2013b)

Data sets

The data sets used for analysis for this project are the Admitted Patient Care (APC) National Minimum Data Set (NMDS) and the National Hospital Cost Data Collection (NHCDC). The reasons for using both of these collections are that:

- The APC NMDS provides the full range of variables associated with hospital 'activity' (i.e. relating to the events of each episode of admitted care) in all public and private hospitals within Australia, and incorporating all care types (i.e. acute, newborn, sub-and non-acute, etc.).
- The NHCDC provides costs for episodes of care. Although the collection also captures costs for other episodes other than acute, the costs for acute episodes are the most robust due to their long history (on a national level, the collection has been going for more than 15 years) and investments by states and territories and the Commonwealth (e.g. in the development of 'feeder' systems and relative value units).

Limitations

There are several characteristics of the available national data set related to admitted patient care (APC and NHCDC) which limit the capacity to analyse COF diagnoses and their consequences. These include:

- The NHCDC is a voluntary collection, and therefore, not all hospitals reporting to the APC NMDS have corresponding records in the NHCDC. The representativeness of the NCHCDC has been estimated against the APC NMDS in this report.
- The later years are reported to have better quality of COF assignment than the previous years. This was also explored, and documented in this report.
- The NHCDC data set has 30 diagnoses, whereas the APC has 100. However, IHPA merges these data sets and generally uses the diagnoses reported in the APC for analysis purposes. There are some records in which the NHCDC items do not match the APC items. However, these have a minimal impact in this analysis.
- There are ICD coding version changes between 2009-10 and 2010-11 (2009-10 uses 6th edition, and 2010-11 and 2011-12 use 7th edition). In this report, the focus is on the 2011-12 data. Where previous years' data has been used, this is for showing the level of COF coding rather than attempting to map individual ICD-10-AM diagnoses over time. Therefore, this has not been a problem for the analysis in this project.

Characteristics of hospital-acquired diagnoses and associated episodes

This Chapter provides an initial profile of episodes in which diagnoses are reported with onset occurring after admission (COF diagnoses), and the nature of the COF diagnosis codes themselves. This analysis was conducted initially using the full APC NMDS, and the scope was then narrowed to reflect the objectives of this project.

Episodes with hospital-acquired diagnoses

Reporting of the COF in the APC NMDS was analysed according to a range of variables, including state/territory, care type, peer group, day only/overnight status and elective/non elective admission. These analyses are presented in Appendix 1. The analysis was conducted using three years of data, from 2009-10 to 2011-12. Initial analysis sought evidence that hospitals were reporting COF, and the level of reporting that was occurring. While data is summarised and presented at the state/territory level, the analysis was undertaken at the hospital level.

The initial analysis revealed the following:

- Reporting by the public sector and the private sector was examined. Data was not available for private hospitals for 2011-12 for several jurisdictions (New South Wales, South Australia, Western Australia, Tasmania, Northern Territory, and the Australian Capital Territory). In three jurisdictions (Victoria, Western Australia, Australian Capital Territory), all private hospitals were reporting COF in 2010-11. In a further three jurisdictions (Queensland, South Australia, Tasmania), around 60-70% of private hospitals were reporting COF. In NSW and NT, no private hospitals were reporting COF. Across the private sector for 2010-11, around 3.8% of episodes had at least one COF diagnosis reported. The jurisdiction with highest level of reporting was Victoria, with 7.0% of episodes reporting the COF in private hospitals. Private hospitals were excluded for all further analyses, as the focus of this project was public hospitals.
- Within the public sector, there were just over 700 hospitals reporting to the APC NMDS. The proportion of hospitals reporting at least one episode with a COF diagnosis increased from 74% in 2009-10 to 81% in to 2011-12. In 2011-12, these hospitals accounted for 91% of all public sector episodes.

- Reporting of the COF has increased overall from 6.2% of episodes in public hospitals in 2009-10 to 8.3% of episodes in 2011-12.
- Increases in reporting have mainly related to New South Wales hospitals. The number of public hospitals reporting COF in New South Wales has increased from 96 to 130 over the three years. While 96 hospitals reported COF diagnoses in 2009-10, the level of reporting for that year was very low (with only 1.9% of episodes having a COF assigned in those hospitals). In subsequent years, the reporting level increased to approach the levels seen in other states.
- There have also been increases in numbers of hospitals reporting COF in Queensland and Tasmania.
- When restricted to hospitals which are reporting at least one episode with a COF, the proportion of episodes with COF diagnoses has increased from 7.5% of episodes in 2009-10 to 9.1% in 2011-12 (see Figure 1). There have been increases in reporting for all states and territories, except the Northern Territory.



Figure 1 – Percentage of episodes within hospitals reporting COF, where at least one COF diagnosis is reported, public hospitals, 2009-10 to 2011-12

This analysis shows some of the differences in reporting at the jurisdiction level. However, as will be discussed below (see p. 26), it is important to consider the quality of reporting at the individual hospital level. Hospitals not reporting the COF were excluded from the subsequent analysis.

A range of other characteristics was investigated to identify particular issues that would assist in focussing the analysis. These are described below.

Hospital peer group

Hospitals were examined with respect to the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare's (AIHW's) hospital peer groups (Figure 2). Reporting is generally lower for smaller hospitals and for hospitals with a designated sub-acute role.

A decision was taken to focus the analysis on episodes within hospitals with a peer group of A1- C2 (i.e. Principal referral (A1), Specialist women's and children's (A2), Major city (B1), Major regional and remote (B2), Medium Group 1 (C1) and Medium Group 2 (C2)). These hospitals account for 93% of episodes in public hospitals (Figure 3). One of the key factors influencing the decision to focus on this subset of hospitals was that the analysis of costs, reported later in this report (based on the NHCDC), requires costing data reported at the patient level. Generally the smaller hospitals (e.g. in the 'D' peer groups) do not report patient level costing data, and therefore were excluded from further analysis.



Figure 2 – Proportion of hospitals reporting COF by by AIHW hospital peer group, public hospitals reporting COF, 2011-12



Figure 3 – Total number of episodes by AIHW hospital peer group, public hospitals reporting COF, 2011-12

Care type

Levels of reporting by care type were reviewed. The analysis revealed that levels of reporting of COF diagnoses were much higher for sub and non-acute episodes. This is shown in Figure 4. A possible explanation for this is that these episodes are generally much longer, and hence the likelihood of a new problem emerging during the stay is higher. While there are interesting issues to be explored with respect to sub and non-acute episodes, this project focussed on acute episodes.



Figure 4 – Proportion of episodes with at least one COF diagnosis reported by care type, public hospitals reporting COF, 2011-12

Acute episodes include those with a care type of 'acute' and 'newborn'. Episodes with a care type of 'newborn' are grouped into those where 'qualified days' are reported, and those where there are no 'qualified days' reported. In the costing of episodes, the costs of unqualified newborns are bundled with the costs of the mother. The associated costs of unqualified newborns are generally considered to be relatively minor. As a consequence, a usual practice is to exclude these episodes from analysis. However, it is worth noting that some unqualified newborns do have COF diagnoses reported (around 3,000 episodes in 2011-12). These were reported in public hospitals in all states and territories, although rates of reporting were higher in some jurisdictions. Further investigation revealed that these rates may interact with the probability that a newborn episode is reported with qualified days. Hospitals in some states have slightly lower proportions of newborns reported with qualified days than would be expected using national rates. After considering all options, it was decided to exclude unqualified newborns from the analysis of the NHCDC episodes.

Day only and admission status

Reporting of COF was examined by whether the episode occurred as a day only or overnight stay. Overnight episodes in this context are defined as episodes with at least an overnight hospital stay. As shown in Figure 5, 0.9% of day only episodes and 17.4% overnight episodes had a COF diagnosis reported. Day only episodes account for 50.3% of the episodes within the hospitals included in the analysis.

The categories were further split by whether the admission status was 'emergency', 'planned' or 'other'. Overall, episodes with an emergency admission status had a higher proportion of episodes with at least one COF diagnosis compared with planned episodes (10.2% vs. 6.0%). Episodes with the 'other' category for admission status have the highest proportion (13.1%). (This is partially explained by the fact that obstetric admissions, which generally have a larger

number of COF diagnoses reported, are typically allocated to the 'other' category.) When split by day only and overnight, an interesting observation is that for overnight episodes, a lower proportion of episodes with 'emergency' admission status have at least one COF compared with 'planned' episodes (13.4% vs. 20.5%). This was not expected, and warrants further investigation.



Figure 5 – Proportion of episodes with at least one COF diagnosis reported, by day only/overnight status and admission status, public hospitals reporting COF with peer group A1-C2, 2011-12

This analysis suggests that there are potentially important differences between day only and overnight episodes with respect to diagnoses being flagged as hospital-acquired. These differences may diminish once other factors are taken into account (e.g. assignment to AR-DRG). Rather than excluding day only episodes from the analysis, an alternative approach is to control for this factor in modelling the impact of COF diagnoses on costs.

Similarly, the analysis suggests that admission status may be an important factor to control for in the model specification for modelling the impact of COF diagnoses on length of stay and cost, which is reported later in this report.

Initial exclusions

Based on the analysis conducted above, it was decided to apply a number of exclusions for the next phase of the analysis. The exclusions agreed with the project team were:

- Private hospitals were excluded from the analysis due to the fact that the primary focus on this review was public hospitals.
- The analysis was focussed on 2011-12. This was because overall reporting rates of COF by hospitals were better for that year (which is the most current year of data available) than previous years.
- Hospitals that did not report the COF were excluded from the analysis.
- The analysis was focussed on episodes with an acute care type plus episodes with a newborn care type reporting qualified days. This was due to the fact that other care types generally have much longer lengths of stay, and therefore, issues may arise for patients during the hospital stay because of the longer length of stay rather than the care provided.
- The analysis was focussed on hospitals with an AIHW hospital peer groups of: A1, A2, B1, B2, C1 or C2. This was for the reasons in relation to length of stay and the availability of cost data.

These exclusions have been applied in the analyses presented in Table 5, Table 6, and Table 7. These initial exclusions were also applied with respect to the analysis of the NHCDC (see discussion below).

Characteristics of hospital-acquired diagnosis codes

The analysis presented above examined the characteristics of episodes of care in which hospital-acquired diagnoses were reported. Further analysis was undertaken on the nature of hospital-acquired diagnosis codes reported in the APC NMDS in 2011-12. This analysis also examined the implications of applying a data cleaning algorithm originally proposed by Jackson et al. (2009).

Many of issues with the interpretation of COF diagnoses have been previously highlighted in the literature (Jackson, Michel, Roberts, Shepheard, et al., 2009; Jackson, Michel, Roberts, Jorm, et al., 2009; Michel et al., 2009). These issues motivated the development of the data cleaning algorithm (Jackson, Michel, Roberts, Shepheard, et al., 2009) and a system for classifying hospital-acquired-diagnoses known as the Classification of Hospital-Acquired Diagnoses (CHADx) (Jackson, Michel, Roberts, Jorm, et al., 2009; Michel et al., 2009). Particular elements of the data cleaning algorithm include:

- Removing **false positives**, that is, diagnoses that are assigned a flag that are clearly implausible. An example is codes related to neoplasms, which are likely to have been present on admission.
- Flagging **false negatives**, that is codes are flagged as present on admission, when it is certain that these codes arose subsequent to admission. An example is

complications arising during child birth. However, only false negatives identified by the Jackson et al. (2009) data cleaning algorithm relate to obstetrics and neonates.

Reducing double counting of codes. Some conditions/events require several codes to properly describe the relevant event or diagnosis. Examples include post procedural complications, injury and adverse effects due to treatment and hospital-acquired infections (Michel et al., 2009). While there are national standards to guide how coders should sequence the recording of these additional codes (i.e. the *Australian Coding Standards*, National Casemix and Classification Centre, 2012), there remains room for ambiguity in the interpretation in some instances. Also, some ICD-10-AM codes represent two concepts within one code, of which one concept may be present on admission and the other arising following admission. An example is E10.64 Type 1 diabetes mellitus with hypoglycaemia (Jackson, Michel, Roberts, Shepheard, et al., 2009). There are coding conventions in some of these situations that allow for two codes to be recorded, one of which may be flagged as occurring after admission. However, there are a number of areas where interpretation is potentially ambiguous (Jackson, Michel, Roberts, Shepheard, et al., 2009).

The data cleaning algorithm was applied to the 2011-12 APC (and subsequently the NHCDC data).

Hospital-acquired diagnosis codes were also grouped into classes to illustrate some specific issues in their interpretation. This also helps to make sense of the large number of individual diagnosis codes flagged as hospital-acquired. (In the analysis presented below, there were approximately 1.3 million ICD-10-AM codes flagged as hospital-acquired.)

While there are several systems that have been developed around the world for grouping COF diagnoses (mostly in the United States using the ICD-9-CM classification), these have a number of limitations (Jackson et al., 2013, under review). One major limitation is that the classifications are not comprehensive. That is, they focus on particular conditions, and not all COF diagnoses. The Classification of Hospital-Acquired Diagnoses (CHADx) was developed to address these limitations, and is also based on ICD-10-AM. It was developed by researchers at the Australian Centre for Economic Research on Health at the University of Queensland with funding from the Commission. The CHADx identifies 4,500 ICD-10-AM codes as being hospital-acquired. It groups these into a hierarchical set of 17 classes, with 145 subclasses (2013). Examples include post-procedural complications; adverse drug events; accidental injuries; specific infections; and metabolic disorders.

Frequency of reported COF diagnoses codes

As an initial step, the frequency of COF diagnoses was explored together with the frequency of diagnoses after the application of the data cleaning algorithm. This analysis was conducted at the level of 'diagnosis codes' rather than episodes. (Note that several diagnoses are usually reported for a single episode.)

There was also an interest in understanding the potential impact of COF on AR-DRG assignment. To provide an initial understanding of this impact, the Complication and Comorbidity Level (CCL) of each diagnosis was assessed (based on Australian Refined Diagnosis Related Groups (AR-DRGs) version 6.0x, the version in which the data have been costed through the NHCDC). Diagnosis codes with a CCL of greater than 0 (implying that they could potentially impact AR-DRG assignment) were then identified. The text box below provides further description of the AR-DRG assignment process.

Assignment of Australian Refined Diagnosis Related Groups (AR-DRGs)

Episodes of admitted patient care are assigned to AR-DRGs based on an algorithm, which firstly involves assigning episodes to **Major Diagnostic Categories (MDCs)** based on the patient's principal diagnosis (i.e. the diagnosis that, after study, is found to be chiefly responsible for the patient's admission to hospital). There is also a 'pre-MDC' category, which partitions out episodes that have undergone major procedures (high cost and complex), regardless of the principal diagnosis (e.g. complex organ transplants and tracheostomy). Within MDCs, episodes are than assigned to **Adjacent DRGs (ADRGs)**. These are groupings of procedures (for patient's having undergone a procedure in a surgical suite or operating theatre) or conditions (for patients not having undergone a procedure). There are 399 ADRGs in the version 6.0x classification.

ADRGs may be split further based on the severity level assigned to the episode, which in turn is based on the analysis of additional diagnoses. One hundred and fifty-six ADRGs have a single severity level, indicated by a 'Z' suffix added to the corresponding AR-DRG. The remainder may be split into two or three severity levels indicated by an 'A', 'B' or 'C' suffix on the AR-DRG.

To determine the severity level of an episode to assign it to the appropriate AR-DRG within an ADRG, additional diagnoses are analysed. Each additional diagnosis attracts a Complication and Comorbidity Level (CCL). The level assigned may range from 0 to 4. An assignment of a CCL level of 0 means that the additional diagnosis is not recognised as a complication or comorbidity (CC) for the purposes of AR-DRG assignment. Of the approximately 19,500 valid ICD-10-AM diagnosis codes, just over 3,000 are recognised as CCs for neonates in AR-DRG version 6.0x (i.e. have a CCL value of greater than zero) and just under 3,000 codes are recognised as CCs for all other patients. The analysis in Table 5, shows the number of additional diagnoses with a CCL greater than 0, and the number of COF diagnoses with a CCL greater than 0. It is only these diagnosis codes which will have an impact on AR-DRG assignment.

Although an additional diagnosis might be recognised as a CC, the severity level is overwritten with a zero if 1) the CC is part of definition of the ADRG (e.g. multiple trauma); 2) it is closely related to the principal diagnosis; or 3) it is already in the record (i.e. duplicate code).

The combined effect of each CCL for each additional diagnosis is then determined using an algorithm to calculate what is known as the Patient Clinical Complexity Level (PCCL). The PCCL, is in effect, a summary of the combined impact of each additional diagnosis reported to a single episode of care with a CCL of greater than 0. PCCL will have a value of between 0 and 4. Depending on the ADRG (i.e. whether or not it is split into severity levels), the PCCL will be used to allocate episodes to more or less complicated AR-DRGs.

Table 5 shows the resulting frequencies of diagnosis codes grouped by ICD Chapter, using the APC NMDS. The Table shows 9.87 million additional diagnoses⁵ codes reported. Of these, 1.28 million are coded with COF, indicating onset during the episode of admitted patient care, representing 12.9% of additional diagnosis codes. This reduction results from two factors: removal of false positive diagnoses, and grouping of related codes. When the data cleaning algorithm is applied, there are 0.88 million codes identified (a reduction of around 28%).

⁵ METeOR identifier: 514271, defined as "A condition or complaint either coexisting with the principal diagnosis or arising during the episode of admitted patient care, episode of residential care or attendance at a health care establishment, as represented by a code."

Table 5 – Additional diagnosis codes, COF diagnosis codes and diagnosis codes after application of) f
the data cleaning algorithm, by ICD Chapter, APC NMDS with initial exclusions applied, 2011-12	

	Total number	Additional	Total COF	COF after data cleansing	
ICD Chapter of COF diagnosis	diagnoses	with CCL > 0	diagnoses	Total	With CCL > 0
01 Certain Infectious and Parasitic Diseases	318,109	55,413	48,032	20,488	6,494
02 Neoplasms	509,458	143,935	671	-	-
03 Blood/Blood Forming Organs, Certain dis. inv. the Immune Mech.	169,540	94,233	40,646	38,465	20,634
04 Endocrine, Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases	614,461	271,158	97,513	76,474	38,082
05 Mental and Behavioural Disorders	338,389	89,469	15,997	14,598	8,693
06 Diseases of the Nervous System	128,020	45,083	9,960	7,880	2,008
07 Diseases of the Eye and Adnexa	41,238	223	4,976	3,046	8
08 Diseases of the Ear and Mastoid Process	21,908	580	1,131	933	19
09 Diseases of the Circulatory System	680,582	235,120	98,942	81,261	36,870
10 Diseases of the Respiratory System	238,803	141,964	48,317	39,642	29,311
11 Diseases of the Digestive System	393,566	81,419	49,023	40,552	14,927
12 Diseases of the Skin and Subcutaneous Tissue	136,594	75,911	27,347	23,290	10,399
13 Diseases of the Musculoskeletal System and Connective Tissue	151,878	12,196	13,410	8,437	521
14 Diseases of the Genitourinary System	445,362	172,394	36,164	31,480	23,763
15 Pregnancy, Childbirth and the Puerperium	480,905	87,236	198,230	184,772	20,103
16 Certain Conditions Originating in the Perinatal Period	93,909	12,215	19,921	64,539	8,518
17 Congenital Malformations, Deformities & Chromosomal Abnormalities	31,847	5,366	186	-	-
18 Symptoms, Signs and Abnormal Clinical and Laboratory Findings NEC	762,066	182,846	189,001	170,721	29,257
19 Injury, Poisoning & Certain Other Consequences of External Causes	493,209	122,590	84,393	65,755	49,366
20 External Causes of Morbidity and Mortality	1,189,574	-	145,444	1,821	-
21 Factors Influencing Health Status and Contact with Health Services	2,634,495	20,900	146,999	2,284	-
NA	2	-	-	-	-
Total	9,873,915	1,850,251	1,276,303	876,438	298,973
% of total addition diagnosis codes:	100.0%	18.7%	12.9%	8.9%	3.0%

Note: see 'Initial exclusions' (p. 25) for details of exclusions applied. Also excludes morphology codes.

Of all the additional diagnoses recorded, 1.85 million (18.7%) have been assigned a CCL of 1 or greater. Of the COF diagnoses, after the data cleaning algorithms are applied, 0.30 million additional codes have a CCL of 1 or greater, making up around 3.0% of all additional diagnoses.

In the application of the data cleaning algorithm, it can be seen that all codes related to neoplasms are excluded. Most diagnosis codes within the *External causes* and *Factors influencing health status* chapters (20 and 21) are also excluded. There is a substantial increase in the number of codes for the *Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period* chapter (16).

Codes were also grouped to the CHADx classes. This is shown in Table 6. The CHADx group with the largest number of diagnoses was 12 Labour, delivery & postpartum complications (20.9%), followed by 05 Cardiovascular complications (10.4%), 15 Metabolic disorders (9.0%), 17 Other complications (8.7%) and 01 Post-procedural complications (8.1%). Table 35 in the Appendix provides details of each individual CHADx class.
Major CHADx group	COF codes	COF codes after data cleansing	COF diagnoses with CCL > 0 after data cleansing	% of CHADx codes with CCL > 0
01 Post-procedural complications	70,825	70,825	62,962	89%
02 Adverse drug events	31,838	31,838	8,620	27%
03 Accidental injuries	13,407	13,407	1,088	8%
04 Specific infections	10,451	10,451	4,491	43%
05 Cardiovascular complications	90,931	90,931	32,977	36%
06 Respiratory Complications	45,009	45,009	26,387	59%
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	63,537	63,537	11,284	18%
08 Skin Conditions	29,118	29,118	10,281	35%
09 Genitourinary Complications	49,868	49,868	39,153	79%
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	28,854	28,854	8,676	30%
11 Early Pregnancy Complications	79	887	720	81%
12 Labour, Delivery & Postpartum Complications	134,521	182,750	18,499	10%
13 Perinatal Complications	17,576	64,539	8,518	13%
14 Haematological Disorders	31,536	31,536	13,870	44%
15 Metabolic Disorders	78,997	78,997	40,273	51%
16 Nervous System Complications	7,205	7,205	1,669	23%
17 Other Complications	76,686	76,686	9,505	12%
Total	780,438	876,438	298,973	34%

 Table 6 – Additional diagnosis codes, COF diagnosis codes and diagnosis codes after application of CHADx data cleaning algorithm, by CHADx group, APC with initial exclusions applied, 2011-12

Note: see 'Initial exclusions' (p. 25) for details of exclusions applied. Also excludes morphology codes.

Frequency of episodes in which COF diagnoses are reported

Another perspective is to consider the number of episodes in which a COF diagnosis is reported. Overall, across the APC NMDS (after exclusion criteria are applied), 9.2% of episodes have a COF reported. For these episodes there are on average 3.06 COF diagnosis codes reported per episode. After data cleaning, 10.0% of episodes have at least one COF diagnosis reported. For these episodes, an average of 2.19 COF diagnoses are reported. Episodes with a COF with CCL of 1 or greater account for 4.4% of episodes. This is shown in Table 7.

Table 7 – Episodes in which COF diagnoses, APC NMDS with initial exclusions applied, 2011-12

		Enisodes	Episodes with COF diagnoses, after data cleansing:				
	Total episodes	with COF diagnoses	Episodes	Episodes with CCL >0	Mean number of COF diagnoses per episode		
APC sample	4,532,066	417,114	454,559	197,674	2.19		
% of all episodes		9.2%	10.0%	4.4%			

Note: see 'Initial exclusions' (p. 25) for details of exclusions applied.

Implications for analysis of the NHCDC

The initial exclusions discussed earlier (see p. 25) were also considered appropriate for the analysis of the NHCDC. In particular:

• Excluding hospitals not reporting the COF was necessary for the analysis to be conducted.

- Patient level cost data (i.e. as opposed to cost modelled data), which was necessary for the analysis of the impact of COF on cost, was not available for the majority of private hospitals.
- Patient level cost was also not available for many hospitals outside the peer groups A1, A2, B1, B2, C1 or C2.
- While some patient level cost data is available for sub and non-acute episodes, its quality is mixed.

Table 8 shows that applying the exclusion criteria to the APC NMDS results in the identification of 194 public hospitals with 4.5 million episodes. Within the NHCDC, there were 157 hospitals that met these criteria (81% of the APC hospitals) and 3.9 million episodes (88% of the APC episodes). When analysed by state and territory, the NHCDC sample as a proportion of the APC ranges from around 77% of episodes to 100% of episodes.

Table 8 –National Hospital Cost Data Collection (NHCDC) hospitals and episodes as a proportion of the Admitted Patient Care (APC) NMDS, within initial exclusions applied, 2011-12

	Number of hospitals	Number of episodes '000
APC:	194	4,532
NHCDC:	157	3,984
NHCDC as percentage of APC:	81%	88%
NHCDC as percentage of APC by stat	te/territory	
NSW	96%	91%
Vic.	76%	82%
Qld	100%	99%
SA	35%	77%
WA	58%	79%
Tas.	100%	101%
NT	100%	100%
ACT	100%	100%
Australia	81%	88%

Note: see 'Initial exclusions' (p. 25) for details of exclusions applied.

The following chapter, which explores the impact of COF diagnoses on AR-DRG assignment, is based on analysis of the NHCDC data after applying the initial exclusion criteria discussed earlier (p. 25).

For the estimated impact of COF diagnoses on cost, the project was required to focus on a subset of conditions/procedures and associated AR-DRGs. These conditions and procedures, which were specified by the Commission at the outset of the project, were identified based on criteria including the volume of activity, the costs of activity and the current priority areas for the Commission. These conditions and associated AR-DRGs are shown in Table 9.

	Condition/procedure	Adjacent DRG
1	Respiratory Infection / Inflammation (pneumonia)	E62 Respiratory Infections/Inflammations
2	Schizophrenia Disorders	U61 Schizophrenia Disorders
3	COAD	E65 Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease
4	Hip Revision / Replacement	103 Hip Replacement
		I31 Hip Revision
5	Small & Large Bowel Procedure	G02 Major Small and Large Bowel Procedures
6	Major Affective Disorders	U63 Major Affective Disorders
7	Stroke	B70 Stroke and Other Cerebrovascular Disorders
8	Heart Failure & Shock	F62 Heart Failure and Shock
9	Knee Replace & Reattach	IO4 Knee Replacement
10	Craniotomy	B02 Cranial Procedures
11	Non-Surgical Spinal Disorders	168 Non-surgical Spinal Disorders
12	Laparscopic Cholecystectomy	H08 Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy
13	Chest pain	F74 Chest Pain
14	CABG Coronary Bypass W	F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Investigation
	Invasive Cardiac Investigation	F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Investigation
15	Cardiac catheterization	F41 Circ. Dis. W AMI W Invasive Cardiac Investigative Proc.
		F42 Circ. Dis. W/O AMI W Invasive Cardiac Investigative Proc.
16	Percutaneous coronary	F10 Interventional Coronary Procedures W AMI
	angioplasty and stenting	F07 Other Cardiothoracic/Vascular Procedures W CPB Pump
		F14 Vascular Proc. Except Major Reconstruction W/O CPB Pump
17	Hysterectomy for Non- Malignancy	N04 Hysterectomy for Non-Malignancy

Table 9 – Conditions and procedures for which cost and length of stay impacts of COF diagnoses were estimated

All NHCDC episodes were first regrouped to AR-DRG version 6.0x excluding the COF diagnoses (see discussion in next Chapter). Episodes grouped to the AR-DRGs shown in Table 9 above were then selected for the sample. Hospitals and episodes that did not meet the criteria discussed previously were also excluded from the sample (see p. 25). After applying these steps, there were 406,401 episodes remaining in the sample.

As shown in Table 10, of these episodes, 16.8% had at least one COF diagnosis reported (after data cleaning). Episodes with COF diagnosis had an average of 2.24 COF diagnosis codes per episode. For episodes assigned to the surgical/procedural AR-DRGs of interest, 29.5% had at least one COF diagnosis reported, with an average 2.49 COF diagnosis codes per episode. For episodes assigned to medical AR-DRGs of interest, 10.8% had at least one COF diagnosis, with an average of 1.91 COF diagnoses for these episodes.

Compared with the total APC dataset, the episodes analysed in this sample tend to have a higher level of reporting of COF diagnoses (16.8% vs. 10.0%) and a higher mean number of diagnoses with a COF of onset during the hospital stay per episode (2.24 vs. 2.19).

There was a wide level of variation between individual ADRGs. This could be a reflection of a combination of the complexity of the conditions and the length of stay. For example, over 70% of episodes with the Coronary bypass ADRGs (F05, F06) had at least one COF diagnosis reported, compared with 1.8% of episodes with Chest pain (ADRG F74). Chest pain episodes tend to have shorter lengths of stay and are assigned to this ADRG because the patient has not undergone a procedure.

While not shown here, episodes assigned to AR-DRGs with a higher level of complexity⁶ had a higher proportion of episodes with at least one COF diagnosis and a higher average number of COF diagnoses per episode.

Table 10 – Presence of COF diagnoses in episodes assigned to the ADRGs of interest to the Commission, NHCDC sample with initial exclusions applied, 2011-12

NHCDC sample	Total episodes	Episodes with COF diagnoses*	% of episodes	Mean COF diagnoses codes per episode*
	n	n	%	n
NHCDC episodes meeting initial inclusion criteria	3,984,379			
NHCDC episodes with selected AR-DRGs (All)	406,401	68,343	16.8	2.24
NHCDC episodes with selected Surgical /Procedural AR-DRGs	130,449	38,459	29.5	2.49
NHCDC episodes with selected Medical AR-DRGs	275,952	29,884	10.8	1.91
NHCDC episodes with selected Medical AR-DRGs, by adjacent DRG:				
B02 Cranial Procedures	8,194	4,004	48.9	3.17
B70 Stroke and Other Cerebrovascular Disorders	22,052	4,671	21.2	2.18
E62 Respiratory Infections/Inflammations	51,920	7,891	15.2	1.96
E65 Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease	36,428	4,718	13.0	1.78
F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Investigation	1,683	1,245	74.0	3.61
F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Investigation	3,771	2,720	72.1	3.36
F07 Other Cardiothoracic/Vascular Procedures W CPB Pump	866	624	72.1	3.83
F10 Interventional Coronary Procedures W AMI	9,631	2,576	26.8	1.90
F14 Vascular Proc. Except Major Reconstruction W/O CPB Pump	9,107	1,767	19.4	2.00
F41 Circ. Dis. W AMI W Invasive Cardiac Investigative Proc.	6,312	1,169	18.5	1.72
F42 Circ. Dis. W/O AMI W Invasive Cardiac Investigative Proc.	27,254	2,655	9.7	1.50
F62 Heart Failure and Shock	26,303	4,955	18.8	1.91
F74 Chest Pain	70,609	1,284	1.8	1.32
G02 Major Small and Large Bowel Procedures	10,758	5,917	55.0	3.24
H08 Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy	21,178	2,895	13.7	1.62
103 Hip Replacement	11,630	5,938	51.1	2.52
IO4 Knee Replacement	10,470	4,191	40.0	2.05
I31 Hip Revision	1,327	787	59.3	2.53
168 Non-surgical Spinal Disorders	32,860	2,588	7.9	1.86
N04 Hysterectomy for Non-Malignancy	8,268	1,971	23.8	1.70
U61 Schizophrenia Disorders	21,178	2,040	9.6	1.86
U63 Major Affective Disorders	14,602	1,737	11.9	1.94

* After application of data cleaning algorithm

⁶ That is, level A in ADRGs with a two level split, and level A and B in DRGs with a three level split. The only exception was that the level D AR-DRG in the Stroke ADRG (B70) had a slightly higher proportion of COF diagnoses and a higher average number of COF diagnoses per episode than the C level AR-DRG.

Impact of hospitalacquired diagnoses on AR-DRG assignment

This Chapter examines the impact of the change to AR-DRG assignment once hospitalacquired diagnoses are removed from each episode of care. This analysis illustrates one of the potential implications of implementation of a policy similar to that adopted by the US Medicare system, where potentially preventable complications are removed from DRG assignment (Pronovost et al., 2008).

The analysis conducted was focussed on the NHCDC sample, which included approximately 4 million episodes. While a pre-existing AR-DRG was available in the data, episodes were first regrouped to AR-DRG Version 6.0x, using all available data items. This was to ensure the changes did not reflect differences in the grouping process. The episodes were then regrouped removing the following data:

- a. All additional diagnoses with a COF indicating onset during the admitted episode of care (i.e. flag of '1'). Within the dataset, there were some principal diagnoses with a COF of 1. In these cases the original principal diagnosis was retained for grouping purposes. National coding standards indicate that principal diagnosis, other than for neonates, should not be assigned a COF of 1.
- b. All additional diagnoses flagged as false negatives by the data cleaning algorithm. (These related exclusively to obstetric and neonatal diagnoses). (Note that false positives were 'un-flagged', and therefore not removed from the data for regrouping purposes.)
- c. Procedures that result in episodes being allocated to tracheostomy and ventilation AR-DRGs (A06A, A06B, A06C and AO6D). This step was undertaken as a result of literature that indicates that many episodes with very significant hospital-acquired conditions are likely to end up in these AR-DRGs (e.g. see McNair, Borovnicar, Jackson, & Gillett, 2009). Removing these procedures ensured that episodes were allocated to AR-DRGs reflecting the removal of the COF diagnoses rather than being driven by the tracheostomy and ventilation procedures (which may or may not have been necessitated by the hospital-acquired condition).

The resulting AR-DRG assigned was compared with the original to determine whether there was a change in the Adjacent DRG (ADRG) or a change in DRG levels within the ADRG. Table 11 summarises the results. Overall there was a change in AR-DRG for 3.1% of episodes. Of these, 0.2% involved a change in ADRG and 2.9% of episodes involved a change in ADRG levels.

	Original	Original Regrouped AR-DRG level							
Change in AR-DRG:	AR-DRG level:	Error DRG	A	в	с	D	z	Total	%
No AR-DRG Change		5,521	408,486	1,320,581	344,186	21,645	1,766,261	3,866,680	96.9%
Adjacent DRG Change		160	5,322	2,192	682	94	965	9,415	0.2%
Change in AB DBC level	Α			63,603	5,371	6		68,980	1.7%
Change in AR-DRG level	В		3		45,639	262		45,904	1.2%
Total episodes:		5,681	413,811	1,386,376	395,878	22,007	1,767,226	3,990,979	100.0%
%		0.1%	10.4%	34.7%	9.9%	0.6%	44.3%	100.0%	

 Table 11 – Number episodes for which there was a change in AR-DRG following regrouping excluding condition onset diagnoses, NHCDC, 2011-12

The results show that overall, there was a change in AR-DRG for 3.1% of episodes. This was made up of 0.2% episodes being grouped to another ADRG, and 2.9% of episodes changing severity level within an ADRG block. Therefore, close to 97% of episodes did not result in a changed AR-DRG once the diagnosis(es) being reported as onset during the hospital stay was removed. There are a number of reasons for this:

- Of the 708 AR-DRGs in version 6.0x, 148 (21%) only have a single severity level (i.e. those with a 'Z' suffix).
- Of the approximately 19,500 valid ICD-10-AM diagnosis codes, just over 3,000 are recognised as CCs (i.e. have a CCL value of greater than zero) for neonates and just under 3,000 codes are recognised as such for all other patients in the AR-DRG grouper. Also, although an additional diagnosis might be recognised as a CC, the severity level is overwritten with a zero if 1) the CC is part of definition of the ADRG (e.g. multiple trauma); 2) it is closely related to the principal diagnosis; or 3) it is already on the record (i.e. duplicate code).

It is possible that the removal of COF diagnoses does not significantly alter AR-DRG assignment because the 'remaining' patient diagnoses ensure the episode stays in the higher complexity AR-DRG. That is, patients with more comorbidities may be more likely to have a COF diagnosis, and these comorbidities contribute to the AR-DRG assignment more significantly than the COF diagnoses.

Procedures are retained in the re-assignment process, whether or not they are
necessitated by a hospital-acquired diagnosis. Procedures determine AR-DRG
assignment in 364 of the 708 (51%) AR-DRGs in version 6.0x. With the current data
collection specifications and standards used in Australia (and comparable countries),
it is not possible to directly link the provision of a particular procedure with any
diagnosis(es), including those that are acquired during a hospital stay. Therefore, an
implicit assumption in this analysis (and prior analyses of similar data e.g. Jackson et
al., 2011, Fuller et al., 2009, Zhan & Miller, 2003) is that procedures are not related to
diagnoses that were flagged as hospital-acquired (except in the case of
tracheostomy and ventilation). Although it may be possible to link individual
procedures to specific diagnoses, this would require considerable investment in
conceptualising and researching the issue, and in developing relevant data
standards (including education in applying these).

Table 12 highlights the twenty ADRGs in which there was the greatest proportion of episodes that either changed ADRG or the level within an ADRG.

The tracheostomy/ventilation AR-DRGs account for the vast majority of changes in ADRGs. (Note that the tracheostomy and ventilation procedures may or may not have been necessitated by a hospital-acquired diagnosis. See c, page 33 above.) In terms of episode numbers, the vaginal delivery AR-DRGs account for the largest shifts between levels within an ADRG. Otherwise, ADRGs with significant changes are mainly surgical/procedural.

ADRG with the largest number of episodes changing AR-DRG	Regrouped AR-DRG level		Total changed	% episodes changed	Total changed	% episodes changed	Total Episodes	
	В	С	D	level	level	ADRG	ADRG	
A06 Tracheostomy and/or Ventilation >95 hours	1			1	0.0%	8,236	97.0%	8,489
F07 Other Cardiothoracic/Vascular Procedures W CPB Pump	174	240		414	52.5%		0.0%	789
A08 Autologous Bone Marrow Transplant	444		-	444	51.7%	-	0.0%	859
A09 Renal Transplant	299			299	44.2%		0.0%	676
F04 Cardiac Valve Procedures W CPB Pump W/O Invasive Cardiac Investigation	1,234			1,234	40.6%		0.0%	3,040
F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Investigation	645			645	40.2%	1	0.1%	1,603
F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Investigation	1,419			1,419	38.9%		0.0%	3,649
O60 Vaginal Delivery	3,905	36,695		40,600	35.1%	58	0.1%	115,810
W02 Hip, Femur and Limb Procs for Multiple Significant Trauma, Incl Implantation	160			160	23.8%	55	8.2%	672
L03 Kidney, Ureter and Major Bladder Procedures for Neoplasm	171	498		669	31.8%		0.0%	2,103
F03 Cardiac Valve Procedures W CPB Pump W Invasive Cardiac Investigation	169			169	29.8%		0.0%	568
K02 Pituitary Procedures	90			90	28.9%	1	0.3%	311
G01 Rectal Resection	1,149			1,149	28.8%		0.0%	3,988
W04 Other OR Procedures for Multiple Significant Trauma	151			151	25.4%	9	1.5%	595
H01 Pancreas, Liver and Shunt Procedures	522			522	26.5%		0.0%	1,970
F08 Major Reconstructive Vascular Procedures W/O CPB Pump	966			966	24.7%		0.0%	3,912
B02 Cranial Procedures	657	1,170		1,827	24.5%		0.0%	7,458
H02 Major Biliary Tract Procedures	109	207		316	24.3%		0.0%	1,300
J01 Microvascular Tissue Transfer for Skin, Subcutaneous Tissue & Breast Disorder	85			85	24.3%		0.0%	350
Other ADRGs	51,253	12,200	268	63,721		1,055		3,832,837
Total	63,603	51,010	268	114,881		9,415		3,990,979

 Table 12 – ADRGs where there was a significant change in AR-DRG following regrouping excluding condition onset diagnoses, NHCDC, 2011-12

In short, there are several limitations of examining the effect of hospital-acquired conditions on case complexity and AR-DRG grouping. The classification system is not particularly sensitive to factoring in additional diagnoses (whether they are pre-existing or hospitalacquired). In addition, only the removal of hospital-acquired conditions is possible. Procedures that are the result of hospital-acquired conditions are not specifically identified, and these may be driving AR-DRG assignment.

Impact on cost and length of stay

This Chapter shows the results of the impact of the presence of COF diagnoses on cost and length of stay (within the selected AR-DRGs).

Methods

Appendix 4 provides a review of some of the methodological issues in estimating the impact of hospital-acquired conditions on cost and length of stay. This review has a number of implications for the current study with respect to:

- a. The choice of outcome variables.
- b. The choice of variables appropriate to incorporate into the model to control for other factors which may independently influence cost and length of stay (to address selection bias).
- c. Methods for addressing endogeneity bias, in particular the potential that it is the length of stay (which is closely correlated with cost) that increases the probability that a hospital-acquired condition will occur.
- d. Approaches to test for the potential impact of differences in the quality of coding on the results.

Within the scope of this study only the first of these two issues could be adequately addressed. Within the literature there only a few studies that attempt to explicitly address endogeneity bias, but most do not explicitly tackle this issue. The studies that have addressed endogeneity bias are those focussed on a particular hospital-acquired condition, rather than the broad range of all hospital-acquired conditions. While an attempt was made to implement relevant methods for this project, these were not able to yield reasonable results within the timeframe and scope of the project. As there was insufficient time to test alternative model specifications, the project has focussed on applying an approach that addresses the potential for selection biases.

In addition, there was insufficient time available to test the impact of differences in the quality of coding, although methods were developed to tackle this issue.

A set of models were estimated using the general approach adopted by Jackson et al. (2013, under review), but with some enhanced approaches to various issues, including:

• The models were estimated separately for each ADRG (AR-DRG version 6.0x) assigned after the removal of COF diagnoses. This means that hospital-acquired conditions did not influence ADRG assignment.

- To control for within-ADRG heterogeneity, the Patient Clinical Complexity Level (PCCL) (also assigned after the removal of COF diagnoses) was introduced as a control variable within the model along with a range of other controls (see discussion below).
- The hospital from which each observation was drawn was introduced as a repeated measures variable.

The models estimated took the following forms:

MODEL A1:	$Cost_i = \alpha + \beta_1 COF_i + \sum_m \gamma_k Control_{ki} + \mu$	(1)

MODEL A2:
$$Cost_i = \alpha + \sum_n \beta_j MCHADx_{ji} + \sum_m \gamma_k Control_{ki} + \mu$$
 (2)

MODEL A3:
$$Cost_i = \alpha + \sum_n \beta_j SCHADx_{ji} + \sum_m \gamma_k Control_{ki} + \mu$$
 (3)

MODEL A4: $Cost_i = \alpha + \sum_n \beta_j CHADx_{ji} + \sum_m \gamma_k Control_{ki} + \mu$ (4)

MODEL B1:
$$LOS_i = \alpha + \beta_i COF_i + \sum_m \gamma_k Control_{ki} + \mu$$
 (5)

MODEL B2:
$$LOS_i = \propto + \sum_n \beta_j MCHADx_{ji} + \sum_m \gamma_k Control_{ki} + \mu$$
 (6)

MODEL B3:
$$LOS_i = \alpha + \sum_n \beta_j SCHADx_{ji} + \sum_m \gamma_k Control_{ki} + \mu$$
 (7)

MODEL B4:
$$LOS_i = \propto + \sum_n \beta_j CHADx_{ji} + \sum_m \gamma_k Control_{ki} + \mu$$
 (8)

Where:

 $Cost_i$ is the patient level cost for episode i.

 LOS_i is the length of stay for episode i.

a is an intercept.

 COF_i is a dummy variable indicating the presence of at least one COF diagnosis for episode i.

 $MCHADx_j$ is a vector of dummy variables reflecting the presence of major CHADx groups.

 $SMCHADx_j$ is a vector of dummy variables reflecting the presence of 33 selected CHADx groups.

 $CHADx_j$ is a vector of dummy variables reflecting the presence of CHADx classes. There are 145 individual CHADx classes, although the 27 classes in CHADx Groups 11 to 13 were not relevant to the current study, and were grouped together.

 $I2_1$ is the coefficient for COF_i and represents the marginal impact of the presence of at least one COF diagnosis on cost or length of stay.

 $I2_j$ is a vector coefficients related to each group within *MCHADx and CHADx_j* and represents the marginal impact of the presence of at least one COF diagnosis within the group/class on cost or length of stay.

In addition, to estimate for major CHADx groups and each CHADx class, costs were estimated for a subset of CHADx groups (models A3 and B3).

Each model was estimated first with all episodes, including same day episodes (with a dummy variable flagging the episode was same day), and secondly removing same day episodes. The results presented below focus on the models related to overnight episodes (i.e. those with at least an overnight stay in hospital).

Each of the models was first estimated using Ordinary Least Square (OLS). However, given the issues related to the skewed nature of cost and length of stay data, the models were also estimated using a generalised linear model (GLM) with a gamma distribution using a log link function. This approach has been recommended and applied with respect to skewed cost and length of stay data. With this functional form, the dependent variable ($Cost_i$ or LOS_i) is related to the independent variables through a link function, in this case a log link function. The following equation illustrates how in this functional form cost is related to the independent variables for the equivalent of Model A2:

MODEL A2: $Cost_i = \exp(\alpha + \sum_n \beta_j MCHADx_{ji} + \sum_m \gamma_k Control_{ki})$ (9)

This means that the parameter estimates (the $I2_j$'s), cannot be directly interpreted as cost or length of stay effects. The predicted impact of a particular hospital-acquired condition will vary, according to the presence of other explanatory variables, including other hospitalacquired conditions. To estimate the incremental effects on cost and length of stay, the estimated parameters from the model were used to compare the predicted cost/length of stay for the uncomplicated case (i.e. $exp(\alpha + \sum_m \gamma_k Control_{ki})$ with the predicted cost and length of stay with the presence of a particular COF diagnoses (i.e. $exp(\alpha + \beta xMCHADx + \sum_m \gamma_k Control_{ki})$). This difference was calculated for each episode in the sample and then mean and median effects calculated across the sample.

Some extreme values were identified influenced by extreme costs for some episodes. For example one episode with a cost in excess of \$700,000 was identified with a COF diagnosis related to pulmonary embolism. Given the potential for some of the extreme results to impact estimates, median estimates appear to be a better representation of the results.

To control for hospital level effects, we included the hospital identifier as a repeated measures variable in both the OLS and GLM models. This approach removes the impact of variations in efficiency across hospitals (or jurisdictions) from the estimates of the effects of the presence of COFs.

Control variables

Each of the models controlled for a range of factors that potentially impact the comparability between patients who are recorded with hospital-acquired diagnoses, and those without. These were introduced into the models either as control variables, or as repeated measures variables.

Adjacent DRG (ADRG): As discussed above, models were separately estimated for each ADRG. In this case this was the ADRG based on the AR-DRG version 6.0x assigned to the episodes, not taking into account condition onset diagnoses. We excluded from the grouping algorithm both COF diagnosis prior to and after data cleaning. The reason for

taking this approach is that the presence of COF diagnoses is the variable of interest, therefore modelling should not control for these factors. We considered modelling separately for each AR-DRG 6.0x, but decided instead to model at the ADRG level and introduce the PCCL assigned to the episode (which was assigned also not taking into account condition onset diagnoses). We believed this approach would more accurately control for differences in severity within the ADRG.

The advantage in modelling each ADRG separately, is that certain COFs will have a more significant effect for some DRGs and may not be relevant for other DRGs.

Patient Clinical Complexity Level (PCCL): PCCL is assigned to each episode and is the outcome of the impact of severity scores given to individual diagnoses. It is represented as a score on a scale of 0-4. The PCCL is used to assign episodes with an ADRG to an AR-DRG. However, not all the information within the PCCL is always utilised in the assignment. We decided to include the PCCL (assigned when COF diagnoses are not taken into account) as a control variable, rather than model AR-DRGs separately. PCCL can be thought of as a measure of complexity. The level was introduced as a 'class' variable with parameters estimated for each level separately.

Patient age: In preliminary analysis we found that within AR-DRGs, age has an important impact on both length of stay and the likelihood of a COF diagnosis. Age is introduced into the model as a set of dummy variables for the following age groups: 0-14 years, 15-44 years, 70-84 years, 85+ years with the base case being episodes where the patient is aged 45-69 years.

Emergency admission status: In preliminary analysis we found that there were significant differences between emergency and elective episodes in terms of presence of COF diagnoses and length of stay. Therefore, this was introduced as a dummy variable.

Episodes with a discharge status of death: A dummy variable was introduced for these episodes. Episodes ending in death often have shorter lengths of stay and lower costs, but may be impacted by hospital-acquired conditions.

Episodes transferred within less than 2 days (excluding same day episodes): A dummy variable was introduced for these episodes. These episodes have shorter lengths of stay and lower costs, but are often found within ADRG where typically lengths of stay are much longer and costs are higher. In many instances, these patients have been transferred to a referral hospital as a result of the seriousness of their condition.

Same day episodes: A dummy variable was introduced for these episodes. As discussed above, each model was also estimated excluding same day episodes. The presence of COF for same day episodes was much lower. It was found that the models estimated with same day episodes resulted in very similar parameter estimates to those estimated excluding same day episodes. Consequently in the results presented below we have presented results for the models excluding same day episodes.

Sample characteristics

Each model was estimated using the 406,401 episodes meeting the criteria discussed above, of which 342,230 were related to overnight stays. Table 13 describes some of the key characteristics of the sample with respect to the various control variables discussed. A large proportion (74.4%) had an admission status of 'emergency'. Around 16% were same day admissions, 3.2% involved a transfer with a length of stay of less than 2 days, and 2.3% ended with the patient dying during the episode.

The ADRGs for the sample have been previously described in Table 10. Overall, 16.8% of the sample had at least one COF diagnosis reported (after applying the data cleaning algorithm). However, there are significant variations between ADRGs in the proportion of episodes with COF diagnoses.

Conoral Characteristics	lotal sa	ample
	n	%
Total Episodes	406,401	100.0%
Any CoF diagnosis*	68,343	16.8%
PPCL:		
0	232,220	57.1%
1	2,255	0.6%
2	47,577	11.7%
3	59,787	14.7%
4	64,562	15.9%
Emergency Admission Status	302,423	74.4%
Day only admissions	64,171	15.8%
Transfer in < 2 days	13,051	3.2%
Episode ends with death	9,262	2.3%
Age Group:		
00-14 years	10,280	2.5%
15-44 years	77,462	19.1%
45-69 years	159,580	39.3%
70-84 years	116,737	28.7%
85 years +	42,342	10.4%

Table 13 – Characteristics of the NHCDC sample, based on selected hospitals and AR-DRGs of interest

Source: NHCDC 2011-12, subset of selected hospitals and AR-DRGs.

Note: * Refers to any COF diagnosis after data cleaning algorithm has been applied.

Table 14 shows the number of episodes with specific major CHADx groups being identified. Overall, 16.8% of the sample had at least one COF diagnosis. Around 4.9% of the sample had a cardiovascular complication reported, 3.9% had metabolic complications, and 3.7% had post procedural complications.

Major CHADy Groups	Total sample		
	n	%	
Total Episodes	406,401		
Any CoF diagnosis	68,343	16.8%	
Major CHADx Group:			
01 Post-procedural complications	14,922	3.7%	
02 Adverse drug events	6,931	1.7%	
03 Accidental injuries	2,563	0.6%	
04 Specific infections	2,002	0.5%	
05 Cardiovascular complications	19,915	4.9%	
06 Respiratory Complications	9,317	2.3%	
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	12,396	3.1%	
08 Skin Conditions	5,514	1.4%	
09 Genitourinary Complications	10,769	2.6%	
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,622	1.6%	
11-13 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	52	0.0%	
14 Haematological Disorders	6,378	1.6%	
15 Metabolic Disorders	15,696	3.9%	
16 Nervous System Complications	1,955	0.5%	
17 Other Complications	14,026	3.5%	

Table 14 – Number of episodes in which a major CHADx group was present, based on selected hospitals and selected AR-DRGs

Source: NHCDC 2011-12, subset of selected hospitals and AR-DRGs.

Note: Refers to any COF diagnosis after data cleaning algorithms have been applied.

Table 15 shows the most common of the CHADx classes within the sample.

Table 15 – Top 20 CHADx classes by number of episodes in which COF diagnoses	were present,
based on selected hospitals and selected AR-DRGs	

		Total sample			
	n	%			
15.02 Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration	9,819	2.4%			
5.06 Hypotension	9,401	2.3%			
5.03 Cardiac arrythmias, conduction disturbances & abnormal heart beat	8,650	2.1%			
14.02 Other Hospital-acquired Anaemia	4,568	1.1%			
7.04 Constipation	4,408	1.1%			
10.04 Alterations to mental state	4,403	1.1%			
7.05 Nausea and Vomiting	3,716	0.9%			
15.01 Dehydration / volume depletion	3,687	0.9%			
9.02 Urinary tract infection	3,451	0.8%			
6.01 ARDS, respiratory failure and pulmonary collapse (includes atelectasis)	3,240	0.8%			
9.01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure	3,167	0.8%			
1.04 Other haemorrhage & haematoma complicating a procedure (not elsewher	3,162	0.8%			
8.03 Dermatitis, Rash and Other skin effects	2,959	0.7%			
9.03 Urinary Retention	2,798	0.7%			
6.03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl influenza & pneumonia)	2,742	0.7%			
15.05 Disorders of mineral metabolism	2,668	0.7%			
17.04 Chest Pain	2,651	0.7%			
1.10 Complications of cardiac and vascular implants (excluding sepsis)	2,575	0.6%			
9.04 Other Complications and Symptoms of the Urinary System	2,443	0.6%			
17.06 Fever (not classified to condition)	2,358	0.6%			

Source: NHCDC 2011-12, subset of selected hospitals and AR-DRGs.

Note: Refers to any COF diagnosis after data cleaning algorithms have been applied.

The proportion of episodes with particular major CHADx groups or CHADx classes varies significantly across the ADRGs, as is illustrated in Table 16. As might be expected, post procedural complications are more common for the surgical/procedural ADRGs. However, other complications are also more common for the surgical/procedural ADRGs, including cardiovascular, respiratory, gastrointestinal, genitourinary and haematological.

	01 pr ura	Post- oced- l comp.	02 Adverse drug events	Acc ir	03 cidental njuries	04 Specif infection	ic v is	5 Cardio- ascular comp.	06 Respir- atory Comp.	07 ir	7 Gastro- ntestinal Comp.	08 Skin Condition s	09 u (Genito- rinary Comp.	10 Hospital- acquired psych. states	Pro	11-13 Early egnancy Labour etc	1 Haei olog Disor	4 mat- gical rders	Met Disc	15 abolic orders	1 Ner Sys Col	6 vous tem mp.	17 Ot Corr	her וף.
		%	%		%	%		%	%		%	%		%	%		%	9	6		%	9	%	%	
B02 Cranial Procedures	đ	15.7	3 .2	db 1	1.8	<u>d</u> 2	.3 📶	14.5	d 7.7	ď	8.4	4.5	5 📶	10.3	d 7.7	7 dil	0.1	dl	3.9	al 🛛	15.7	dl	9.0 👩	d i	17.3
B70 Stroke and Other Cerebro-v	dl	1.5	1.8	d l	1.2	1	.1 📶	5.6	3.4	l di	3.9	2.3	3	5.5	2.7	7 dil	0.0	dl	0.4	db	4.4	dl	0.8 👔	d	5.2
E62 Respiratory Infections/In	dl	0.9	1.9	dl.	0.7	d 0	.7 📶	4.3	2.0) dl	2.9	1.6	5 📶	2.0	1.4	l di	0.0	dl	0.8	db	3.9	dl	0.2	d	2.6
E65 Chronic Obstructive Airway	dl	0.6	1.9	dl.	0.8	d 0	.6 📶	3.1	1.5	; dl	2.3	1.1	L dl	1.4	1.2	2 dl	0.0	dl	0.3	db	2.7	dl	0.2	d	2.9
F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive	al	30.7	4.9	dl.	0.8	1	.4 📶	41.5	23.8	a di	11.6	4.7	7 🃶	14.3	1 7.5	5 📶	0.0	al	16.9	db.	29.7	dl	1.8 👔	il 👘	10.0
F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasi	al	24.4	4.0	lh (0.9	1	.0 🕼	41.2	d 25.4	l di	8.8	3.0) 📶	12.4	6.8	3	0.0	al	14.6	db.	27.3	dl	1.8 👔	d 📃	8.9
F07 Other Cardio-thoracic/Vasc	al	26.8	5 .3	dl.	0.6	<u> </u>	.0 🕼	40.2	d 27.3	i di	11.2	4.7	7 🃶	13.6	5.8	3	0.1	al	16.2	db.	32.9	dl	2.2 🖌	d i	15.2
F10 Intervent-ional Coronary P	dĺ	10.7	2.1	db.	0.3	d 0	.3 📶	10.4	2.3	: dl	2.8	0.9) di	2.5	1.2	2 📶	0.0	dl	0.9	db	3.5	dl	0.3	d 📃	4.6
F14 Vascular Procedures Except	d	9.6	1.6	d d	0.4	<u>d</u> 0	.4 📶	5.2	1.6	i dl	1.8	1.3	3	2.2	0.9) di	0.0	dl	1.5	db	3.4	dl	0.4	d	3.0
F41 Circulatory Disorders W AM	d	5.8	1.8	ll.	0.3	<u>d</u> 0	.3 📶	6.0	1.5	i di	2.1	.0.8	3	2.0	0.9) di	0.0	dl	0.7	lb	2.5	dl	0.3	d	3.1
F42 Circulatory Disorders W/O	lb	3.6	0.8	ll.	0.1	<u>d</u> 0	.1 📶	2.8	0.5	i di	0.7	0.4	1	0.7	0 .3	3 dl	0.0	dl	0.2	db	1.1	dl	0.1	d	1.9
F62 Heart Failure and Shock	lb	1.2	2.5	lla i	1.1	<u>d</u> 0	.5 📶	5.6	2.1	dl.	3.0	1.8	3	3.9	1.4	l di	0.0	dl	0.6	db	4.8	dl	0.4	d	3.1
F74 Chest Pain	lb	0.1	0.3	lh :	0.1	<u>d</u> 0	.0	0.5	0.1	dl.	0.2	0.1	L dl	0.1	0.1	L dl	0.0	dl	0.0	db	0.1	dl	0.0	d	0.5
G02 Major Small and Large Bowe	al	26.9	4.1	dl.	0.9	<u> </u>	.8 세	17.4	10.8	3 dl	15.0	4.8	3	10.5	d 5.4	l dl	0.0	dl	7.5	dl.	20.9	dl	0.9 👔	d	9.3
H08 Laparo-scopic Chole-cystec	d	5.2	0.8	ll.	0.1	<u>d</u> 0	.2 📶	2.6	1.5	i di	2.4	0.5	5 📶	1.4	0.5	5 dl	0.0	dl	0.3	db	2.0	dl	0.1	d	2.2
103 Hip Replace-ment	d	10.9	4.4	lla 4	1.1	<u>d</u> 0	.8 세	17.7	7.0) d	9.3	4.8	3	10.4	7 .1	L dl	0.0	al	14.3	dl	13.6	dl	0.7 👩	il 👘	10.4
104 Knee Replace-ment	dl	9.0	3.9	llb.	1.0	<u> </u>	.3 📶	11.2	4.0) dl	7.7	3.1	L 📶	5.5	3.1	L dl	0.0	dl	8.7	dl	7.5	dl	0.3 👔	d	9.1
131 Hip Revision	đ	17.4	5 .7	' dl	2.1	1	.2 세	21.0	d 5.5	i di	9.3	6.1	L 📶	9.1	1 5.4	llı 1	0.0	dl	20.5	dl	14.2	dl	0.5 👩	il	12.0
168 Non-surgical Spinal Disord	lb	0.3	1.5	dl i	0.4	d 0	.2 📶	1.2	0.7	ď	2.4	0.7	1	1.6	1.0) dl	0.0	dl	0.2	db	1.2	dl	0.2	d	1.5
N04 Hyster-ectomy for Non-Mali	dĺ	7.9	1.6	dl i	0.2	d 0	.2 📶	4.0	1.8	3	6.3	1.3	3	3.4	d 0.5	5 dl	0.0	dl	2.3	lba	2.8	db	0.1	d	4.5
U61 Schizo-phrenia Disorders	lb	0.2	1.4	lla I	1.2	d 0	.4 📶	1.2	1.1	- dl	2.0	1.0		0.9	1.9) di	0.0	dl	0.1	db	0.8	dl	0.5	d	3.0
U63 Major Affective Disorders	af	0.5	1.5	a l	1.8	al O	.5 1	1.6	1.2	: Lat	2.5	1.1	L all	1.2	2.5	5 .4	0.0	al	0.1	all	1.2	al	0.7	d .	3.6

Table 16 – Proport	on of episodes in which M	ajor CHADx groups are re	ported, by ADRG, base	ed on selected hospital	s and selected AR-DRGs
--------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	------------------------

Source: NHCDC 2011-12, subset of selected hospitals and AR-DRGs.

Note: Refers to any COF diagnosis after data cleaning algorithms have been applied.

Results

The tables below present estimates of incremental effects of hospital-acquired conditions on cost and length of stay, based on the GLM models. As the modelled cost and length of stay effects will vary between episodes based on a range of characteristics, both the mean and median of the predicted effects have been presented. For comparison we have also presented the results of the OLS models.

Detailed estimates are presented in Appendix 3, as shown in Table 17.

Model	Hospital acquired conditions modelled as:	Impact of COF on:	Estimates of mean incremental impact	Estimates of median incremental impact
Model A1	Presence of any COF diagnosis	Cost	Table 38, page 68	Table 41, page 75
Model A2	Major CHADx groups	Cost	Table 38, page 68	Table 41, page 75
Model A3	Selected hospital acquired conditions	Cost	Table 39, page 70	Table 42, page 77
Model A4	All CHADx classes	Cost	Table 40, page 72	Not presented
Model B1	Presence of any COF diagnosis	Length of stay	Table 43, page 79	Table 44, page 81
Model B2	Major CHADx groups	Length of stay	Table 43, page 79	Table 44, page 81
Model B3	Selected hospital acquired conditions	Length of stay	Not presented	Not presented
Model B4	All CHADx classes	Length of stay	Table 40, page 72	Not presented

	Table 17	7 – Models	estimated	and results
--	----------	------------	-----------	-------------

Only the results of models estimated for overnight episodes are shown. Results for models including same day episodes were very similar in most instances.

Across the sample, the mean cost for all episodes was \$9,513 and the mean cost for overnight episodes was \$11,061. Table 18 summarises the estimates of the cost of hospital-acquired conditions for Models A1 and A2. The distribution of modelled incremental costs is described in Figure 6. Some more detail on these models is provided in Table 19, together with an illustration of the estimated impacts for selected ADRGs.

For model A1, the mean estimated cost for the uncomplicated care was \$9,268 (Table 19). The mean estimated impact of the presence of any COF diagnosis was \$9,244 for the GLM model and \$8,586 for the OLS model (Table 18). These estimates are relatively similar, but for other models, where a greater degree of specificity in the measurement of COF diagnoses was introduced, the OLS based estimates were typically greater than the GLM results.

The median GLM based estimate was \$6,710 for each episode with at least one hospitalacquired condition (Table 18). The difference between the mean and median emphasises the skewed distribution of the estimates of impact, which was observed for all the models. This distribution is typically influenced by a relatively smaller number of very high cost cases.

Model A2 results include estimates at the major CHADx group level. Mean GLM estimated costs for these conditions vary from between \$2,000 and \$3,000 (e.g. 17 Other Complications, 15 Metabolic Disorders and 14 Haematological Disorders) up to over \$6,000 (e.g. 01 Post-procedural complications, 04 Specific infections, 16 Nervous System Complications). The largest incremental costs are estimated for the specific infections which add an estimated \$7,615 per episode.

Table 18 – Estimates of the incremental cost of presence of hospital acquired conditions– Comparison Ordinary Least Squares and Generalised Linear Model (mean and median) estimates Models A1 and A2 - Overnight episodes

	Episodes with COF diagnoses	OLS Cost estimate	GLM Cost Estimate - Mean	GLM Cost Estimate - Median
	n	\$	\$	\$
Model A1 - Presence of any COF diagnosis Overnight E				
Incremental cost of presence of any COF diagnosis	67,621	\$8,586	\$9,244	\$6,710
Model A2 - Presence of Major CHADx - Overnight episo	odes			
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		\$9,063	\$9,246	\$6,825
Incremental cost of presence of:				
01 Post-procedural complications	14,686	\$8,589	\$6,330	\$4,856
02 Adverse drug events	6,853	\$4,499	\$3,771	\$2,593
03 Accidental injuries	2,556	\$6,233	\$4,792	\$3,247
04 Specific infections	2,001	\$17,326	\$7,615	\$5,338
05 Cardiovascular complications	19,732	\$4,132	\$3,071	\$2,542
06 Respiratory Complications	9,299	\$8,483	\$5,465	\$4,438
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	12,337	\$4,674	\$3,237	\$2,769
08 Skin Conditions	5,495	\$7,791	\$4,701	\$4,170
09 Genitourinary Complications	10,757	\$5,096	\$3,648	\$3,052
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	\$3,874	\$3,293	\$2,583
11-12 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	49	\$2,273	\$2,200	\$481
14 Haematological Disorders	6,375	\$4,961	\$2,763	\$1,662
15 Metabolic Disorders	15,684	\$2,548	\$2,595	\$1,939
16 Nervous System Complications	1,948	\$12,391	\$6,363	\$6,113
17 Other Complications	13,880	\$2,809	\$2,435	\$1,899





Notes: In this chart, the box (rectangle) represents the interquartile range of predicted costs, with the line through the middle of the box representing the median value. The diamond shape represents the mean predicted value. The 'leaf' components represent the values of 1.5 times interquartile range below the 1 quartile or above the 3rd quartile. Dot points represent outliers outside these ranges.

The impact of the presence of hospital-acquired conditions varies across ADRGs (Table 19 and Table 20). For example, the GLM mean incremental cost of the presence of any COF diagnosis for episodes in the laparoscopic cholecystectomy ADRG was \$3,195, but for the cranial procedures ADRG it was \$26,450.

		Total Sample	BO2 Cranial Procedures	B70 Stroke and Other Cerebro- vascular Disorders	F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F62 Heart Failure and Shock	H08 Laparo- scopic Chole- cystectomy	103 Hip Replace- ment
Number of episodes (inc same day)	n	406,401	8,194	22,052	1,683	26,303	21,178	11,630
Number of episodes (exc same day)	n	342,230	8,153	21,140	1,682	25,289	20,527	11,625
% overnight episodes	%	84%	99%	96%	100%	96%	97%	100%
Mean cost per episode	s	9 5 1 3	37 284	9 343	49 535	7 048	8.011	20 548
Mean cost per overnight episode	\$	11,061	37,441	9,690	49,557	7,302	8,122	20,553
Model A1 - Presence of any COF diagnosis Overnig	ht E	pisodes						
Model predicted cost per episode	\$	11,095	37,234	9,459	50,896	7,691	7,946	20,873
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode	\$	9,268	24,257	7,603	40,670	6,490	7,498	18,751
Incremental cost of presence of any COF diagnosis	\$	9,244	26,450	8,436	13,825	6,154	3,195	4,156
Model A2 - Presence of Major CHADx - Overnight e	piso	des						
Model predicted cost per episode	\$	11,238	38,120	9,616	50,945	7,781	7,963	20,877
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode	\$	9,246	24,117	7,736	39,633	6,556	7,448	18,432
Incremental cost of presence of:								
01 Post-procedural complications	\$	6,330	12,774	4,794	7,824	4,046	2,257	3,383
02 Adverse drug events	\$	3,771	9,307	3,942	6,411	2,798	1,754	2,529
03 Accidental injuries	\$	4,792	7,706	2,813	296	3,704	40	2,927
04 Specific infections	\$	7,615	12,164	3,567	21,627	2,393	5,354	3,477
05 Cardiovascular complications	\$	3,071	7,880	2,678	3,798	2,470	1,693	1,855
06 Respiratory Complications	\$	5,465	13,838	4,337	5,976	3,612	3,503	2,032
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	\$	3,237	3,502	2,886	4,192	2,882	2,103	1,621
08 Skin Conditions	\$	4,701	5,704	3,816	7,143	4,029	1,915	2,899
09 Genitourinary Complications	\$	3,648	4,930	4,242	9,562	3,343	1,313	1,514
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	\$	3,293	3,185	2,539	6,959	2,264	2,349	2,390
11-12 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	\$	2,200	-5,605	-7,387		-1,771	1,468	
14 Haematological Disorders	\$	2,763	7,786	5,033	-664	1,955	4,007	1,536
15 Metabolic Disorders	\$	2,595	6,300	2,807	1,940	2,229	2,810	1,244
16 Nervous System Complications	\$	6,363	9,522	1,560	3,415	1,280	3,437	5,833
17 Other Complications	\$	2,435	3,377	1,981	3,202	2,174	1,410	1,192

Table 19 – Estimates of the GLM based mean incremental cost Models A1 and A2 - selected ADRGs – Overnight episodes

Note: Shaded values represent estimates based on a parameter which was not statistically significant.

Adiacent DDC.	Total	% with	Overnight	Estimsates of incremental impact of presence of COF on:			
Aujacent Dira.	in sample	diagnosis	in sample	Cost (mean)	Length of stay (mean)		
	n	%	n	\$	days		
Total sample	406,401	17%	342,230	\$9,244	5.3		
B02 Cranial Procedures	8,194	49%	8,153	\$26,450	9.3		
B70 Stroke and Other Cerebro-vascular Disorders	22,052	21%	21,140	\$8,436	6.3		
E62 Respiratory Infections/ Inflam-mations	51,920	15%	49,199	\$8,659	4.7		
E65 Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease	36,428	13%	34,516	\$7,006	4.4		
F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Inves.	1,683	74%	1,682	\$13,825	3.9		
F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Inves.	3,771	72%	3,769	\$9,661	2.8		
F07 Other Cardio-thoracic/Vascular Proc. W CPB Pump	866	72%	865	\$21,991	5.9		
F10 Intervent-ional Coronary Procedures W AMI	9,631	27%	9,593	\$3,031	1.6		
F14 Vascular Procedures Except Major Reconstr. W/O CPB Pump	9,107	19%	7,283	\$9,304	5.6		
F41 Circulatory Disorders W AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inves. Proc.	6,312	19%	6,163	\$3,481	2.2		
F42 Circulatory Disorders W/O AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inve. Proc.	27,254	10%	14,858	\$3,248	2.1		
F62 Heart Failure and Shock	26,303	19%	25,289	\$6,154	4.8		
F74 Chest Pain	70,609	2%	40,151	\$2,491	1.9		
G02 Major Small and Large Bowel Procedures	10,758	55%	10,596	\$17,421	8.0		
H08 Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy	21,178	14%	20,527	\$3,195	2.3		
103 Hip Replacement	11,630	51%	11,625	\$4,156	2.6		
104 Knee Replacement	10,470	40%	10,460	\$2,564	1.7		
131 Hip Revision	1,327	59%	1,327	\$7,919	3.2		
168 Non-surgical Spinal Disorders	32,860	8%	21,010	\$7,331	6.3		
N04 Hysterectomy for Non-Malignancy	8,268	24%	8,244	\$2,734	1.5		
U61 Schizophrenia Disorders	21,178	10%	21,178	\$22,725	24.7		
U63 Major Affective Disorders	14,602	12%	14,602	\$16,754	14.2		

 Table 20 – Estimates of the GLM based mean incremental cost, length of stay and ADRG

 Model A1 – Overnight episodes

Table 21 presents GLM based mean and median estimates at a more detailed level. Because of low numbers, many of the parameters within the models were not statistical significant at this level. In some instances the estimated incremental cost is negative. This may reflect interactions with mortality related to the specific hospital-acquired condition. The Table reveals a range of specific hospital-acquired conditions with high incremental costs, including wound infection, pulmonary embolism, pressure ulcers, and *Clostridium difficile*. The estimates for urinary tract infection are also high, although the median estimates are much lower.

	Episodes	GLM based	estimated
	with COF	of impac	t on cost
	diagnoses	Mean	Median
Model A3 - Presence of selected groups - Overnight episodes	n	\$	\$
Incremental cost of presence of:			
Complications of Infusion /Transfusion	325	-\$3,567	-\$680
Wound infection (excluding sepsis)	1,052	\$17,824	\$8,210
Post-procedural complications - Other	14,686	\$7,245	\$5,533
Adverse drug events	6,853	\$4,484	\$3,731
Falls	1,559	\$4,181	\$3,934
Accidental injuries - Other	1,013	\$8,957	\$5,986
Specific infections	2,001	\$15,322	\$6,720
Pulmonary Embolism (PE)	326	\$12,909	\$7,767
Hypotension	9,331	\$2,868	\$1,791
Cardiovascular complications - Other	12,551	\$4,432	\$3,266
Acute lower respiratory infections (incl influenza & pneumonia)	2,742	\$5,042	\$2,411
Respiratory Complications - Other	9,299	\$6,418	\$4,415
Gastro enteritis	2,213	\$6,965	\$3,722
Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile	283	\$11,129	\$6,266
Constipation	4,403	\$3,234	\$2,810
Nausea and Vomiting	3,668	-\$137	\$628
Gastrointestinal Complications - Other	2,917	\$9,415	\$5,004
Pressure Ulcers	1,866	\$13,860	\$6,717
Skin Conditions - Other	3,855	\$4,306	\$3,203
Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure	3,160	\$5,063	\$3,095
Urinary tract infection	3,449	\$7,924	\$4,886
Genitourinary Complications - Other	5,300	\$1,460	\$1,832
Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	\$3,399	\$3,106
Early Pregnancy, Labour, Delivery & Postpartum, Perinatal Comp	49	\$2,875	\$2,833
Haematological Disorders	6,375	\$4,501	\$2,592
Dehydration / volume depletion	3,686	\$708	\$1,120
Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration	9,808	\$2,591	\$2,697
Hypoglycaemia and Hyperglycaemia	364	\$4,310	\$1,841
Metabolic Disorders - Other	4,141	\$1,787	\$1,574
Hospital-acquired Paralysis	493	\$4,318	\$3,354
Nervous System Complications - Other	1,563	\$12,287	\$6,316
Major Symptoms (includes instantaneous death)	935	\$2,203	\$135
Other Complications - Other	13,880	\$2,859	\$2,556

Table 21 – Estimates of the GLM based mean and median incremental cost Model A3 - selected ADRGs – Overnight episodes

Table 22 presents the estimates of the incremental effect of hospital-acquired condition on overnight lengths of stay for Models B1 and B2. Overall the presence of a hospital-acquired condition is estimated to add 5.3 days to a hospital stay, within the sample of AR-DRGs analysed.

		Total Sample	BO2 Cranial Procedures	B70 Stroke and Other Cerebro- vascular Disorders	F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F62 Heart Failure and Shock	H08 Laparo- scopic Chole- cystectomy	103 Hip Replace- ment
Number of episodes (inc same day)	n	406,401	8,194	22,052	1,683	26,303	21,178	11,630
Number of episodes (exc same day)	n	342,230	8,153	21,140	1,682	25,289	20,527	11,625
% overnight episodes	%	84%	99%	96%	100%	96%	97%	100%
Mean cost per episode	\$	6.2	12.9	7.4	17.2	6.1	2.7	8.1
Mean cost per overnight episode	\$	7.1	13.0	7.7	17.2	6.3	2.7	8.1
Model A1 - Presence of any COF diagnosis Overnig	ght E	pisodes						
Model predicted cost per episode	\$	7.1	13.7	7.7	17.3	6.6	2.7	8.4
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode	\$	6.0	9.2	6.3	14.4	5.7	2.4	7.0
Incremental cost of presence of any COF diagnosi	\$	5.3	9.3	6.3	3.9	4.8	2.3	2.6
Model A2 - Presence of Major CHADx - Overnight	episo	odes						
Model predicted cost per episode	\$	7.2	14.1	7.8	17.2	6.7	2.8	8.4
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode	\$	6.0	9.2	6.4	14.1	5.7	2.4	6.9
Incremental cost of presence of:								
01 Post-procedural complications	\$	2.8	3.9	2.8	2.1	3.0	1.4	1.8
02 Adverse drug events	\$	2.7	4.3	2.2	3.0	2.2	1.2	1.3
03 Accidental injuries	\$	4.7	6.5	2.9	2.3	3.5	0.6	2.8
04 Specific infections	\$	4.5	4.5	3.9	6.0	2.3	3.8	2.9
05 Cardiovascular complications	\$	1.4	1.7	1.6	0.8	1.8	0.8	0.7
06 Respiratory Complications	\$	2.4	3.2	3.0	1.0	2.6	2.0	1.1
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	\$	2.3	1.9	2.6	1.4	2.5	1.5	1.0
08 Skin Conditions	\$	3.3	2.8	3.2	4.0	3.3	1.2	1.8
09 Genitourinary Complications	\$	2.4	2.7	3.4	3.8	2.7	1.3	1.2
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	\$	2.1	1.5	2.4	1.1	1.6	1.8	1.7
11-12 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	\$	3.0	0.6	-3.1		-0.6	-0.4	
14 Haematological Disorders	\$	1.2	1.9	1.4	-0.2	1.1	2.6	0.9
15 Metabolic Disorders	\$	1.5	2.4	2.2	0.9	1.8	1.9	0.7
16 Nervous System Complications	\$	2.8	3.6	1.7	1.3	0.7	0.8	3.5
17 Other Complications	\$	1.9	1.8	1.8	0.6	1.8	1.3	0.9

Table 22 – Estimates of the GLM based mean incremental length of stay Models A1 and A2 - selected ADRGs – Overnight episodes

Note: Shaded values represent estimates based on a parameter which was not statistically significant.

Incremental cost and length of stay impacts of specific conditions were also estimated (Models A4 and B4, see Appendix 3, Table 40). These estimates revealed a number of conditions with a very high cost impact per episode. For some of these conditions (sepsis, gas embolism and complications of transplants), the number of occurrences in the sample was very low (estimates were suppressed for these conditions). Table 23 shows the estimated impact of other conditions with a high cost per episode impact. These ranged from between \$9,208 for methicillin resistant agent to \$15,032 for injury due to assault. The numbers of episodes with these conditions was between 17 and 967. As a consequence, the total cost impact of these conditions was not always very high relative to other conditions.

In contrast there were a number of other conditions which had relatively lower cost per episode impacts, but because there were larger numbers of the episodes, the total cost impact was very high. These are also shown in Table 23. Total cost impacts of these conditions ranged from \$10.9 million for pressure ulcers (1,866 episodes) to \$27.4 million for electrolyte disorders without dehydration (9,808 episodes). This perspective suggests that in setting priority for safety initiatives, it may be beneficial to consider conditions that have a relatively low cost impact on the individual episode of care, but may be very common. This view was supported in the literature (e.g. see Jackson et al., 2011).

Table 23 – Estimates of incremental impact of selected COF diagnoses on cost and length of stay,	plus total cost impact
of condition, selected ADRGs, NHCDC, 2011-12	

	Episodes with COF diagnoses	Impact on cost (mean)	Total cost estimate	Impact on length of stay
	n	\$	\$m	days
Selected hospital acquired conditions with high cost per episode im	pact			
3.04 Injury due to assault	87	\$15,032	\$1.3	3.9
1.08 Disruption of wound	649	\$12,200	\$7.9	5.3
1.20 Post-procedural disorders: Respiratory system	967	\$10,604	\$10.3	2.5
1.06 Foreign body or substance left following procedure	17	\$9,821	\$0.2	1.6
2.17 Anaphylactic shock due to correct drug properly administered	68	\$9,447	\$0.6	2.8
4.03 Methicillin resistant agent	123	\$9,208	\$1.1	3.6
Selected hospital acquired conditions with high total cost impact				
15.02 Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration	9,808	\$2,797	\$27.4	1.1
5.03 Cardiac arrythmias, conduction disturbances & abnormal heart	8,566	\$2,335	\$20.0	3.7
9.02 Urinary tract infection	3,449	\$4,950	\$17.1	0.9
5.06 Hypotension	9,331	\$1,735	\$16.2	0.8
6.03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl influenza & pneumonia	2,742	\$5,710	\$15.7	2.6
8.01 Pressure Ulcers	1,866	\$5,892	\$11.0	2.8

Sector wide estimates

An estimate was made of the total incremental impact of the presence of hospital-acquired conditions, both within the NHCDC sample and scaling this to reflect all acute episodes allocated to the selected ADRGs in public and private hospitals. The results are presented in Table 24. The Table also presents the total estimated costs associated with the sample (\$3.87 billion for all episodes and \$3.79 billion for overnight episodes) and the estimated costs across all hospital (\$5.6 billion for all episodes and \$5.47 billion for overnight episodes) reflecting all acute episodes allocated to the selected ADRGs. The Table also shows the estimated incremental cost for the sample and all hospitals (i.e. all acute episodes assigned to the selected AR-DRGs occurring across all public and private hospitals in Australia). (Table 37 in Appendix 2 shows the sample as a proportion of total episodes for the selected ADRGs and the weights used to derive the estimates for all hospitals.)

The incremental costs for the full set of episodes is very close to the estimates for models developed only for overnight episodes.

One important feature to note is that the model specification, and potentially its interpretation, has an important impact on the estimated incremental costs. In particular, Model A1, which is based on estimating the impact of the presence of any hospital-acquired condition, results in a higher estimate of incremental costs, compared with models designed to estimate the impact of groups of hospital-acquired conditions (Models A2-A4).

Overall, the models suggest that hospital-acquired conditions potentially explained between 12.0% and 16.5% of total costs within the sample and 11.6% and 15.9% of costs across all Australian hospitals, for the selected ADRGs. The estimates range from \$453 million to \$625 million in the sample, and \$634 million and \$869 million for all hospitals.

	Tota	l costs	Increme	ntal costs	% of total costs			
	Sample	All hospitals	Sample	All hospitals	Sample	All hospitals		
	\$m	\$m	\$m	\$m	%	%		
All episodes								
Model A1	3,866.1	5,602.3	624.7	868.7	16.2%	15.5%		
Model A2	3,866.1	5,602.3	489.2	683.3	12.7%	12.2%		
Overnight episodes only								
Model A1	3,785.4	5,473.4	625.1	869.2	16.5%	15.9%		
Model A2	3,785.4	5,473.4	489.1	682.9	12.9%	12.5%		
Model A3	3,785.4	5,473.4	471.4	658.4	12.5%	12.0%		
Model A4	3,785.4	5,473.4	453.2	633.9	12.0%	11.6%		

Table 24 – Estimates of impact across all acute episodes allocated to the relevant ADRGs

Appendix I: Descriptive statistics

Sector	Sector Number of hospita		pitals	Percentage of hospitals reporting COF			Total episodes '000			Percentage of episodes with at least one COF diagnosis reported		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Public hospitals	717	709	701	74%	80%	81%	5,277	5,486	5,661	6.2%	7.9%	8.3%
Private hospitals*	194	203	52	60%	59%	46%	3,520	3,630	938	3.4%	3.8%	6.9%
Total	011	012	753	71%	75%	70%	9 707	0 1 1 6	6 5 9 8	5 1%	6 3%	9.1%

Table 25 – Reporting of COF by sector, APC NMDS, 2009-10 to 2011-12

Note: Private hospitals are grouped in data reported for several states and territories. Therefore, the 'number of hospitals' cannot be derived from the APC data for private hospitals. In this Table the number reflects the number of 'entity codes' reported in the NMDS. Private hospital data was not available in the dataset for 2011-12 for NSW, SA,WA, Tas. NT, ACT.

Table 26 – Reporting of COF for private hospitals by state/territory, APC NMDS, 2009-10 to 2011-12

		-					-						
Private hospitals by State/Territory	Num	Number of hospitals			Percentage of hospitals reporting COF			Total episodes '000			Percentage of episodes with at least one COF diagnosis reported		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
Admitted patients ca	re NMDS												
NSW	12	20	0	0%	0%	na	978	1,028	-	0.0%	0.0%	na	
Vic.	8	8	8	100%	100%	100%	886	876	919	6.2%	6.5%	7.0%	
Qld	106	106	44	64%	61%	36%	862	875	19	3.7%	4.1%	5.0%	
SA	55	56	0	67%	66%	na	271	284	-	5.9%	6.4%	na	
WA	2	2	0										
Tas.	7	7	0										
NT	1	1	0										
ACT	3	3	0										
Aust.	194	203	52	60%	59%	46%	3,520	3.630	938	3.4%	3.8%	6.9%	

Note: Small cells have been supressed in this Table. Private hospitals are grouped in data reported for several states and territories. Therefore, the 'number of hospitals' cannot be derived from the APC data for private hospitals. In this table the number reflects the number of 'entity codes' reported in the NMDS. Private hospital data was not available in the dataset for 2011-12 for NSW, SA,WA, Tas. NT, ACT. In all subsequent tables below, private hospitals have been excluded from analysis.

Table 27 – Reporting of COF for public hospitals by state/territory, APC NMDS, 2009-10 to 2011-12

Public hospitals by State/Territory	Number of hospitals			Percentage of hospitals reporting COF			Total episodes '000			Percentage of episodes with at least one COF diagnosis reported		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Admitted patients ca	re NMDS											
NSW	218	215	209	44%	62%	62%	1,597	1,645	1,689	0.9%	4.8%	5.7%
Vic.	150	151	148	95%	97%	98%	1,468	1,540	1,589	9.8%	10.0%	10.5%
Qld	142	139	139	80%	77%	83%	970	1,000	1,037	8.2%	9.6%	9.6%
SA	82	80	79	90%	93%	94%	395	402	419	9.5%	10.3%	10.4%
WA	95	94	96	95%	93%	84%	540	584	600	6.4%	6.9%	6.8%
Tas.	23	23	23	43%	57%	78%	104	103	102	6.8%	9.5%	10.8%
NT	5	5	5	100%	100%	100%	111	116	125	4.6%	5.4%	4.8%
ACT	2	2	2	100%	100%	100%	92	97	101	8.1%	8.6%	9.1%
Aust.	717	709	701	74%	80%	81%	5,277	5,486	5,661	6.2%	7.9%	8.3%

Public hospitals by Peer Group	Numb	per of hos	pitals	Percentage of hospitals reporting COF			
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
Admitted Patients Care NMDS							
A1 Principal referral	76	78	78	80%	92%	92%	
A2 Specialist womens & childrens	11	11	11	91%	91%	91%	
B1 Major city	26	24	23	62%	83%	87%	
B2 Major regional and remote	17	17	17	88%	94%	100%	
C1 Medium group 1	30	29	29	87%	90%	90%	
C2 Medium group 2	62	59	58	77%	83%	84%	
D1 Small regional	116	115	115	76%	81%	81%	
D2 Small non-acute	71	70	70	87%	89%	90%	
D3 Small remote	37	40	40	86%	83%	78%	
E2 Multi-purpose services	75	75	74	87%	87%	80%	
E4 Rehabilitation	7	7	7	57%	86%	71%	
E5 Mothercraft	7	7	7	57%	43%	43%	
E9 Other non-acute	12	12	12	67%	75%	75%	
F Psychiatric hospitals	18	18	15	44%	56%	60%	
G Unpeered and other	152	147	145	57%	64%	72%	
Total	717	709	701	74%	80%	81%	

Table 28 – Reporting of COF by public hospitals by AIHW hospital peer group, public hospitals, APC NMDS, 2009-10 to 2011-12

Table 29 – Number of hospitals, total episodes and proportion of episodes with COF diagnosis reported at least once by AIHW hospital peer group, public hospitals reporting COF, APC NMDS, 2009-10 to 2011-12

Public hospitals by Peer Group	Number of hospitals reporting COF			Total episodes '000			Percentage of episodes with at least one COF diagnosis reported		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Admitted Patients Care NMDS									
A1 Principal referral	61	72	72	2,830	3,298	3,413	7.8%	9.1%	9.5%
A2 Specialist womens & childrens	10	10	10	220	228	234	9.8%	12.0%	12.7%
B1 Major city	16	20	20	327	396	418	7.8%	8.7%	9.1%
B2 Major regional and remote	15	16	17	210	229	242	7.1%	7.0%	7.5%
C1 Medium group 1	26	26	26	280	278	282	5.1%	6.0%	7.2%
C2 Medium group 2	48	49	49	205	218	233	6.5%	7.2%	7.4%
D1 Small regional	88	93	93	103	110	111	5.9%	5.5%	5.8%
D2 Small non-acute	62	62	63	53	57	58	9.4%	9.1%	8.7%
D3 Small remote	32	33	31	70	75	77	2.9%	4.0%	3.5%
E2 Multi-purpose services	65	65	59	26	27	26	3.5%	4.6%	4.6%
E4 Rehabilitation	4	6	5	6	9	8	26.2%	26.3%	24.8%
E5 Mothercraft	4	3	3	8	5	6	1.4%	2.4%	2.4%
E9 Other non-acute	8	9	9	6	10	10	5.9%	7.6%	6.7%
F Psychiatric hospitals	8	10	9	5	5	4	11.6%	10.4%	12.5%
G Unpeered and other	87	94	104	39	43	47	10.1%	10.6%	10.3%
Total	534	568	570	4,389	4,986	5,170	7.5%	8.7%	9.1%

Table 30 – Total episodes and proportion of episodes with COF diagnosis reported at least once by care type,public hospitals reporting COF, APC NMDS, 2009-10 to 2011-12

Care type	Number	of episod	les '000	Percentage of episodes with at least one COF diagnosis			
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
Admitted Patients Care NMDS							
Acute	4,038	4,603	4,766	7.2%	8.4%	8.8%	
Newborn qualified	41	45	49	20.0%	19.7%	19.5%	
Newborn unqualified	145	161	162	1.4%	1.8%	1.9%	
Rehabilitation	69	81	88	20.3%	22.2%	21.5%	
Palliative Care	21	24	27	17.9%	20.1%	20.9%	
Geriatric Eval. & Man.	20	26	30	35.4%	34.0%	33.7%	
Psychogeriatric	2	2	2	22.6%	22.9%	24.6%	
Maintenance	16	19	20	17.9%	18.9%	17.9%	
Other	36	26	28	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	
Total	4,389	4,986	5,170	7.5%	8.7%	9.1%	

Table 31 – Proportion of newborn episodes reported with qualified days	s,
public hospitals reporting COF, APC NMDS, 2009-10 to 2011-12	

State/Territory	Proportion of newborn episodes reported with qualified days					
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12			
Admitted Patients Care NMDS						
NSW	20.4%	19.2%	21.5%			
Vic.	21.8%	21.7%	22.6%			
Qld	21.8%	21.9%	22.9%			
SA	25.6%	26.4%	26.5%			
WA	18.1%	20.8%	22.5%			
Tas.	41.9%	28.2%	35.4%			
NT	27.0%	26.0%	24.3%			
ACT	26.6%	27.9%	28.4%			
Aust.	22.1%	21.7%	23.1%			

Table 32 – Unqualified baby episodes with at least one COF diagnosis report,
public hospitals reporting COF, APC NMDS, 2009-10 to 2011-12

Unqualified baby episodes with a COF diagnosies reported by state	Number Cl	of episod OF reporte	les with ed	Percentage of episodes with at least one COF diagnosis reported			
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
Admitted Patients Care NMDS							
NSW	270	994	1,262	1.0%	2.4%	3.1%	
Vic.	501	387	466	1.1%	0.9%	1.0%	
Qld	675	732	658	1.9%	2.1%	1.8%	
SA	77	84	81	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	
WA	347	314	313	1.9%	1.7%	1.7%	
Tas.	4	90	49	0.2%	2.8%	1.8%	
NT	171	190	133	6.7%	7.2%	4.8%	
ACT	23	40	68	0.7%	1.2%	2.0%	
Aust.	2,068	2,831	3,030	1.4%	1.8%	1.9%	

Table 33 – Total episodes and proportion of episodes with COF diagnosis reported at least once by day only/overnight status and admission status, public hospitals reporting COF, APC NMDS, 2009-10 to 2011-12

Day Only Status	Number	of episod	les '000	Percentage of episodes with at least one COF diagnosis reported			
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
Day Only	2,207	2,492	2,598	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	
Emergency	426	509	551	1.0%	1.1%	1.2%	
Planned	1,287	1,451	1,493	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	
Other	495	531	554	0.4%	0.7%	0.6%	
Overnight	2,182	2,494	2,573	14.3%	16.6%	17.4%	
Emergency	1,243	1,474	1,526	12.0%	12.9%	13.4%	
Planned	486	513	514	18.5%	18.9%	20.5%	
Other	452	507	532	16.3%	24.9%	26.0%	
Total episodes	4,389	4,986	5,170	7.5%	8.7%	9.1%	
Emergency	1,669	1,983	2,078	9.2%	9.9%	10.2%	
Planned	1,773	1,964	2,007	5.7%	5.6%	6.0%	
Other	947	1,038	1,085	8.0%	12.5%	13.1%	

Table 34 – Rei	porting of COF	with all propose	d exclusion applied.	NHCDC 2009-10 to	2011-12
		mini an propose	a cherosion applica,		2011 12

State/Territory	Numb	per of hos	pitals	Number	r of episod	les '000	Percentage of episodes with at least one COF diagnosis reported					
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12			
National hospital cost date	a collectio	n										
NSW	36	57	57	638	1,031	1,081	1.6%	6.7%	7.9%			
Vic.	59	58	58	1,329	1,391	1,433	9.6%	9.8%	10.3%			
Qld	36	35	37	827	862	891	8.5%	9.8%	9.8%			
SA	22	21	21	326	339	355	10.4%	10.9%	10.9%			
WA	20	20	20	446	484	494	6.5%	7.1%	7.0%			
Tas.	5	5	5	95	93	93	6.8%	9.6%	10.8%			
NT	2	2	2	82	87	96	5.6%	6.1%	5.4%			
ACT	2	2	2	83	88	93	7.7%	8.3%	9.0%			
Australia	182	200	202	3,826	4,376	4,536	7.5%	9.5%	9.2%			

Table 35 – CHADx codes, after application of data cleaning algorithms, by CHADx Class,
APC within initial exclusions applied, 2011-12

CHADx classes	CHADx codes after data cleanina	% of all CHADx codes
1.01 Complications of Infusion /Transfusion	2,215	0.3%
1.02 Gas Embolism	21	0.0%
1.03 Failed or Difficult Intubation	903	0.1%
1.04 Other haemorrhage & haematoma complicating a procedure (not elsewhere classified)	10,982	1.3%
1.05 Accidental puncture/lac during procedure	5,705	0.7%
1.06 Foreign body or substance left following procedure	105	0.0%
1.07 Other complications of surgical and Medical NEC (including shock)	5,234	0.6%
1.08 Disruption of wound	2,720	0.3%
1.09 Wound infection (excluding sepsis)	4,511	0.5%
1.10 Complications of cardiac and vascular implants (excluding sepsis)	11,169	1.3%
1.11 Complications of genitourinary implants (excluding Septicaemia)	2,838	0.3%
1.12 Complications of orthopaedic implants (excluding Septicaemia)	1,202	0.1%
1.13 Complications of other implants (excluding Septicaemia)	4,082	0.5%
1.14 Complications of Transplants	563	0.1%
1.15 Complications of reattachment and amputations	181	0.0%
1.16 Post-procedural disorders: Endocrine & Metabolic	126	0.0%
1.17 Post-procedural disorders: Nervous system	1,048	0.1%
1.18 Post-procedural disorders: Eye & Ear	228	0.0%
1.19 Post-procedural disorders: Circulatory system	4,831	0.6%
1.20 Post-procedural disorders: Respiratory system	4,263	0.5%
1.21 Post-procedural disorders: Digestive system	5,304	0.6%
1.22 Post-procedural disorders: Musculoskeletal system	240	0.0%
1.23 Post-procedural disorders: Genitourinary system	2,354	0.3%
2.01 Skin adverse effects due to systemic antibiotics, anti-infectives and other antiparasitics	2,113	0.2%
2.02 Other adverse effects due to Systemic antibiotics, anti-infectives and other antiparasitics.	3,620	0.4%
2.03 Nausea and vomiting due to antineoplastic drugs	231	0.0%
2.04 Other adverse effects due to antineoplastic drugs	1,473	0.2%
2.05 Coagulation defect due to drugs affecting blood constituents	503	0.1%
2.06 Other adverse effects due to drugs affecting blood constituents	3,748	0.4%
2.07 Nausea and vomiting due to opioids and related analgesics	1,024	0.1%
2.08 Alterations to mental state due to opioids and related analgesics	2,009	0.2%
2.09 Other adverse effects due to opioids and related analgesics	3,220	0.4%
2.10 Adverse effects due to anaesthesia (Incl. misadventure)	898	0.1%
2.11 Hypotension due to anaesthesia	575	0.1%
2.12 Alterations to mental state due to anaesthesia	404	0.0%
2.13 Other adverse effects due to drugs affecting the cardiovascular system	1,454	0.2%
2.14 Hypotension due to drugs affecting the cardiovascular system	1,196	0.1%
2.15 Adverse effects due to insulin & oral hypoglycaemics	140	0.0%
2.16 Adverse effects due to other drugs	8,046	0.9%

CHADx classes	CHADx codes after data cleaning	% of all CHADx codes
2.17 Anaphylactic shock due to correct drug properly administered	455	0.1%
2.18 Accidental overdose of drug or wrong drug given or taken in error	729	0.1%
3.01 Falls with fractured femur	286	0.0%
3.02 Falls with intracranial injury	295	0.0%
3.03 All other falls	8,453	1.0%
3.04 Injury due to assault	204	0.0%
3.05 Other patient accidents (exc poisoning)	4,169	0.5%
4.01 Sepsis	8	0.0%
4.02 Mycoses	6,226	0.7%
4.03 Methicillin resistant agent	607	0.1%
4.04 Other drug resistant infections	1,677	0.2%
4.05 Other infectious agents	1,933	0.2%
5.01 AMI	4,482	0.5%
5.02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE)	1,218	0.1%
5.03 Cardiac arrythmias, conduction disturbances & abnormal heart beat	33,074	3.8%
5.04 Ventricular Fibrillation/ Cardiac arrest	2,788	0.3%
5.05 Heart Failure	4,800	0.5%
5.06 Hypotension	35,738	4.1%
5.07 Cerebro-vascular Disease & TIA	2,406	0.3%
5.08 Venous thrombosis/embolism (not progressing to PE)	2,326	0.3%
5.09 Unstable and other angina	930	0.1%
5.10 Cardiogenic and Other Shock	1,109	0.1%
5.11 Other Circulatory System Complications	2,060	0.2%
6.01 ARDS, respiratory failure and pulmonary collapse (includes atelectasis)	11,379	1.3%
6.02 Aspiration pneumonia	2,187	0.2%
6.03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl influenza & pneumonia)	12,043	1.4%
6.04 Pulmonary oedema, pneumothorax & pleural effusion	5,553	0.6%
6.05 Haemorrhage from respiratory passages	2,926	0.3%
6.06 Asphyxia & respiratory arrest	1,898	0.2%
6.07 Breathing difficulties	4,791	0.5%
6.08 Other Hospital-acquired Respiratory Disorders	4,232	0.5%
7.01 Gastro enteritis	10,863	1.2%
7.02 Paralytic Ileus & Intestinal Obstruction (w/o hernia)	3,385	0.4%
7.03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile	1,739	0.2%
7.04 Constipation	18,219	2.1%
7.05 Nausea and Vomiting	18,348	2.1%
7.06 GI Bleeding not classified to a disease	4,173	0.5%
7.07 Other Digestive System Disorders	6,810	0.8%
8.01 Pressure Ulcers	7,962	0.9%
8.02 Cellullitis	2,225	0.3%
8.03 Dermatitis, Rash and Other skin effects	16,328	1.9%
8.04 Other Skin Disorders	2,603	0.3%

CHADx classes	CHADx codes after data cleanina	% of all CHADx codes
9.01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure	11,477	1.3%
9.02 Urinary tract infection	13,233	1.5%
9.03 Urinary Retention	11,485	1.3%
9.04 Other Complications and Symptoms of the Urinary System	11,873	1.4%
9.05 Other complications of male & female genitals	1,800	0.2%
10.01 Depressive episode & symptoms involving emotional state	5,702	0.7%
10.02 Panic and other anxiety disorders	2,466	0.3%
10.03 Adjustment & Other Psych Disorders	984	0.1%
10.04 Alterations to mental state	16,867	1.9%
10.05 Mental & behavioural disorders due to psychoactive substance use	1,850	0.2%
10.06 Patient self-harm (includes intentional and undetermined intent overdose)	985	0.1%
11.01 Complication of Abortion, Ectopic and Molar pregnancies	887	0.1%
12.01 Foetal heart rate abnomalies	20,225	2.3%
12.02 Foetal meconium and other distress	8,514	1.0%
12.03 Complications of umbilical cord	8,928	1.0%
12.04 Unsuccessful Interventions During Labour	5,161	0.6%
12.05 Complications of Maternal Anaesthetic during pregnancy and puerperium	1,114	0.1%
12.06 First degree and unspecified perineal laceration	14,186	1.6%
12.07 Second degree perineal laceration	39,144	4.5%
12.08 Third degree and Fourth degree perineal laceration	4,724	0.5%
12.09 Maternal Haemorrhage	25,241	2.9%
12.10 Other Obstetric Trauma	17,304	2.0%
12.11 Other complications intrapartum & postpartum	15,198	1.7%
12.12 Retained Placenta	841	0.1%
12.13 Maternal Infection (excluding wound infection)	3,005	0.3%
12.14 Breast Disorders associated with childbirth	16,950	1.9%
12.15 Other Disorders Predominately related to pregnancy	2,215	0.3%
13.01 Prenatal injuries	1,234	0.1%
13.02 Intracranial Haemorrhage, Hypoxia and Other Brain Injuries	3,165	0.4%
13.03 Other Birth Trauma	809	0.1%
13.04 Respiratory Distress of Newborn	8,113	0.9%
13.05 Aspiration & Other Respiratory Disorders of Newborn	6,032	0.7%
13.06 Circulatory Disorders of Newborn	4,448	0.5%
13.07 Perinatal infections (exc septicaemia)	3,225	0.4%
13.08 Haemorrhage and Blood Disorders of Newborn	365	0.0%
13.09 Jaundice	8,692	1.0%
13.10 GI and Feeding Disorders of Newborn	10,684	1.2%
13.11 Other Neonatal Complications	17,772	2.0%
14.01 Post Haemorrhagic Anaemia	6,592	0.8%
14.02 Other Hospital-acquired Anaemia	16,712	1.9%
14.03 Coagulation defects	2,036	0.2%

CHADx classes	CHADx codes after data cleaning	% of all CHADx codes
14.04 Agranulocytosis, Thrombocytopenia and other blood disorders	6,196	0.7%
15.01 Dehydration / volume depletion	14,177	1.6%
15.02 Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration	42,096	4.8%
15.03 Hospital-acquired Nutrition Deficiencies (incl nutritional anaemia)	7,110	0.8%
15.04 Hypoglycaemia and Hyperglycaemia	1,666	0.2%
15.05 Disorders of mineral metabolism	13,303	1.5%
15.06 SIADH, Hyperthyroidism & Other metabolic disorders	645	0.1%
16.01 Hospital-acquired Paralysis	1,319	0.2%
16.02 Dystonia, Tremors and Gait disorders	2,146	0.2%
16.03 Other Nervous System Complications	3,740	0.4%
17.01 Major Symptoms (includes instantaneous death)	3,574	0.4%
17.02 Headache & Migraine	7,684	0.9%
17.03 Oedema & Ascites	3,301	0.4%
17.04 Chest Pain	11,957	1.4%
17.05 Abdominal Pain	4,127	0.5%
17.06 Fever (not classified to condition)	10,862	1.2%
17.07 Convulsions	1,941	0.2%
17.08 Dizziness, Fainting & Blackout	7,161	0.8%
17.09 Complications of the Eye and Ear	3,571	0.4%
17.10 Musculoskeletal Complications (not associated with falls)	7,945	0.9%
17.11 Dysphagia	3,720	0.4%
17.12 Other Symptoms	10,843	1.2%
Total	876,438	100.0%

Appendix 2: NHCDC sample characteristics

		T -1-1	Adjacent DR	RG Version 6	i.x						
General Characteristics		Total	BO2 Cranial	B70 Stroke	E62	E65 Chronic	F05	F06	F07 Other	F10	F14 Vascular
		Sample	Procedures	and Other	Respiratory	Obstructive	Coronary	Coronary	Cardio-	Intervent-	Procedures
Total Enisodes	n	406 401	8 194	22 052	51 920	Airwavs 36.428	Bypass W 1 683	8vbass W/O 3 771	thoracic/Vas 866	ional 9 631	Except Maior 9 107
Any CoE diagnosis*	n	68 343	4 004	4 671	7 891	4 718	1 245	2 720	624	2 576	1 767
% Any CoE diagnosis*	%	16.8	48.9	21.2	15.2	13.0	74.0	72.1	72.1	2,570	19.4
PCCI-	70	10.0	40.5	21.2	13.2	10.0	74.0	72.1	/2.1	20.0	10.4
0	n	232.220	2 608	4 405	19 279	15 533	210	568	123	5 806	5 114
1		2 2 2 5 5	190	-,-05	421	13,555	215	500	11	5,000	3,114
2	n	47 577	404	4 349	6 010	3 1 2 2	. 47	. 164	9	. 1.061	191
3		59 787	1 687	6 657	10 779	9 134	296	1 035	163	1 443	1 918
4		64 562	3 305	6 5 5 5	15 / 31	8,626	1 1 2 1	2,004	560	1 3 2 1	1,910
Fmergency Admission Status		302 423	4 679	20.667	49.623	34,629	1,121	2,004	148	7 786	2 153
Day only admissions		64 171	4,075	912	2 721	1 912	1,000	2	140	38	1 824
Transfer in < 2 days		13 051	56	1 1 4 2	2,721	1,012	1	4		321	147
Enisode ends with death		9 262	441	2 303	3.055	944	25	47	17	121	85
Age Group:		5,202		2,000	5,055	544	25		1/	121	
00-14 years	n	10 280	608	77	7 045	269		1	345		105
15-44 years	 n	77 462	1 985	1 013	6 690	979	. 66	126	118	. 752	778
45-69 years		159 580	3 722	6 912	12 370	13 518	986	2 242	267	5 981	3,876
70-84 years	 n	116 737	1 637	9.050	15 650	17 158	609	1 346	128	2 5 2 1	3,612
85 years +	 n	42 342	242	5,000	10 165	4 504	22	56	8	377	736
		42,042	242	5,000	10,105	4,504	~~~~	50	0	377	/30
% of episodes with:											
PCCI:											
0	%	57.1%	31.8%	20.0%	37.1%	42.6%	13.0%	15.1%	14.2%	60.3%	56.2%
1	%	0.6%	2.3%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
2	%	11.7%	4.9%	19.7%	11.6%	8.6%	2.8%	4.3%	1.0%	11.0%	2.1%
3	%	14.7%	20.6%	30.2%	20.8%	25.1%	17.6%	27.4%	18.8%	15.0%	21.1%
4	%	15.9%	40.3%	29.7%	29.7%	23.7%	66.6%	53.1%	64.7%	13.7%	20.7%
Emergency Admission Status	%	74.4%	57.1%	93.7%	95.6%	95.1%	63.5%	18.7%	17.1%	80.8%	23.6%
Day only admissions	%	15.8%	0.5%	4.1%	5.2%	5.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	20.0%
Transfer in < 2 days	%	3.2%	0.7%	5.2%	4.0%	3.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	3.3%	1.6%
Episode ends with death	%	2.3%	5.4%	10.4%	5.9%	2.6%	1.5%	1.2%	2.0%	1.3%	0.9%
Age Group:	%										
00-14 years	%	2.5%	7.4%	0.3%	13.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	39.8%	0.0%	1.2%
15-44 years	%	19.1%	24.2%	4.6%	12.9%	2.7%	3.9%	3.3%	13.6%	7.8%	8.5%
45-69 years	%	39.3%	45.4%	31.3%	23.8%	37.1%	58.6%	59.5%	30.8%	62.1%	42.6%
70-84 years	%	28.7%	20.0%	41.0%	30.1%	47.1%	36.2%	35.7%	14.8%	26.2%	39.7%
85 years +	%	10.4%	3.0%	22.7%	19.6%	12.4%	1.3%	1.5%	0.9%	3.9%	8.1%

Table 36 – Characteristic	s of NHCDC Sample - Part 1
---------------------------	----------------------------

General Characteristics LOGI F41 F42 F62 Heart F72 Heart OS Majo Dial Lagroc Dial Lagroc <thdial <="" lagroc<="" th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Adjacent DR</th><th>RG Version 6</th><th>x</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></thdial>				Adjacent DR	RG Version 6	x										
Sample Circulatory Fallure and point Pain Small and vertice Replace Rep	General Characteristics		Total	F41	F42	F62 Heart	F74 Chest	G02 Major	H08 Laparo-	103 Hip	104 Knee	131 Hip	168 Non-	NO4 Hyster-	U61 Schizo-	U63 Major
Total Episodes n 406 401 6312 20345 10140 1327 32,860 100140 1327 32,860 10718 11,178 11,600 10,718 11,178 11,600 10,718 11,178 11,600 10,727 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 32,860 1,778 1,787 2,288 9.60 1178 1,787 2,288 6,296 1,378 32,860 1,378 32,860 1,378 32,860 1,378 32,860 3,777 2,846 4,060 4,860 315 1,688 1,090 7181 1113 3577 353 6,626 5,777 386 6,628 4,777 384 6,782 378 3			Sample	Circulatory	Circulatory	Failure and	Pain	Small and	scopic Chole-	Replace-	Replace-	Revision	surgical	ectomy for	phrenia	Affective
Any CoF diagnosis* n 66,343 1,169 2,655 4,955 1,224 5,917 2,895 5,388 4,191 787 2,588 1,971 2,000 1,737 % Any CoF diagnosis* % 16.8 115 9.7 18.8 1.8 5,00 1.37 51.1 40.0 59.3 7.9 23.8 9.6 119 OCL: n 2,255 2.213 18 61.3 . <td< td=""><td>Total Episodes</td><td>n</td><td>406,401</td><td>Disorders W 6.312</td><td>27.254</td><td>26.303</td><td>70.609</td><td>Large Bowel 10.758</td><td>21.178</td><td>ment 11.630</td><td>ment 10.470</td><td>1.327</td><td>32,860</td><td>Non- 8.268</td><td>Disorders 21.178</td><td>Disorders 14.602</td></td<>	Total Episodes	n	406,401	Disorders W 6.312	27.254	26.303	70.609	Large Bowel 10.758	21.178	ment 11.630	ment 10.470	1.327	32,860	Non- 8.268	Disorders 21.178	Disorders 14.602
Sk. Any Cof diagnosis* % 16.8 18.5 9.7 18.8 1.8 55.0 13.7 51.1 40.0 59.3 7.9 23.8 9.6 11.9 PCCL n 23.2220 3.298 19.692 5.851 62.300 2.626 16.511 5.453 7.125 567 24,783 62.96 14.007 10.056 1 n 2.255 7.53 8.690 315 1.668 1.000 7.81 1.11 3.577 358 6.053 3.460 2 n 47.77 1.264 4.067 4.068 4.890 315 1.688 1.096 2.88 1.777 984 928 870 4 n 6.462 573 7.83 8.77 375 5 10 11.185 1.72 16.88 1.946 2.469 73 4.67 26.394 75 16.887 1.627 20 only admissions n 13.051 5.57 1.127 1.4	Any CoF diagnosis*	n	68,343	1.169	2.655	4,955	1.284	5,917	2,895	5,938	4,191	787	2,588	1.971	2.040	1.737
PCCL: Image: Constraint of the second s	% Any CoF diagnosis*	%	16.8	18.5	9.7	18.8	1.8	55.0	13.7	51.1	40.0	59.3	7.9	23.8	9.6	11.9
0 n 232,220 3,298 19,692 5,851 62,300 2,262 16,511 5,453 7,125 567 24,783 62,96 14,007 10,056 1 n 2,255 2 213 18 613 .	PCCL:															
1 n 2,255 2 213 18 613 .	0	n	232.220	3.298	19.692	5.851	62.300	2.626	16.511	5.453	7.125	567	24,783	6.296	14.007	10.056
2 n 47,577 1,264 4,067 4,608 4,809 315 1,686 1,090 781 111 3,577 336 6,053 3,460 3 n 5,9787 1,195 2,519 6,292 2,459 2,026 1,971 2,033 1,696 268 2,777 984 928 870 4 n 64,562 553 763 8,897 347 5,711 1,028 3,048 868 881 1,319 388 179 208 by only admissions n 64,171 149 12,386 1,014 0,458 162 51 5 10 - 1,850 2,4 - <td>1</td> <td>n</td> <td>2,255</td> <td>2</td> <td>213</td> <td>18</td> <td>613</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>404</td> <td>264</td> <td>11</td> <td>8</td>	1	n	2,255	2	213	18	613						404	264	11	8
3 n 59,787 1,195 2,519 6,929 2,459 2,026 1,971 2,053 1,666 2.68 2,777 984 928 870 4 n 64,562 553 753 8,897 347 5,791 1,028 3,034 686 381 1,319 388 179 028 Day only admissions n 64,171 149 12,396 61,014 30,458 162 651 5 10 - 11,850 24 - <th< td=""><td>2</td><td>n</td><td>47.577</td><td>1.264</td><td>4.067</td><td>4,608</td><td>4.890</td><td>315</td><td>1.668</td><td>1.090</td><td>781</td><td>111</td><td>3.577</td><td>336</td><td>6.053</td><td>3,460</td></th<>	2	n	47.577	1.264	4.067	4,608	4.890	315	1.668	1.090	781	111	3.577	336	6.053	3,460
4 n 64,562 553 763 8,897 347 5,791 1,028 8,034 868 381 1,319 388 179 208 Emergency Admission Status n 302,423 5,037 9,244 24,908 66,100 5,538 6,826 4,649 73 447 26,994 75 16,687 11,627 Day only admissions n 64,171 149 12,326 1,014 30,458 162 651 5 10 - 11,850 24 - - - Transfer in < 2 days	3	n	59,787	1,195	2,519	6,929	2,459	2,026	1,971	2,053	1,696	268	2,777	984	928	870
Emergency Admission Status n 302,423 5,037 9,284 24,908 69,100 5,538 6,826 4,649 73 467 26,394 75 16,987 11,627 Day only admissions n 64,171 149 12,395 1,04 30,458 162 651 5 10 - 11,850 24 - 16,987 31,04 14,21 7,469 4 12,72 14,503 3,597 943 316 2,391 357 174 3,558 322 631 475 1,269 357 7,613 5,619 4,152	4	n	64,562	553	763	8,897	347	5,791	1.028	3.034	868	381	1.319	388	179	208
Day only admissions n 64,171 149 12,396 1,014 30,458 162 651 5 10 - 11,850 24 - Transfer in < 2 days	Emergency Admission Status	n	302,423	5.037	9,284	24,908	69,100	5,538	6,826	4,649	73	467	26,394	75	16,987	11.627
Transfer in < 2 days n 13,051 565 1,270 1,466 2,480 72 107 32 35 3 1,528 33 314 279 Episode ends with death n 9,262 66 51 1,317 19 402 15 176 4 177 117 - 15 32 Age Group: n 10,280 . 271 46 222 377 55 5 1 . 652 . 24 177 15-44 years n 7,462 491 2,841 535 15,728 1,572 9,460 319 81 40 9,084 3,104 14,231 7,469 45-69 years n 116,737 2,296 8,740 12,272 14,503 3,704 2,758 5,090 4,826 637 7,825 631 475 1,269 85 years + n 42,342 305 676 8,689 3,537 943 316 2,391 357 174 3,558 32 33 221 <td< td=""><td>Day only admissions</td><td>n</td><td>64,171</td><td>149</td><td>12,396</td><td>1,014</td><td>30,458</td><td>162</td><td>651</td><td>5</td><td>10</td><td>-</td><td>11,850</td><td>24</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></td<>	Day only admissions	n	64,171	149	12,396	1,014	30,458	162	651	5	10	-	11,850	24	-	-
Episode ends with death n 9,262 68 51 1,317 19 402 15 176 4 17 117 - 15 23 Age Group: n 10,280 . 271 46 222 377 55 5 1 . 652 . 24 177 15-44 years n 179,580 3,20 14,726 4,716 36,619 4,162 8,589 3,825 5,000 476 11,741 4,501 6,615 5,469 70.84 years n 159,580 3,220 14,726 4,712 14,503 3,704 2,758 5,090 4,826 637 7,825 631 475 1,269 85 years + n 42,342 305 676 8,689 3,537 943 316 2,391 357 174 3,558 32 33 221 % of episodes with: pcc: - - - - - -	Transfer in < 2 days	n	13,051	565	1,270	1,466	2,480	72	107	32	35	3	1,528	33	314	279
Age Group: n 10,280 271 46 222 377 55 5 1 652 . 24 15-44 years n 10,280 . 271 46 222 377 55 5 1 . 652 . 24 177 15-44 years n 159,580 3,220 14,726 4,761 36,619 4,162 8,589 3,825 5,205 476 11,741 4,501 6,415 5,466 70-84 years n 116,737 2,226 8,740 12,272 14,503 3,704 2,758 5,090 4,826 637 7,825 631 475 1,269 85 years + n 142,342 305 676 8,689 3,537 943 316 2,391 357 174 3,558 32 33 221 VCL: 1478 16,889 3,537 943 316	Episode ends with death	n	9,262	68	51	1,317	. 19	402	15	176	4	17	117	-	15	23
On-14 years n 10,280 . 271 46 222 377 55 5 1 . 652 . 24 177 15-44 years n 77,462 491 2,841 555 15,728 1,572 9,460 319 81 40 9,084 3,104 14,231 7,469 45-69 years n 15,737 2,296 8,740 12,272 14,703 3,704 2,758 5,090 4,825 637 7,825 661 475 1,269 85 years + n 42,342 305 676 8,689 3,537 943 316 2,911 357 174 3,558 32 33 221 9 of episodes with: 661 661% 661% 68,9% 57.5% 66.1% 66.1% 6	Age Group:															
15-44 years n 77,462 491 2,841 535 15,728 1,572 9,460 319 81 40 9,084 3,104 14,231 7,469 45-69 years n 159,580 3,220 14,726 4,761 35,619 4,162 8,589 3,825 5,205 476 11,714 4,501 6,415 5,465 70-84 years n 142,342 2,296 8,740 12,272 14,503 3,704 2,758 5,090 4,826 637 7,825 631 475 1,269 85 years + n 42,342 305 676 8,689 3,537 943 316 2,391 357 174 3,558 32 33 221 9cf episodes with: p . </td <td>00-14 years</td> <td>n</td> <td>10,280</td> <td></td> <td>271</td> <td>46</td> <td>222</td> <td>377</td> <td>55</td> <td>5</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>652</td> <td></td> <td>24</td> <td>177</td>	00-14 years	n	10,280		271	46	222	377	55	5	1		652		24	177
45-69 years n 159,580 3,220 14,726 4,761 36,619 4,162 8,589 3,825 5,205 476 11,741 4,501 6,415 5,466 70-84 years n 116,737 2,296 8,740 12,272 14,503 3,704 2,758 5,090 4,825 637 7,825 631 475 1,269 85 years + n 42,342 305 676 8,689 3,537 943 316 2,391 357 174 3,558 32 33 221 % of episodes with:	15-44 years	n	77,462	491	2,841	535	15,728	1,572	9,460	319	81	40	9,084	3,104	14,231	7,469
70-84 years n 116,737 2,296 8,740 12,272 14,503 3,704 2,758 5,090 4,826 637 7,825 631 475 1,269 85 years + n 42,342 305 676 8,689 3,537 943 316 2,391 357 174 3,558 32 33 221 % of episodes with: III IIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	45-69 years	n	159,580	3,220	14,726	4,761	36,619	4,162	8,589	3,825	5,205	476	11,741	4,501	6,415	5,466
85 years + n 42,342 305 676 8,689 3,537 943 316 2,391 357 174 3,558 32 33 221 % of episodes with:	70-84 years	n	116,737	2,296	8,740	12,272	14,503	3,704	2,758	5,090	4,826	637	7,825	631	475	1,269
% of episodes with: //// /// //<	85 years +	n	42,342	305	676	8,689	3,537	943	316	2,391	357	174	3,558	32	33	221
Normalization Image: Construction	% of episodes with:															
Note Note <th< td=""><td>PCCI:</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	PCCI:															
b 71.3% 71.	0	%	57.1%	52.2%	72.3%	22.2%	88.2%	24.4%	78.0%	46.9%	68.1%	42.7%	75.4%	76.1%	66.1%	68.9%
1 10 0.0.% 0.0.% 0.0.% 0.0.% 0.0.% 0.0.% 0.0.% 11.2% 0.1.% 0.1.% 0.1.% 0.1.% 0.1.% 0.0.%<	1	%	0.6%	0.0%	0.8%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	3.2%	0.1%	0.1%
1 11.7% 12.5% 14.7% 14.	2	%	11.7%	20.0%	14.9%	17.5%	6.9%	2.9%	7.9%	9.4%	7.5%	8.4%	10.9%	4.1%	28.6%	23.7%
b 11.00 10.	3	%	14.7%	18.9%	9.2%	26.3%	3.5%	18.8%	9.3%	17.7%	16.2%	20.2%	8.5%	11.9%	4 4%	6.0%
Image of the second	4	%	15.9%	8.8%	2.8%	33.8%	0.5%	53.8%	4.9%	26.1%	8.3%	28.7%	4.0%	4 7%	0.8%	1.4%
Interpret y damission of the probability of the probabilit	Emergency Admission Status	%	74.4%	79.8%	34.1%	94.7%	97.9%	51.5%	32.2%	40.0%	0.7%	35.2%	80.3%	0.9%	80.2%	79.6%
Transfer in < 2 days % 3.2% 9.0% 4.7% 5.6% 3.5% 0.7% 0.5% 0.3% 0.2% 4.7% 0.4% 1.5% 1.9% Episode ends with death % 2.3% 1.1% 0.2% 5.0% 0.0% 3.7% 0.1% 1.5% 0.0% 1.3% 0.4% 0.4% 1.5% 1.9% Age Group: 0 0 0.0% 1.0% 0.3% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% <td>Day only admissions</td> <td>%</td> <td>15.8%</td> <td>2.4%</td> <td>45.5%</td> <td>3.9%</td> <td>43.1%</td> <td>1.5%</td> <td>3.1%</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>0.1%</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>36.1%</td> <td>0.3%</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td>0.0%</td>	Day only admissions	%	15.8%	2.4%	45.5%	3.9%	43.1%	1.5%	3.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	36.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Episode ends with death % 2.3% 1.1% 0.2% 5.0% 0.0% 3.7% 0.1% 1.5% 0.0% 1.3% 0.4% 0.0% 0.1% 0.2% Age Group: % 2.5% 0.0% 1.0% 0.2% 0.3% 0.3% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.1% <	Transfer in < 2 days	%	3.2%	9.0%	4 7%	5.6%	3.5%	0.7%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	4 7%	0.4%	1.5%	1.9%
Age Group: %	Episode ends with death	%	2.3%	1.1%	0.2%	5.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.1%	1.5%	0.0%	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%
Op-14 years % 2.5% 0.0% 1.0% 0.2% 0.3% 3.5% 0.3% 0.0% 0.0% 2.0% 0.0% 0.1% 1.2% 15-44 years % 19.1% 7.8% 10.4% 2.0% 22.3% 14.6% 44.7% 2.7% 0.8% 3.0% 27.6% 37.5% 67.2% 51.2% 45-69 years % 39.3% 51.0% 54.0% 18.1% 51.9% 38.7% 40.6% 32.9% 49.7% 35.9% 35.7% 54.4% 30.3% 37.4%	Age Group:	%														
15-44 years % 19.1% 7.8% 10.4% 2.0% 22.3% 14.6% 44.7% 2.7% 0.8% 3.0% 27.6% 37.5% 67.2% 51.2% 45-69 years % 39.3% 51.0% 54.0% 18.1% 51.9% 38.7% 40.6% 32.9% 49.7% 35.9% 35.7% 54.4% 30.3% 37.4%	00-14 years	%	2.5%	0.0%	1.0%	0.2%	0.3%	3.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.2%
45-69 years % 39.3% 51.0% 54.0% 18.1% 51.9% 38.7% 40.6% 32.9% 49.7% 35.9% 35.7% 54.4% 30.3% 37.4%	15-44 years	%	19.1%	7.8%	10.4%	2.0%	22.3%	14.6%	44.7%	2.7%	0.8%	3.0%	27.6%	37.5%	67.2%	51.2%
	45-69 years	%	39.3%	51.0%	54.0%	18.1%	51.9%	38.7%	40.6%	32.9%	49.7%	35.9%	35.7%	54.4%	30.3%	37.4%
70-84 years % 28 7% 36 4% 32 1% 46 7% 20 5% 34 4% 13 0% 43 8% 46 1% 48 0% 23 8% 7 6% 2 2% 8 7%	70-84 years	%	28.7%	36.4%	32.1%	46.7%	20.5%	34.4%	13.0%	43.8%	46.1%	48.0%	23.8%	7.6%	2.2%	8.7%
5 vers + % 10.4% 4.8% 2.5% 33.0% 5.0% 8.8% 1.5% 20.6% 3.4% 13.1% 0.8% 0.4% 0.2% 1.5%	85 years +	%	10.4%	4.8%	2.5%	33.0%	5.0%	8.8%	1.5%	20.6%	3.4%	13.1%	10.8%	0.4%	0.2%	1.5%

Table 36 Characteristics of NHCDC Sample - Part	Table 36	Characteristics	of NHCDC	Sample -	- Part 2
---	----------	------------------------	----------	----------	----------

	_		Adjacent DF	G Version 6	.x						
Major CHADx Groups		Total Sample	BO2 Cranial Procedures	B70 Stroke and Other Cerebro- vascular Disorders	E62 Respiratory Infections/ Inflam- mations	E65 Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease	F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F07 Other Cardio- thoracic/Vas cular Proc. W CPB Pump	F10 Intervent- ional Coronary Procedures W AMI	F14 Vascular Procedures Except Major Reconstr. W/O CPB Pump
Total Episodes	n	406,401	8,194	22,052	51,920	36,428	1,683	3,771	866	9,631	9,107
Any CoF diagnosis	n	68,343	4,004	4,671	7,891	4,718	1,245	2,720	624	2,576	1,767
01 Post-procedural complications	n	14,922	1,289	319	481	231	516	919	232	1,029	877
02 Adverse drug events	n	6,931	258	402	1,008	677	83	151	46	204	141
03 Accidental injuries	n	2,563	148	259	368	277	13	33	5	24	39
04 Specific infections	n	2,002	187	234	380	209	23	37	17	24	32
05 Cardiovascular complications	n	19,915	1,189	1,230	2,209	1,123	699	1,555	348	1,001	475
06 Respiratory Complications	n	9,317	633	749	1,043	541	400	956	236	223	147
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	n	12,396	687	848	1,482	827	195	331	97	270	165
08 Skin Conditions	n	5,514	368	516	813	397	79	114	41	90	122
09 Genitourinary Complications	n	10,769	844	1,205	1,031	517	240	468	118	237	200
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	n	6,622	634	587	730	428	126	257	50	113	86
11-13 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	n	52	10	1	7	-	-	-	1	1	1
14 Haematological Disorders	n	6,378	315	94	403	108	285	551	140	87	135
15 Metabolic Disorders	n	15,696	1,289	976	2,029	979	500	1,030	285	340	305
16 Nervous System Complications	n	1,955	733	179	119	78	31	66	19	33	36
17 Other Complications	n	14,026	1,418	1,143	1,359	1,054	169	336	132	440	277
Percentage of episodes:											
Any CoF diagnosis	%	16.8	48.9	21.2	15.2	13.0	74.0	72.1	72.1	26.8	19.4
01 Post-procedural complications	%	3.7	15.7	1.5	0.9	0.6	30.7	24.4	26.8	10.7	9.6
02 Adverse drug events	%	1.7	3.2	1.8	1.9	1.9	4.9	4.0	5.3	2.1	1.6
03 Accidental injuries	%	0.6	1.8	1.2	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.3	0.4
04 Specific infections	%	0.5	2.3	1.1	0.7	0.6	1.4	1.0	2.0	0.3	0.4
05 Cardiovascular complications	%	4.9	14.5	5.6	4.3	3.1	41.5	41.2	40.2	10.4	5.2
06 Respiratory Complications	%	2.3	7.7	3.4	2.0	1.5	23.8	25.4	27.3	2.3	1.6
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	%	3.1	8.4	3.9	2.9	2.3	11.6	8.8	11.2	2.8	1.8
08 Skin Conditions	%	1.4	4.5	2.3	1.6	1.1	4.7	3.0	4.7	0.9	1.3
09 Genitourinary Complications	%	2.7	10.3	5.5	2.0	1.4	14.3	12.4	13.6	2.5	2.2
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	%	1.6	7.7	2.7	1.4	1.2	7.5	6.8	5.8	1.2	0.9
11-13 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	%	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
14 Haematological Disorders	%	1.6	3.9	0.4	0.8	0.3	16.9	14.6	16.2	0.9	1.5
15 Metabolic Disorders	%	3.9	15.7	4.4	3.9	2.7	29.7	27.3	32.9	3.5	3.4
16 Nervous System Complications	%	0.5	9.0	0.8	0.2	0.2	1.8	1.8	2.2	0.3	0.4
17 Other Complications	%	3.5	17.3	5.2	2.6	2.9	10.0	8.9	15.2	4.6	3.0

|--|
Major CHADx Groups		Total Sample	F41 Circulatory Disorders W AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inves. Proc.	F42 Circulatory Disorders W/O AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inve. Proc.	F62 Heart Failure and Shock	F74 Chest Pain	GO2 Major Small and Large Bowel Procedures	H08 Laparo- scopic Chole- cystectomy	103 Hip Replace- ment	104 Knee Replace- ment	131 Hip Revision	168 Non- surgical Spinal Disorders	NO4 Hyster- ectomy for Non- Malignancy	U61 Schizo- phrenia Disorders	U63 Major Affective Disorders
Total Episodes	n	406,401	6,312	27,254	26,303	70,609	10,758	21,178	11,630	10,470	1,327	32,860	8,268	21,178	14,602
Any CoF diagnosis	n	68,343	1,169	2,655	4,955	1,284	5,917	2,895	5,938	4,191	787	2,588	1,971	2,040	1,737
01 Post-procedural complications	n	14,922	365	992	308	64	2,896	1,101	1,269	943	231	105	655	31	69
02 Adverse drug events	n	6,931	114	226	660	212	442	176	515	411	76	482	132	292	223
03 Accidental injuries	n	2,563	18	32	282	35	95	25	132	101	28	119	13	255	262
04 Specific infections	n	2,002	17	21	124	11	296	31	92	27	16	57	12	86	69
05 Cardiovascular complications	n	19,915	380	755	1,474	333	1,869	547	2,059	1,171	279	408	330	247	234
06 Respiratory Complications	n	9,317	97	132	550	54	1,156	318	812	415	73	236	148	230	168
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	n	12,396	133	196	776	172	1,608	502	1,076	810	123	782	517	430	369
08 Skin Conditions	n	5,514	49	113	466	62	519	112	552	320	81	236	106	202	156
09 Genitourinary Complications	n	10,769	129	182	1,021	74	1,126	300	1,206	579	120	522	284	185	181
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	n	6,622	54	82	366	69	575	110	821	325	72	333	41	400	363
11-13 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	n	52	-	1	8	2	4	4	-	-	-	3	2	2	5
14 Haematological Disorders	n	6,378	41	53	152	18	808	59	1,668	906	272	54	189	25	15
15 Metabolic Disorders	n	15,696	157	289	1,258	84	2,243	430	1,581	782	188	381	231	170	169
16 Nervous System Complications	n	1,955	17	37	98	13	98	10	83	36	6	54	8	106	95
17 Other Complications	n	14,026	194	517	815	371	1,000	459	1,208	955	159	504	369	629	518
Percentage of episodes:															
Any CoF diagnosis	%	16.8	18.5	9.7	18.8	1.8	55.0	13.7	51.1	40.0	59.4	7.9	23.8	9.6	11.9
01 Post-procedural complications	%	3.7	5.8	3.6	1.2	0.1	26.9	5.2	10.9	9.0	17.4	0.3	7.9	0.2	0.5
02 Adverse drug events	%	1.7	1.8	0.8	2.5	0.3	4.1	0.8	4.4	3.9	5.7	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.5
03 Accidental injuries	%	0.6	0.3	0.1	1.1	0.1	. 0.9	0.1	1.1	1.0	2.1	0.4	0.2	1.2	1.8
04 Specific infections	%	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.0	2.8	0.2	0.8	0.3	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5
05 Cardiovascular complications	%	4.9	6.0	2.8	5.6	0.5	17.4	2.6	17.7	11.2	21.0	1.2	4.0	1.2	1.6
06 Respiratory Complications	%	2.3	1.5	0.5	2.1	0.1	10.8	1.5	7.0	4.0	5.5	0.7	1.8	1.1	1.2
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	%	3.1	2.1	0.7	3.0	0.2	15.0	2.4	9.3	7.7	9.3	2.4	6.3	2.0	2.5
08 Skin Conditions	%	1.4	0.8	0.4	1.8	0.1	4.8	0.5	4.8	3.1	6.1	0.7	1.3	1.0	1.1
09 Genitourinary Complications	%	2.7	2.0	0.7	3.9	0.1	. 10.5	1.4	10.4	5.5	9.1	1.6	3.4	0.9	1.2
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	%	1.6	0.9	0.3	1.4	0.1	5.4	0.5	7.1	3.1	5.4	1.0	0.5	1.9	2.5
11-13 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14 Haematological Disorders	%	1.6	0.7	0.2	0.6	0.0	7.5	0.3	14.3	8.7	20.5	0.2	2.3	0.1	0.1
15 Metabolic Disorders	%	3.9	2.5	1.1	4.8	0.1	20.9	2.0	13.6	7.5	14.2	1.2	2.8	0.8	1.2
16 Nervous System Complications	%	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.9	0.1	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.7
17 Other Complications	%	3.5	3.1	1.9	3.1	0.5	9.3	2.2	10.4	9.1	12.0	1.5	4.5	3.0	3.6

Table 37 – NHCDC Sample – Episodes with Major CHADx Groups - Part 2

	1	Overnight ep	oisodes			All episo	des	
Original Adjacent DRG	NHCDC Sample	APC NMDS*	Sample as % of APC	Weight	NHCDC Sample	APC NMDS*	Sample as % of APC	Weight
A06 Tracheostomy and/or Ventilation >95 hours	8,482	9,744	87%	1.15	8,489	9,756	87%	1.15
B02 Cranial Procedures	7,388	9,153	81%	1.24	7,458	9,246	81%	1.24
B70 Stroke and Other Cerebrovascular Disorders	20,368	25,769	79%	1.27	21,950	29,708	74%	1.35
E62 Respiratory Infections/Inflammations	47,657	67,936	70%	1.43	51,530	75,140	69%	1.46
E65 Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease	33,770	50,668	67%	1.50	36,294	55,434	65%	1.53
F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Investigation	1,602	2,014	80%	1.26	1,603	2,017	79%	1.26
F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Investigation	3,645	4,550	80%	1.25	3,649	4,554	80%	1.25
F07 Other Cardiothoracic/Vascular Procedures W CPB Pump	788	1,043	76%	1.32	789	1,045	76%	1.32
F10 Interventional Coronary Procedures W AMI	9,325	11,427	82%	1.23	9,581	11,855	81%	1.24
F14 Vascular Procedures Except Major Reconstruction W/O CPB Pump	7,128	9,928	72%	1.39	9,078	12,645	72%	1.39
F41 Circulatory Disorders W AMI W Invasive Cardiac Investigative Procedures	5,693	7,140	80%	1.25	6,300	7,954	79%	1.26
F42 Circulatory Disorders W/O AMI W Invasive Cardiac Investigative Procedures	13,718	24,081	57%	1.76	27,211	42,289	64%	1.55
F62 Heart Failure and Shock	24,417	35,607	69%	1.46	26,264	39,218	67%	1.49
F74 Chest Pain	38,525	59,524	65%	1.55	70,612	114,586	62%	1.62
G02 Major Small and Large Bowel Procedures	10,220	13,739	74%	1.34	10,426	14,073	74%	1.35
H08 Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy	20,450	29,707	69%	1.45	21,165	30,500	69%	1.44
103 Hip Replacement	11,581	19,007	61%	1.64	11,590	19,021	61%	1.64
IO4 Knee Replacement	10,449	19,140	55%	1.83	10,467	19,163	55%	1.83
I31 Hip Revision	1,321	2,128	62%	1.61	1,323	2,130	62%	1.61
168 Non-surgical Spinal Disorders	20,221	32,737	62%	1.62	32,838	59,569	55%	1.81
NO4 Hysterectomy for Non-Malignancy	8,225	13,544	61%	1.65	8,267	13,599	61%	1.64
U61 Schizophrenia Disorders	21,173	28,059	75%	1.33	21,173	28,059	75%	1.33
U63 Major Affective Disorders	14,599	24,190	60%	1.66	14,599	24,190	60%	1.66
Total	340,745	500,835	68%	1.47	412,656	625,751	66%	1.52

Table 37 – NHCDC Sample and a proportion of APC episodes by the original Adjacent DRG, 2011-12

Notes: APC sample includes all hospitals (public and private). Only episodes with a care type of acute were included.

(1) A number of episodes with an original ADRG of A06, were allocated to other ADRGs within the selected set when regrouped.

Appendix 3: Detailed results

Table 38 – Mean Estimates of the incremental cost Model A1 and A2 - Part 1

Adjacent DRG Version 6.x													
	Episodes with COF Diagnosis	Total Sample	B02 Cranial Procedures	B70 Stroke and Other Cerebro- vascular Disorders	E62 Respiratory Infections/ Inflam- mations	E65 Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease	F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F07 Other Cardio- thoracic/Vas cular Proc. W CPB Pump	F10 Intervent- ional Coronary Procedures W AMI	F14 Vascular Procedures Except Major Reconstr. W/O CPB Pump	F41 Circulatory Disorders W AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inves. Proc.	F42 Circulatory Disorders W/O AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inve. Proc.
Number of episodes (inc same day)		406,401	8,194	22,052	51,920	36,428	1,683	3,771	866	9,631	9,107	6,312	27,254
Number of episodes (exc same day)		342,230	8,153	21,140	49,199	34,516	1,682	3,769	865	9,593	7,283	6,163	14,858
% overnight episodes		84%	99%	96%	95%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	98%	55%
		\$	Ş	\$	\$	Ş	Ş	\$	Ş	Ş	\$	Ş	\$
Mean cost per episode		9,513	37,284	9,343	6,933	6,253	49,535	35,734	51,720	11,506	10,332	8,402	5,083
Mean cost per overnight episode		11,061	37,441	9,690	7,277	6,559	49,557	35,740	51,739	11,528	12,007	8,543	7,178
Model A1 - Presence of any COF diagnosis Overnigh	t Episodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		11,095	37,234	9,459	7,546	6,842	50,896	36,115	50,413	10,671	12,477	8,278	6,897
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		9,268	24,257	7,603	6,163	5,887	40,670	29,145	34,574	9,861	10,272	7,623	6,382
Incremental cost of presence of any COF diagnosis	67,621	9,244	26,450	8,436	8,659	7,006	13,825	9,661	21,991	3,031	9,304	3,481	3,248
Model A2 - Presence of Major CHADx - Overnight ep	oisodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		11,238	38,120	9,616	7,717	6,917	50,945	36,552	50,467	10,678	12,676	8,291	6,932
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		9,246	24,117	7,736	6,214	5,929	39,633	27,504	32,331	9,706	10,218	7,551	6,332
Incremental cost of presence of:	n												
01 Post-procedural complications	14,686	6,330	12,774	4,794	8,506	6,939	7,824	7,223	13,091	1,062	4,072	1,419	1,058
02 Adverse drug events	6,853	3,771	9,307	3,942	2,843	1,952	6,411	3,785	9,838	1,985	4,281	1,880	1,897
03 Accidental injuries	2,556	4,792	7,706	2,813	2,831	2,301	296	1,910	23,037	1,380	6,521	2,651	3,162
04 Specific infections	2,001	7,615	12,164	3,567	4,634	4,105	21,627	17,335	23,169	3,878	16,347	8,256	7,492
05 Cardiovascular complications	19,732	3,071	7,880	2,678	2,958	3,314	3,798	2,650	4,249	1,293	3,231	2,557	2,445
06 Respiratory Complications	9,299	5,465	13,838	4,337	5,349	5,449	5,976	4,369	12,604	5,888	2,774	4,512	3,868
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	12,337	3,237	3,502	2,886	4,065	3,260	4,192	4,656	5,033	1,659	4,146	2,022	1,428
08 Skin Conditions	5,495	4,701	5,704	3,816	3,785	4,356	7,143	8,768	4,414	3,459	5,876	2,313	4,115
09 Genitourinary Complications	10,757	3,648	4,930	4,242	2,969	2,350	9,562	6,310	10,708	2,925	5,835	4,089	3,023
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	3,293	3,185	2,539	3,224	2,323	6,959	7,760	4,864	2,605	5,745	1,024	2,802
11-12 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	49	2,200	-5,605	-7,387	2,388				42,931	1,055	-657		-3,226
14 Haematological Disorders	6,375	2,763	7,786	5,033	4,365	4,772	-664	1,627	2,158	6,320	3,155	1,778	3,376
15 Metabolic Disorders	15,684	2,595	6,300	2,807	2,917	2,156	1,940	1,507	1,021	1,722	4,144	1,805	3,305
16 Nervous System Complications	1,948	6,363	9,522	1,560	6,865	1,795	3,415	15,857	-1,589	2,185	2,691	3,201	485
17 Other Complications	13,880	2,435	3,377	1,981	2,340	2,920	3,202	486	3,736	1,250	3,937	569	2,085

Table 38 – Mean Estimates of the incremental cost Model A1 and A2 - Part 2

	Episodes with COF Diagnosis	Total Sample	F62 Heart Failure and Shock	F74 Chest Pain	G02 Major Small and Large Bowel Procedures	H08 Laparo- scopic Chole- cystectomy	103 Hip Replace- ment	104 Knee Replace- ment	131 Hip Revision	l68 Non- surgical Spinal Disorders	N04 Hyster- ectomy for Non- Malignancy	U61 Schizo- phrenia Disorders	U63 Major Affective Disorders
Number of episodes (inc same day)		406,401	26,303	70,609	10,758	21,178	11,630	10,470	1,327	32,860	8,268	21,178	14,602
Number of episodes (exc same day)		342,230	25,289	40,151	10,596	20,527	11,625	10,460	1,327	21,010	8,244	21,178	14,602
% overnight episodes		84%	96%	57%	98%	97%	100%	100%	100%	64%	100%	100%	100%
		s	S	s	s	s	s	s	S	s	s	S	s
Mean cost per episode		9,513	7,048	1,363	28,292	8,011	20,548	18,924	32,595	3,762	9,921	19,570	17,215
Mean cost per overnight episode		11,061	7,302	1,887	28,675	8,122	20,553	18,932	32,595	5,321	9,940	19,570	17,215
Model A1 - Presence of any COF diagnosis Overnigh	t Episodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		11,095	7,691	1,835	28,737	7,946	20,873	19,511	31,942	5,672	9,723	19,048	16,865
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		9,268	6,490	1,767	19,013	7,498	18,751	18,485	27,246	4,792	9,070	16,859	14,872
Incremental cost of presence of any COF diagnosis	67,621	9,244	6,154	2,491	17,421	3,195	4,156	2,564	7,919	7,331	2,734	22,725	16,754
Model A2 - Presence of Major CHADx - Overnight ep	oisodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		11,238	7,781	1,843	29,356	7,963	20,877	19,499	31,897	5,804	9,686	19,414	17,151
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		9,246	6,556	1,764	18,882	7,448	18,432	18,385	26,218	4,841	9,029	16,967	15,123
Incremental cost of presence of:	n												
01 Post-procedural complications	14,686	6,330	4,046	1,877	11,657	2,257	3,383	2,486	7,641	6,271	3,214	3,719	12,988
02 Adverse drug events	6,853	3,771	2,798	1,007	8,127	1,754	2,529	1,041	7,308	3,365	1,440	10,013	7,275
03 Accidental injuries	2,556	4,792	3,704	3,314	2,601	40	2,927	717	8,316	3,248	462	14,425	7,373
04 Specific infections	2,001	7,615	2,393	2,605	12,796	5,354	3,477	1,963	-1,229	4,326	6,945	15,092	11,674
05 Cardiovascular complications	19,732	3,071	2,470	1,156	4,045	1,693	1,855	1,361	3,251	2,872	594	10,138	6,348
06 Respiratory Complications	9,299	5,465	3,612	4,516	4,712	3,503	2,032	2,635	6,506	3,409	1,696	14,172	10,882
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	12,337	3,237	2,882	1,931	3,669	2,103	1,621	663	-295	2,919	919	10,799	7,575
08 Skin Conditions	5,495	4,701	4,029	2,443	6,320	1,915	2,899	2,105	3,233	5,902	1,443	13,922	8,142
09 Genitourinary Complications	10,757	3,648	3,343	2,758	3,293	1,313	1,514	1,046	2,158	3,951	1,743	10,524	7,583
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	3,293	2,264	1,693	1,810	2,349	2,390	1,792	-916	2,274	924	5,177	8,697
11-12 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	49	2,200	-1,771	797	7,401	1,468				4,707	5,779	36,665	-1,266
14 Haematological Disorders	6,375	2,763	1,955	903	4,923	4,007	1,536	1,402	3,832	2,083	1,601	6,033	14,201
15 Metabolic Disorders	15,684	2,595	2,229	2,699	2,062	2,810	1,244	1,160	5,234	1,852	1,492	8,068	4,705
16 Nervous System Complications	1,948	6,363	1,280	2,880	10,032	3,437	5,833	1,525	1,835	3,735	1,735	5,264	3,473
17 Other Complications	13,880	2,435	2,174	1,260	2,059	1,410	1,192	780	1,459	2,596	758	8,911	4,185

Table 39 – Mean Estimates of the incremental cost Model A3 - Part 1

Adjacent DRG Version 6.x													
	Episodes with COF Diagnosis	Total Sample	B02 Cranial Procedures	B70 Stroke and Other Cerebro- vascular Disorders	E62 Respiratory Infections/ Inflam- mations	E65 Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease	F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F07 Other Cardio- thoracic/Vas cular Proc. W CPB Pump	F10 Intervent- ional Coronary Procedures W AMI	F14 Vascular Procedures Except Major Reconstr. W/O CPB Pump	F41 Circulatory Disorders W AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inves. Proc.	F42 Circulatory Disorders W/O AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inve. Proc.
	n	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	Ş	\$
Model A3 - Presence of selected groups - Overnight	episodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		11,272	38,371	9,642	7,793	6,952	50,939	36,570	50,796	10,701	12,690	8,289	6,939
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		9,272	24,372	7,749	6,225	5,943	40,001	27,795	32,108	9,716	10,245	7,553	6,337
Incremental cost of presence of:													
01_01 Complications of Infusion /Transfusion	325	-680	289	-582	-3,800	-2,097	-709	-4,114	-8,947	-1,845	-1,385	-714	2,783
01_09 Wound infection (excluding sepsis)	1,052	8,210	2,326	-1,436	-2,854	-2,408	18,570	12,450	16,671	-1,791	5,178	1,153	-1,821
01_99 Post-procedural complications - Other	14,686	5,533	12,791	4,964	9,396	6,853	5,403	5,824	9,940	1,135	3,762	1,560	1,029
02 Adverse drug events	6,853	3,731	9,144	3,955	2,717	2,076	6,076	3,496	10,940	1,984	4,026	1,754	1,814
03_03 Falls	1,559	3,934	3,954	2,873	3,043	2,831	-2,369	-77	-5,177	2,599	6,857	1,808	2,717
03_99 Accidental injuries - Other	1,013	5,986	12,924	2,150	2,559	1,596	-2,249	3,483	28,958	-686	6,686	2,307	3,885
04 Specific infections	2,001	6,720	11,003	3,346	4,257	4,054	14,434	8,286	20,880	3,372	12,712	7,890	7,771
05_02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE)	326	7,767	15,725	2,788	3,768	7,112	1,308	8,143	334,272	-759	8,080	2,340	5,217
05_06 Hypotension	9,331	1,791	2,838	2,981	1,825	3,009	3,441	1,256	2,962	601	1,869	1,910	1,173
05_99 Cardiovascular complications - Other	12,551	3,266	7,427	2,236	3,342	2,966	2,854	2,707	4,111	1,482	3,605	2,519	2,855
06_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl inf	2,742	2,411	5,980	1,430	3,313	573	1,176	5,851	6,284	1,738	-2,888	2,572	3,281
06_99 Respiratory Complications - Other	9,299	4,415	9,872	3,738	4,994	5,187	6,344	2,543	11,295	4,608	4,369	3,271	2,652
07_01 Gastro enteritis	2,213	3,722	3,766	3,673	3,851	2,760	4,812	8,313	34,122	2,612	2,544	1,413	2,154
07_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile	283	6,266	3,826	4,761	4,189	6,207	35,157	9,030	6,591		9,470	12,402	-484
07_04 Constipation	4,403	2,810	4,072	2,401	3,064	3,527	-190	1,177	-4,368	319	4,195	2,641	2,546
07_05 Nausea and Vomiting	3,668	628	-632	1,654	2,378	751	-2,274	98	2,314	-569	163	2,116	-290
07_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other	2,917	5,004	8,595	1,957	4,435	2,967	11,570	12,939	4,974	3,239	6,189	732	2,926
08_01 Pressure Ulcers	1,866	6,717	14,311	5,944	5,474	7,157	10,338	9,196	5,837	12,601	6,319	11,685	7,630
08_99 Skin Conditions - Other	3,855	3,203	49	2,139	2,362	2,514	4,041	2,378	346	2,294	5,090	240	3,738
09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure	3,160	3,095	931	-362	1,632	1,094	10,314	6,855	13,760	2,685	577	2,907	3,496
09_02 Urinary tract infection	3,449	4,886	6,923	5,187	5,675	3,390	8,067	9,151	10,942	3,206	8,182	3,496	2,722
09_99 Genitourinary Complications - Other	5,300	1,832	1,807	2,701	2,156	2,282	553	1,194	4,406	2,316	4,622	3,388	1,826
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	3,106	3,189	2,510	3,135	2,202	5,628	6,025	2,820	2,173	5,107	636	2,857
11 12 13 Early Pregnancy, Labour, Delivery & Pos	t 49	2,833	-5,607	-7,385	2,512				74,976	1,023	-733	-	-3,486
14 Haematological Disorders	6,375	2,592	6,828	5,046	4,302	4,091	-627	1,405	1,889	6,147	3,695	1,434	2,961
15_01 Dehydration / volume depletion	3,686	1,120	997	1,749	1,354	663	4,852	713	-4,975	2,073	4,290	1,437	3,609
15_02 Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration	9,808	2,697	6,241	3,390	2,994	2,353	586	1,375	1,894	1,038	2,914	1,818	3,322
15_04 Hypoglycaemia and Hyperglycaemia	364	1,841	718	78	1,872	1,300	-2,461	4,927	-2,176	6,934	-647	3,353	2,042
15_99 Metabolic Disorders - Other	4,141	1,574	3,278	1,776	2,031	1,291	2,156	-311	-554	963	7,278	-49	529
16_01 Hospital-acquired Paralysis	493	3,354	4,336	1,076	-203	540	11,876	10,879	2,007	-833	5,619	4,009	2,711
16_99 Nervous System Complications - Other	1,563	6,316	9,532	1,737	8,712	2,098	3,424	13,850	-548	1,900	-26	3,758	-852
17_01 Major Symptoms (includes instantaneous of	935	135	833	-970	340	212	-3,248	-1,181	1,394	5,059	4,599	-1,038	-274
17_99 Other Complications - Other	13,880	2,556	3,846	2,081	2,340	2,876	3,833	1,232	3,371	1,137	3,303	623	2,139

Table 39 – Mean Estimates of the incremental cost Model A3 - Part 2

Adjacent DRG Version 6.x													
	Episodes with COF Diagnosis	Total Sample	F62 Heart Failure and Shock	F74 Chest Pain	G02 Major Small and Large Bowel Procedures	H08 Laparo- scopic Chole- cystectomy	103 Hip Replace- ment	104 Knee Replace- ment	131 Hip Revision	l68 Non- surgical Spinal Disorders	NO4 Hyster- ectomy for Non- Malignancy	U61 Schizo- phrenia Disorders	U63 Major Affective Disorders
	n	Ş	Ş	Ş	\$	Ş	Ş	Ş	Ş	Ş	Ş	Ş	\$
Model A3 - Presence of selected groups - Overnight	episodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		11,272	7,803	1,850	29,499	7,975	20,892	19,483	31,856	5,804	9,675	19,418	17,203
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		9,272	6,571	1,766	18,947	7,461	18,514	18,415	26,223	4,848	9,040	16,982	15,146
Incremental cost of presence of:													
01_01 Complications of Infusion /Transfusion	325	-680	-1,287	-123	1,809	4,762	-1,223	-1,704	11,583	-908	3,380	-4,929	10,141
01_09 Wound infection (excluding sepsis)	1,052	8,210	1,083		9,386	3,413	4,968	1,264	5,077	-7,536	2,498	-2,897	24,681
01_99 Post-procedural complications - Other	14,686	5,533	4,374	1,881	9,025	2,064	3,036	2,382	6,948	7,013	2,925	3,919	9,639
02 Adverse drug events	6,853	3,731	2,763	1,042	7,841	1,856	2,365	919	6,022	3,406	1,501	10,768	7,305
03_03 Falls	1,559	3,934	3,561	2,756	-983	1,180	2,998	1,441	12,690	3,685	2,158	9,909	8,616
03_99 Accidental injuries - Other	1,013	5,986	3,774	4,089	6,438	-1,411	2,850	6	5,386	1,510	-25	16,224	6,286
04 Specific infections	2,001	6,720	1,989	2,592	10,966	3,663	2,945	900	-826	4,389	4,652	15,240	12,063
05_02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE)	326	7,767	2,783	-4,144	6,921	11,091	7,108	4,732	2,600	7,458	9,282	-13,444	4,212
05_06 Hypotension	9,331	1,791	1,672	668	2,391	834	1,319	643	1,533	2,351	270	6,660	3,119
05_99 Cardiovascular complications - Other	12,551	3,266	2,577	1,344	4,248	2,186	1,989	1,563	4,168	2,613	1,065	11,052	7,636
06_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl infl	2,742	2,411	1,258	4,515	1,418	551	1,236	2,292	653	2,028	2,592	-1,360	11,665
06_99 Respiratory Complications - Other	9,299	4,415	3,038	2,033	3,490	2,826	1,126	1,608	5,383	2,371	893	14,886	7,602
07_01 Gastro enteritis	2,213	3,722	3,811	4,762	160	3,548	5,217	1,574	7,753	3,639	5,333	12,572	3,386
07_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile	283	6,266	4,700	3,313	6,645	8,019	3,968	6,249	24,925	9,650	9,612	-7,960	41,237
07_04 Constipation	4,403	2,810	1,854	2,909	-128	3,069	654	360	283	3,069	720	10,750	8,374
07_05 Nausea and Vomiting	3,668	628	801	651	585	339	389	8	-3,194	1,135	187	3,698	5,563
07_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other	2,917	5,004	3,772	3,330	6,618	4,437	2,842	2,890	-684	2,494	1,585	7,498	1,541
08 01 Pressure Ulcers	1,866	6,717	5,409	9,070	9,334	7,408	3,244	2,653	7,167	4,997	3,678	19,414	-5,050
08 99 Skin Conditions - Other	3,855	3,203	2,962	1,964	3,713	760	1,993	2,002	262	5,703	1,219	13,086	9,254
09 01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure	3,160	3,095	2,312	1,262	5,413	4,058	1,629	1,075	1,662	-468	1,391	-7,550	3,771
09 02 Urinary tract infection	3,449	4,886	4,071	3,751	4,707	1,840	1,944	3,170	947	5,367	3,079	10,324	4,567
09 99 Genitourinary Complications - Other	5,300	1.832	2,295	2,902	51	676	723	218	2.372	2,098	1.010	9,874	8,958
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6.598	3,106	2.239	1.674	1.697	2.175	2.156	1.516	-435	2,556	301	5.145	8,748
11 12 13 Early Pregnancy, Labour, Delivery & Post	49	2.833	-1.732	796	7.079	1.451				4,722	5,929	36.273	-1.256
14 Haematological Disorders	6.375	2.592	1.835	822	4.294	2.726	1.577	1.271	4.182	1.884	1.633	6.216	14.040
15 01 Dehvdration / volume depletion	3.686	1.120	2.223	4.241	602	423	298	220	2.164	619	170	6.086	1.112
15 02 Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration	9,808	2.697	2.340	2.256	1.777	2.944	1.728	1.159	5.058	2.565	2.011	14.642	9,443
15 04 Hypoglycaemia and Hyperglycaemia	364	1.841	1,788	1.106	5,531	2,175	-3,692	17,384	2,020	-2,257	5,320	-1.090	-542
15 99 Metabolic Disorders - Other	4.141	1.574	544	2,225	1,420	2,263	819	1,392	5.813	1.010	2,392	4,399	6,801
16 01 Hospital-acquired Paralysis	493	3,354	740	5,502	166	-1.287	2.318	-2.627	-5,915	2,103		-2.180	-,
16 99 Nervous System Complications - Other	1.563	6.316	1.774	2.010	9,367	6.834	5,991	1.624	8.074	3,927	2.030	5,911	3,330
17 01 Major Symptoms (includes instantaneous d	935	135	-563	-29	226	236	1,469	1,109	-5.358	-1.189	3,860	-3,373	-5,498
17_99 Other Complications - Other	13,880	2,556	2,268	1,242	2,400	1,454	1,140	852	1,986	2,695	802	8,862	4,704
	•					• • •						•	

Table 40 –Estimates of the incremental cost and length of stay impacts of presence of hospital acquired conditions grouped to individual CHADx classes, and overall estimate of cost Model A4

Note: S	haded valu	es represer	t estimate:	s based on	a parameter which was not statistically significant				
	Episodes with COF diagnoses (n)	Mean increment al cost per episode \$	Total cost estimate Şm	Mean increment LOS per episode (days)		Episodes with COF diagnoses (n)	Mean increment al cost per episode \$	Total cost estimate \$m	Mean increment LOS per episode (days)
Model 4 - CHADx classes					2.04 Other adverse effects due to antineoplastic drugs	24	-171	0.00	1.9
Incremental cost of presence of:					2.05 Coagulation defect due to drugs affecting blood constituents	151	2,182	0.33	1.5
1.01 Complications of Infusion /Transfusion	325	2,391	0.78	1.6	2.06 Other adverse effects due to drugs affecting blood constituer	982	4,643	4.56	2.7
1.02 Gas Embolism	1				2.07 Nausea and vomiting due to opioids and related analgesics	254	1,112	0.28	0.8
1.03 Failed or Difficult Intubation	146	3,132	0.46	0.3	2.08 Alterations to mental state due to opioids and related analg	510	1,524	0.78	1.1
1.04 Other haemorrhage & haematoma complicating a procedure	3,067	3,181	9.76	1.2	2.09 Other adverse effects due to opioids and related analgesics	688	1,913	1.32	1.3
1.05 Accidental puncture/lac during proceudre	1,511	2,838	4.29	1.1	2.10 Adverse effects due to anaesthesia (Incl misadventure)	141	2,623	0.37	1.5
1.06 Foreign body or substance left following procedure	17	9,821	0.17	1.6	2.11 Hypotension due to anaesthesia	120	3,267	0.39	0.4
1.07 Other complications of surgical and Medical NEC (including	1,397	3,254	4.55	1.3	2.12 Alterations to mental state due to anaesthesia	79	6,509	0.51	2.3
1.08 Disruption of wound	649	12,200	7.92	5.3	2.13 Other adverse effects due to drugs affecting the cardiovascu	458	2,160	0.99	1.7
1.09 Wound infection (excluding sepsis)	1,052	8,455	8.89	4.9	2.14 Hypotension due to drugs affecting the cardiovascular syste	408	1,926	0.79	1.4
1.10 Complications of cardiac and vascular implants (excluding	2,496	4,473	11.16	2.0	2.15 Adverse effects due to insulin & oral hypoglycaemics	31	-965	-0.03	-0.1
1.11 Complications of genitourinary implants (excluding Septica	551	3,309	1.82	2.1	2.16 Adverse effects due to other drugs	2,065	4,843	10.00	4.1
1.12 Complications of orthopaedic implants (excluding Septicae	426	4,633	1.97	2.7	2.17 Anaphylactic shock due to correct drug properly administer	68	9,447	0.64	2.8
1.13 Complications of other implants (excluding Septicaemia)	763	8,866	6.76	4.2	3.01 Falls with fractured femur	40	4,813	0.19	2.5
1.14 Complications of Transplants	9				3.02 Falls with intracranial injury	46	6,187	0.28	4.4
1.15 Complications of reattachment and amputations	1				3.03 All other falls	1,503	3,888	5.84	4.0
1.16 Post-procedural disorders: Endocrine & Metabolic	19	2,474	0.05	1.9	3.04 Injury due to assault	87	15,032	1.31	3.9
1.17 Post-procedural disorders: Nervous system	272	8,381	2.28	2.8	3.05 Other patient accidents (exc poisoning)	928	4,789	4.44	14.6
1.18 Post-procedural disorders: Eye & Ear	8				4.01 Sepsis	1			
1.19 Post-procedural disorders: Circulatory system	1,679	3,038	5.10	1.0	4.02 Mycoses	1,313	5,063	6.65	9.4
1.20 Post-procedural disorders: Respiratory system	967	10,604	10.25	2.5	4.03 Methicillin resistant agent	123	9,208	1.13	3.6
1.21 Post-procedural disorders: Digestive system	1,553	6,407	9.95	3.9	4.04 Other drug resistant infections	314	4,126	1.30	2.6
1.22 Post-procedural disorders: Musculoskeletal system	96	5,461	0.52	2.9	4.05 Other infectious agents	331	9,040	2.99	2.1
1.23 Post-procedural disorders: Genitourinary system	568	2,667	1.51	1.3	5.01 AMI	1,260	2,969	3.74	4.7
2.01 Skin adverse effects due to systemic antibiotics, anti-infecti	334	2,985	1.00	2.3	5.02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE)	326	7,438	2.42	1.5
2.02 Other adverse effects due to Systemic antibiotics, anti-infect	719	3,722	2.68	2.9	5.03 Cardiac arrythmias, conduction disturbances & abnormal h	8,566	2,335	20.00	3.7
2.03 Nausea and vomiting due to antineoplastic drugs	0				5.04 Ventricular Fibrillation/ Cardiac arrest	613	781	0.48	1.2

	Episodes with COF diagnoses (n)	Mean increment al cost per episode \$	Total cost estimate \$m	Mean increment LOS per episode (days)		Episodes with COF diagnoses (n)	Mean increment al cost per episode \$	Total cost estimate \$m	Mean increment LOS per episode (days)
5.05 Heart Failure	1,329	1,991	2.65	-0.5	9.03 Urinary Retention	2,794	1,388	3.88	3.8
5.06 Hypotension	9,331	1,735	16.19	0.8	9.04 Other Complications and Symptoms of the Urinary System	2,440	2,056	5.02	1.1
5.07 Cerebro-vascular Disease & TIA	928	5,987	5.56	0.8	9.05 Other complications of male & female genitals	317	2,647	0.84	1.5
5.08 Venous thrombosis/embolism (not progressing to PE)	495	6,756	3.34	1.5	10.01 Depressive episode & symptoms involving emotional state	1,489	4,301	6.40	2.2
5.09 Unstable and other angina	262	1,617	0.42	3.9	10.02 Panic and other anxiety disorders	559	1,912	1.07	2.5
5.10 Cardiogenic and Other Shock	304	4,937	1.50	1.6	10.03 Adjustment & Other Psych Disorders	203	3,031	0.62	1.9
5.11 Other Circulatory System Complications	559	4,060	2.27	0.1	10.04 Alterations to mental state	4,397	2,131	9.37	2.5
6.01 ARDS, respiratory failure and pulmonary collapse (includes	3,238	3,666	11.87	2.4	10.05 Mental & behavioural disorders due to psychoactive subst	318	1,379	0.44	1.4
6.02 Aspiration pneumonia	647	5,090	3.29	0.8	10.06 Patient self-harm (includes intentional and undetermined i	199	8,169	1.63	0.6
6.03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl influenza & pneumo	2,742	5,710	15.66	2.6	11 12 13 Early Pregnancy, Labour, Delivery & Postpartum, Perina	49	3,161	0.15	7.0
6.04 Pulmonary oedema, pneumothorax & pleural effusion	1,398	3,351	4.68	3.2	14.01 Post Haemorrhagic Anaemia	1,162	2,186	2.54	3.2
6.05 Haemorrhage from respiratory passages	760	3,043	2.31	1.7	14.02 Other Hospital-acquired Anaemia	4,567	2,270	10.37	1.0
6.06 Asphyxia & respiratory arrest	487	319	0.16	2.2	14.03 Coagulation defects	427	2,582	1.10	1.0
6.07 Breathing difficulties	930	1,856	1.73	-0.2	14.04 Agranulocytosis, Thrombocytopenia and other blood disor	558	2,668	1.49	0.2
6.08 Other Hospital-acquired Respiratory Disorders	823	6,622	5.45	0.5	15.01 Dehydration / volume depletion	3,686	1,159	4.27	2.3
7.01 Gastro enteritis	2,213	3,597	7.96	4.8	15.02 Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration	9,808	2,797	27.43	1.1
7.02 Paralytic Ileus & Intestinal Obstruction (w/o hernia)	810	3,969	3.21	2.5	15.03 Hospital-acquired Nutrition Deficiencies (incl nutritional a	1,476	2,113	3.12	1.5
7.03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile	283	5,926	1.68	2.4	15.04 Hypoglycaemia and Hyperglycaemia	364	1,015	0.37	1.7
7.04 Constipation	4,403	2,967	13.06	4.1	15.05 Disorders of mineral metabolism	2.668	692	1.85	0.4
7.05 Nausea and Vomiting	3,668	759	2.78	2.6	15.06 SIADH. Hyperthyroidism & Other metabolic disorders	177	4.730	0.84	0.4
7.06 GI Bleeding not classified to a disease	931	3,392	3.16	0.8	16.01 Hospital-acquired Paralysis	493	2,300	1.13	3.2
7.07 Other Digestive System Disorders	1,315	4,878	6.41	1.8	16.02 Dystonia. Tremors and Gait disorders	564	2,410	1.36	1.1
8.01 Pressure Ulcers	1,866	5,892	10.99	2.8	16.03 Other Nervous System Complications	1.025	7,323	7.51	2.4
8.02 Cellullitis	534	3,808	2.03	3.0	17.01 Major Symptoms (includes instantaneous death)	935	1 282	1 20	2.5
8.03 Dermatitis, Rash and Other skin effects	2,944	2,698	7.94	3.1	17.02 Headache & Migraine	1 601	2 180	3 49	11
8.04 Other Skin Disorders	465	3,493	1.62	2.6	17.03 Oedema & Ascites	672	3 216	2.16	1.1
9.01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure	3,160	2,340	7.39	2.9	17.04 Chest Pain	2 644	1 849	4.89	2.6
9.02 Urinary tract infection	3,449	4,950	17.07	0.9	17.05 Abdominal Pain	857	1,320	1.13	1.8

Project commissioned by the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care

	Episodes with COF diagnoses (n)	Mean increment al cost per episode \$	Total cost estimate \$m	Mean increment LOS per episode (days)
17.06 Fever (not classified to condition)	2,357	1,705	4.02	1.6
17.07 Convulsions	582	3,739	2.18	0.7
17.08 Dizziness, Fainting & Blackout	1,530	1,612	2.47	2.8
17.09 Complications of the Eye and Ear	783	4,103	3.21	1.2
17.10 Musculoskeletal Complications (not associated with falls)	1,594	2,206	3.52	3.4
17.11 Dysphagia	951	3,645	3.47	1.7
17.12 Other Symptoms	1,529	1,262	1.93	1.4

Table 41 – Median Estimates of the incremental cost Model A1 and A2 - Part 1

	Adjacent DRG Version 6.x												
	Episodes with COF diag- nosis	Total Sample	BO2 Cranial Procedures	B70 Stroke and Other Cerebro- vascular Disorders	E62 Respiratory Infections/ Inflam- mations	E65 Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease	F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F07 Other Cardio- thoracic/Vas cular Proc. W CPB Pump	F10 Intervent- ional Coronary Procedures W AMI	F14 Vascular Procedures Except Major Reconstr. W/O CPB Pump	F41 Circulatory Disorders W AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inves. Proc.	F42 Circulatory Disorders W/O AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inve. Proc.
Number of episodes (inc same day)		406,401	8,194	22,052	51,920	36,428	1,683	3,771	866	9,631	9,107	6,312	27,254
Number of episodes (exc same day)		342,230	8,153	21,140	49,199	34,516	1,682	3,769	865	9,593	7,283	6,163	14,858
% overnight episodes		84%	99%	96%	95%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	98%	55%
		\$	¢	¢	¢	¢	\$	¢	¢	\$	\$	¢	¢
Median cost per enisode		4 752	23 702	6 263	4 193	4 271	41 927	29.478	36 552	9 912	6 364	6 601	3 365
Median cost per overnight episode		6,079	23,785	6,540	4,456	4,511	41,929	29,487	36,558	9,937	7,780	6,725	5,130
Model A1 - Presence of any COF diagnosis Overnig	ht Episode	5											
Model predicted cost per episode		7,989	34,903	7,554	5,943	6,037	47,523	33,412	45,781	9,254	8,813	6,739	5,399
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		6,812	22,190	7,170	5,710	5,569	36,224	26,809	29,599	8,880	8,632	6,690	5,399
Incremental cost of presence of any COF diagnosis	\$ 67,621	6,710	23,593	7,658	7,747	6,515	12,380	8,701	19,522	2,635	8,282	3,070	2,579
Model A2 - Presence of Major CHADx - Overnight e	episodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		7,705	27,549	7,705	5,951	6,022	46,224	32,577	42,770	9,210	9,112	6,831	5,448
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		6,825	22,666	7,506	5,791	5,689	36,634	26,718	29,886	8,955	8,951	6,762	5,448
Incremental cost of presence of:	n												
01 Post-procedural complications	14,686	4,856	11,521	4,288	9,355	6,085	7,193	6,918	11,597	975	3,378	1,235	870
02 Adverse drug events	6,853	2,593	9,382	3,376	2,593	1,769	5,916	3,564	9,904	1,675	4,245	1,620	1,612
03 Accidental injuries	2,556	3,247	7,496	2,511	3,217	2,091	319	1,841	24,327	1,300	5,989	2,435	3,016
04 Specific infections	2,001	5,338	11,596	3,998	5,338	3,705	19,798	15,549	21,558	4,309	15,539	7,875	7,816
05 Cardiovascular complications	19,732	2,542	7,319	2,470	3,138	3,009	3,533	2,585	3,947	1,117	3,187	2,257	2,051
06 Respiratory Complications	9,299	4,438	13,586	4,160	5,705	4,899	5,512	4,249	11,656	5,118	2,467	4,555	3,504
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	12,337	2,769	3,188	2,573	4,226	2,969	3,825	4,451	4,927	1,419	3,967	1,718	1,155
08 Skin Conditions	5,495	4,170	5,505	3,888	4,375	3,824	6,419	8,358	3,974	2,809	5,709	1,978	3,126
09 Genitourinary Complications	10,757	3,052	4,505	3,758	3,345	2,082	8,803	6,059	10,495	2,543	5,691	4,245	2,433
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	2,583	2,904	2,199	3,550	2,077	6,268	7,343	4,264	2,270	5,357	926	2,674
11-12 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	49	481	-6,088	-7,387	1,912				42,931	1,055	-657		-3,226
14 Haematological Disorders	6,375	1,662	6,900	5,048	4,711	5,384	-613	1,552	2,027	5,414	3,039	1,732	3,323
15 Metabolic Disorders	15,684	1,939	5,724	2,661	3,069	1,939	1,790	1,454	955	1,547	3,954	1,469	2,583
16 Nervous System Complications	1,948	6,113	8,622	1,442	7,920	1,595	3,115	14,717	-1,377	1,944	2,446	3,383	456
17 Other Complications	13,880	1,899	3,060	1,840	2,098	2,709	2,952	464	3,382	1,090	4,022	515	1,646

Table 41 – Median Estimates of the incremental cost Model A1 and A2 - Part 2

Adjacent DRG Version 6.x													
	Episodes with COF diag- nosis	Total Sample	F62 Heart Failure and Shock	F74 Chest Pain	G02 Major Small and Large Bowel Procedures	H08 Laparo- scopic Chole- cystectomy	103 Hip Replace- ment	104 Knee Replace- ment	131 Hip Revision	l68 Non- surgical Spinal Disorders	NO4 Hyster- ectomy for Non- Malignancy	U61 Schizo- phrenia Disorders	U63 Major Affective Disorders
Number of episodes (inc same day)		406,401	26,303	70,609	10,758	21,178	11,630	10,470	1,327	32,860	8,268	21,178	14,602
Number of episodes (exc same day)		342,230	25,289	40,151	10,596	20,527	11,625	10,460	1,327	21,010	8,244	21,178	14,602
% overnight episodes		84%	96%	57%	98%	97%	100%	100%	100%	64%	100%	100%	100%
		s	s	s	s	S	s	s	s	s	S	S	s
Median cost per episode		4,752	4,830	828	18,853	6,664	18,652	18,169	26,684	1,614	8,935	10,775	10,094
Median cost per overnight episode		6,079	5,030	1,229	19,044	6,756	18,654	18,173	26,684	3,028	8,949	10,775	10,094
Model A1 - Presence of any COF diagnosis Overnig	ht Episode	5											
Model predicted cost per episode		7,989	6,242	1,569	25,251	6,838	20,582	17,905	29,027	4,085	8,831	16,525	15,010
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		6,812	5,914	1,569	16,160	6,231	18,478	17,905	23,769	4,084	8,831	16,525	14,752
Incremental cost of presence of any COF diagnosis	67,621	6,710	5,408	1,990	14,877	2,986	4,079	2,471	7,102	6,501	2,632	20,796	15,214
Model A2 - Presence of Major CHADx - Overnight e	episodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		7,705	6,362	1,569	23,920	6,784	19,567	17,873	27,680	4,141	8,822	16,663	15,342
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		6,825	6,039	1,569	16,575	6,248	18,613	17,873	22,666	4,141	8,822	16,663	14,946
Incremental cost of presence of:	n												
01 Post-procedural complications	14,686	4,856	3,486	1,505	9,948	2,103	3,390	2,393	7,157	5,641	3,098	3,387	11,859
02 Adverse drug events	6,853	2,593	2,494	842	7,268	1,585	2,533	992	6,600	3,064	1,396	9,335	6,772
03 Accidental injuries	2,556	3,247	4,171	3,049	2,849	35	2,824	678	7,685	3,249	449	13,185	6,832
04 Specific infections	2,001	5,338	2,682	2,236	14,302	5,433	3,439	1,861	-1,091	4,043	6,286	13,825	10,567
05 Cardiovascular complications	19,732	2,542	2,102	956	3,577	1,495	1,868	1,308	2,777	2,720	572	9,287	5,989
06 Respiratory Complications	9,299	4,438	3,592	4,117	4,077	3,090	2,037	2,482	5,842	3,421	1,587	12,718	10,138
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	12,337	2,769	2,821	1,478	3,109	1,958	1,589	640	-269	2,677	893	9,802	7,223
08 Skin Conditions	5,495	4,170	4,632	1,703	5,499	1,641	2,871	2,024	2,882	5,541	1,386	12,516	7,436
09 Genitourinary Complications	10,757	3,052	3,097	2,531	2,894	1,113	1,489	985	1,975	3,959	1,658	8,985	7,067
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	2,583	2,596	1,602	1,581	2,053	2,334	1,674	-852	2,114	858	4,888	8,152
11-12 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	49	481	-1,574	797	7,034	1,492				4,707	5,779	36,665	-1,266
14 Haematological Disorders	6,375	1,662	2,052	729	4,276	3,239	1,496	1,349	3,662	2,014	1,525	5,159	13,311
15 Metabolic Disorders	15,684	1,939	1,955	2,493	1,859	2,562	1,213	1,103	4,810	1,694	1,411	6,984	4,436
16 Nervous System Complications	1,948	6,113	1,310	2,979	10,545	3,303	5,662	1,440	1,672	3,197	1,611	4,956	3,339
17 Other Complications	13,880	1,899	1,932	1,049	1,791	1,333	1,197	762	1,359	2,510	726	8,105	3,820

Table 42 – Median Estimates of the incremental cost Model A3 - Part 1

			Adjacent D	RG Version 6	5.x								
	Episodes with COF diag- nosis	Total Sample	BO2 Cranial Procedures	B70 Stroke and Other Cerebro- vascular Disorders	E62 Respiratory Infections/ Inflam- mations	E65 Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease	F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F07 Other Cardio- thoracic/Vas cular Proc. W CPB Pump	F10 Intervent- ional Coronary Procedures W AMI	F14 Vascular Procedures Except Major Reconstr. W/O CPB Pump	F41 Circulatory Disorders W AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inves. Proc.	F42 Circulatory Disorders W/O AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inve. Proc.
	n	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Model A3 - Presence of selected groups - Overnigh	t episodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		7,643	38,371	9,642	7,793	6,952	50,939	36,570	50,796	10,701	12,690	8,289	6,939
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		6,835	24,372	7,749	6,225	5,943	40,001	27,795	32,108	9,716	10,245	7,553	6,337
Incremental cost of presence of:													
01_01 Complications of Infusion /Transfusion	325	- 1,070	258	-543	-4,464	-1,946	-663	-3,972	-8,947	-1,608	-1,629	-595	2,326
01_09 Wound infection (excluding sepsis)	1,052	7,655	2,188	-1,524	-3,066	-2,408	16,750	11,770	17,293	-1,998	5,018	1,153	-1,752
01_99 Post-procedural complications - Other	14,686	4,499	11,545	4,429	10,338	6,007	4,953	5,583	9,065	1,044	3,117	1,347	847
02 Adverse drug events	6,853	2,623	9,215	3,384	2,476	1,880	5,562	3,320	10,962	1,678	3,991	1,512	1,540
03_03 Falls	1,559	3,318	3,728	2,609	3,403	2,512	-2,606	-72	-5,177	2,416	6,205	2,220	2,572
03_99 Accidental injuries - Other	1,013	3,441	12,778	2,250	2,815	1,461	-2,113	3,378	28,636	-675	6,653	1,987	3,792
04 Specific infections	2,001	5,185	10,481	3,759	4,910	3,657	13,431	7,517	20,285	3,744	12,053	7,577	8,074
05_02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE)	326	5,486	14,206	2,447	3,891	6,350	1,236	7,700	334,272	-759	7,261	2,340	5,217
05_06 Hypotension	9,331	1,458	2,615	2,622	1,918	2,667	3,188	1,211	2,783	519	1,864	1,690	980
05_99 Cardiovascular complications - Other	12,551	2,646	7,095	1,964	3,551	2,721	2,654	2,640	3,933	1,279	3,491	2,256	2,403
06_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl in	2,742	1,634	5,778	1,271	3,374	613	1,087	5,502	5,951	1,506	-2,702	2,202	2,761
06_99 Respiratory Complications - Other	9,299	3,505	9,754	3,607	5,336	4,664	5,817	2,472	10,595	4,026	3,879	3,356	2,406
07_01 Gastro enteritis	2,213	3,226	3,617	3,427	4,042	2,499	4,524	7,901	33,309	2,379	2,431	1,161	1,697
07_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile	283	5,029	3,524	4,638	4,668	7,186	32,931	8,712	6,572		8,771	10,034	-321
07_04 Constipation	4,403	2,199	3,668	2,097	3,414	3,198	-174	1,088	-4,498	274	4,184	2,203	2,193
07_05 Nausea and Vomiting	3,668	394	-602	1,472	2,189	700	-2,103	93	2,195	-531	149	1,851	-245
07_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other	2,917	4,450	8,119	1,735	4,994	2,618	10,365	11,826	5,290	2,828	5,775	609	2,802
08_01 Pressure Ulcers	1,866	6,016	13,712	6,003	5,999	7,941	11,083	9,328	5,342	12,608	5,642	10,780	9,308
08_99 Skin Conditions - Other	3,855	2,316	46	2,114	2,668	2,218	3,702	2,306	304	1,915	5,093	209	2,914
09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure	3,160	1,950	906	-350	1,822	953	9,545	6,471	13,356	2,270	689	3,119	3,417
09_02 Urinary tract infection	3,449	4,300	6,503	4,504	6,475	2,994	7,740	8,556	10,966	2,739	8,345	3,401	2,865
09_99 Genitourinary Complications - Other	5,300	1,480	1,623	2,386	2,417	2,020	511	1,137	4,147	1,941	4,134	3,492	1,600
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	2,435	2,924	2,171	3,452	1,968	5,038	5,742	2,580	1,897	4,781	574	2,732
11 12 13 Early Pregnancy, Labour, Delivery & Po	49	480	-6,088	-7,385	2,017				74,976	1,023	-733		-3,486
14 Haematological Disorders	6,375	1,652	6,042	5,083	4,645	4,623	-577	1,341	1,807	5,320	3,551	1,409	2,921
15_01 Dehydration / volume depletion	3,686	721	986	1,952	1,526	577	4,454	684	-4,750	1,853	4,190	1,353	2,828
15_02 Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration	9,808	2,086	5,593	3,007	3,168	2,106	541	1,327	1,781	914	2,769	1,485	2,715
15 04 Hypoglycaemia and Hyperglycaemia	364	1,403	726	81	2,063	1,259	-2,401	4,598	-1,930	5,725	-626	3,349	1,417
15_99 Metabolic Disorders - Other	4,141	1,270	3,109	1,664	1,814	1,173	2,022	-305	-535	882	7,123	-41	533
16_01 Hospital-acquired Paralysis	493	3,391	4,097	879	-243	613	11,337	10,160	1,992	-759	4,979	3,987	2,412
16 99 Nervous System Complications - Other	1,563	6,082	8,634	1,642	10,010	1,865	3,129	13,030	-486	1,719	-22	3,298	-798
17_01 Major Symptoms (includes instantaneous	935	283	782	-901	350	235	-3,089	-1,118	1,442	3,987	4,118	-962	-265
17_99 Other Complications - Other	13,880	1,957	3,497	1,928	2,097	2,668	3,591	1,179	3,111	995	3,388	564	1,691

Table 42 – Median Estimates of the incremental cost Model A3 - Part 2

Episodes with CoP diags Sample sample F2 Heart failus and back F2 Heart failus and back F2 Heart failus and back F2 Heart failus and back F2 Heart failus and procedures F2 Hear		Adjacent DRG Version 6.x												
n S		Episodes with COF diag- nosis	Total Sample	F62 Heart Failure and Shock	F74 Chest Pain	G02 Major Small and Large Bowel Procedures	H08 Laparo- scopic Chole- cystectomy	103 Hip Replace- ment	IO4 Knee Replace- ment	131 Hip Revision	l68 Non- surgical Spinal Disorders	NO4 Hyster- ectomy for Non- Malignancy	U61 Schizo- phrenia Disorders	U63 Major Affective Disorders
Model A3 - Presence of selected groups - Overnight episodes Free of the selected groups - Overnight episodes 7,63 7,803 1,850 29,499 7,975 20,892 19,483 31,856 5,804 9,675 19,418 17,203 Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode 6,835 6,571 11,766 18,947 7,461 18,514 18,214 26,223 4,84 9,007 19,418 17,203 O1_01 Complications of infusion /Transfusion 325 1,070 -1,143 -132 1,971 4,788 -1,001 8,955 -802 3,380 -4,929 8,579 O1_09 Wound infection (excluding sepsis) 1,052 7,655 1,161 8,351 3,006 5,040 4,930 -7,556 2,357 -2,879 9,232 -2,417 3,056 2,925 6,518 6,304 2,623 3,557 8,717 0,002 1,687 2,380 876 5,447 3,100 1,456 1,0016 6,784 03_03 Falls 1,5159 3,141 4,361 3,677 7,163		n	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	Ş	\$	\$	\$	Ş	\$
Model predicted cost per episode (no. 7,643 7,603 1,780 29,499 7,975 20,892 19,483 31,856 5,804 9,675 19,418 17,203 Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode 6,835 6,571 1,766 18,947 7,461 18,514 18,415 26,223 4,848 9,040 16,982 15,146 O1_01 Complications of Infusion /Transfusion 325 1,070 -1,143 -132 1,971 4,788 -1,201 -1,601 8,955 -802 3,380 -4,929 8,579 01_09 Wound infection (excluding sepsis) 1,052 7,655 1,161 . 8,351 3,206 5,004 1,204 4,930 -7,535 2,357 -2,879 2,2221 01_09 Postprocedural complications - Other 4,686 4,499 3,767 1,508 7,002 1,687 2,380 876 5,447 3,100 1,456 10,016 6,784 03_03 Park cidental injuries - Other 1,013 3,441 4,361 3,679 7,754 1	Model A3 - Presence of selected groups - Overnigh	t episodes												
Model predicted cost of presence of: 6,835 6,571 1,766 18,947 7,461 18,514 18,515 2,6,233 4,848 9,040 16,982 15,166 Incremental cost of presence of: 325 1,070 -1,143 -132 1,971 4,788 -1,010 -1,014 8,955 -800 3,380 -4,929 8,579 01_00 Yound infection (excluding sepsis) 1,052 7,555 1,161 . 8,351 3,206 5,004 1,204 4,930 -7,536 2,357 -2,879 22,221 01_99 Post-procedural complications - Other 14,686 4,499 3,767 1,509 7,783 1,931 3,056 2,295 6,518 6,323 2,623 3,557 8,774 03_03 ralls 6,559 3,181 3,552 2,479 -1,080 1,022 2,995 1,341 11,706 3,623 2,079 8,594 04 Specific infections 2,001 5,188 2,232 2,241 1,417 3,715 2,920 8,454 2,320 2,421 1,413 1,424 1,331 1,516 1,949	Model predicted cost per episode		7,643	7,803	1,850	29,499	7,975	20,892	19,483	31,856	5,804	9,675	19,418	17,203
Incremental cost of presence of: Image: Non-Strain of Strain of St	Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode		6,835	6,571	1,766	18,947	7,461	18,514	18,415	26,223	4,848	9,040	16,982	15,146
01_01 Complications of Infusion /Transfusion 325 - 1,070 -1,143 -132 1,971 4,788 -1,201 -1,601 8,955 -802 3,380 -4,929 8,579 01_09 Wound infection (excluding sepsis) 1,052 7,655 1,161 .8,51 3,206 5,004 1,204 4,930 -7,556 2,257 -2,879 2,2221 01_99 Post-procedural complications - Other 14,686 4,499 3,767 1,509 7,783 1,931 3,056 2,295 6,518 6,304 2,823 3,557 8,774 02 Adverse drug events 6,683 2,623 2,462 871 7,002 1,687 2,380 876 5,447 3,100 1,456 1,016 6,783 03_99 Accidental injuries - Other 1,013 3,441 4,361 3,679 7,154 -1,283 2,786 6 4,724 96 -24 15,343 5,554 04 Specific infections 2,001 5,185 2,202 2,217 7,774 1,332 620 1,338 2,222 622 5,793 2,825 05_09 Cardiovascular complica	Incremental cost of presence of:													
01_09 Wound infection (excluding sepsis) 1,052 7,655 1,161 . 8,351 3,206 5,004 1,204 4,930 -7,536 2,357 -2,879 22,221 01_99 Post-procedural complications - Other 14,686 4,499 3,767 1,509 7,783 1,911 3,056 2,295 6,518 6,304 2,823 3,557 8,774 02 Adverse drug events 6,853 2,623 2,462 871 7,002 1,687 2,380 876 5,447 3,00 1,455 10,016 6,844 03_03 Falls 1,013 3,441 4,361 3,679 7,154 -1,283 2,786 6 4,724 967 -24 15,343 5,954 04 Specific infections 2,001 5,185 2,220 2,231 12,417 3,715 2,920 854 -733 4,093 4,216 13,917 10,900 05_06 Hypotension 9,331 1,458 1,470 549 2,107 774 1,332 620 1,338 2,222 262 5,793 2,825 05_06 Hypotension <	01_01 Complications of Infusion /Transfusion	325	- 1,070	-1,143	-132	1,971	4,788	-1,201	-1,601	8,955	-802	3,380	-4,929	8,579
01_99 Post-procedural complications - Other 14,686 4,499 3,767 1,509 7,783 1,931 3,056 2,295 6,518 6,304 2,823 3,557 8,774 02 Adverse drug events 6,853 2,622 2,462 871 7,002 1,687 2,380 876 5,447 3,100 1,456 10,016 6,784 03_93 Falls 1,559 3,318 3,552 2,479 -1,080 1,022 2,995 1,341 11,706 3,623 2,079 8,529 7,849 03_99 Accidental injuries - Other 1,013 3,441 4,351 3,679 7,154 -1,283 2,786 6 4,724 967 -24 15,343 5,554 04 Specific infections 2,001 5,185 2,230 2,231 12,417 3,715 2,920 854 -733 4,093 4,216 13,917 10,900 05_90 Cardiovascular complications - Other 9,331 1,458 1,470 549 2,107 774 1,332 620 1,338 2,232 12,262 5,424 10,210 10,315 7	01_09 Wound infection (excluding sepsis)	1,052	7,655	1,161		8,351	3,206	5,004	1,204	4,930	-7,536	2,357	-2,879	22,221
02 Adverse drug events 6,853 2,623 2,462 871 7,002 1,687 2,380 876 5,447 3,100 1,456 10,016 6,784 03_03 Falls 1,559 3,318 3,552 2,479 -1,080 1,022 2,995 1,341 11,706 3,623 2,079 8,529 7,849 03_99 Accidental injuries - Other 1,013 3,441 4,361 3,679 7,154 -1,283 2,786 6 4,724 967 -24 15,343 5,954 04 Specific infections 2,001 5,185 2,230 2,231 12,417 3,715 2,920 854 -733 4,093 4,216 13,917 10,900 05_02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE) 326 5,486 2,322 -4,144 7,765 12,805 7,74 4,574 2,808 8,095 9,22 -13,444 4,220 05_06 Hypotension 9,331 1,458 1,470 549 2,107 774 1,332 620 1,338 2,232 262 5,793 2,825 05_99 Cardiovascular complications - Other	01_99 Post-procedural complications - Other	14,686	4,499	3,767	1,509	7,783	1,931	3,056	2,295	6,518	6,304	2,823	3,557	8,774
03_03 Falls 1,559 3,318 3,552 2,479 -1,080 1,022 2,995 1,341 11,706 3,623 2,079 8,529 7,849 03_99 Accidental injuries - Other 1,013 3,441 4,361 3,679 7,154 -1,283 2,786 6 4,724 967 -24 15,343 5,954 04 Specific infections 2,001 5,185 2,230 2,231 12,417 3,715 2,920 854 -733 4,093 4,216 13,917 10,900 05_02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE) 326 5,486 2,222 -4,144 7,765 12,805 7,074 4,574 2,808 8,095 9,282 -13,444 4,220 05_90 Gordiovascular complications - Other 12,551 2,646 2,219 1,448 3,748 1,957 1,942 1,492 3,788 2,026 1,020 10,315 7,188 06_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl ir 2,742 1,634 1,082 3,843 1,492 492 1,211 2,132 5,84 2,026 2,426 -1,211 10,838	02 Adverse drug events	6,853	2,623	2,462	871	7,002	1,687	2,380	876	5,447	3,100	1,456	10,016	6,784
03_99 Accidental injuries - Other 1,013 3,441 4,361 3,679 7,154 -1,283 2,786 6 4,724 967 -24 15,343 5,954 04 Specific infections 2,001 5,185 2,230 2,231 12,417 3,715 2,920 854 -733 4,093 4,216 13,917 10,900 05_02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE) 326 5,486 2,322 -4,144 7,765 12,805 7,074 4,574 2,380 8,095 9,282 -13,444 4,220 05_09 Cardiovascular complications - Other 12,551 2,646 2,219 1,148 3,748 1,957 1,942 1,492 3,798 2,402 1,020 10,016 7,188 06_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl ir 2,742 1,634 1,082 3,843 1,492 492 1,211 2,132 584 2,026 2,426 1,211 10,338 06_09 Respiratory Complications - Other 9,299 3,505 3,021 1,854 3,041 2,512 1,133 1,516 4,904 2,395 837 13,315 <td< td=""><td>03_03 Falls</td><td>1,559</td><td>3,318</td><td>3,552</td><td>2,479</td><td>-1,080</td><td>1,022</td><td>2,995</td><td>1,341</td><td>11,706</td><td>3,623</td><td>2,079</td><td>8,529</td><td>7,849</td></td<>	03_03 Falls	1,559	3,318	3,552	2,479	-1,080	1,022	2,995	1,341	11,706	3,623	2,079	8,529	7,849
04 Specific infections2,0015,1852,2302,23112,4173,7152,920854-7334,0934,21613,91710,90005_02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE)3265,4862,322-4,1447,76512,8057,0744,5742,3808,0959,282-13,4444,22005_06 Hypotension9,3311,4581,4705492,1077741,3326201,3382,2322625,7932,82505_99 Cardiovascular complications - Other12,5512,6462,2191,1483,7481,9571,9421,4923,7982,4021,02010,3167,18806_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl ir2,7421,6341,0823,8431,4924921,2112,1325842,0262,426-1,21110,83806_99 Respiratory Complications - Other9,2993,5053,0211,8543,0412,5121,1331,5164,9042,39583713,3157,06707_01 Gastro enteritis2,2133,2263,5375,1561433,2235,2041,4157,4293,9734,86611,2693,10407_03 Enterocolitis dClostridium difficile2.835,0295,1083,3136,1267,7044,0015,6742,80010,1189,612-6,92841,23707_04 Constipation4,4032,1991,6192,790-1122,90563934725,8148171833	03_99 Accidental injuries - Other	1,013	3,441	4,361	3,679	7,154	-1,283	2,786	6	4,724	967	-24	15,343	5,954
05_02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE)3265,4862,322-4,1447,76512,8057,0744,5742,3808,0959,282-13,4444,22005_06 Hypotension9,3311,4581,4705492,1077741,3326201,3382,2322625,7932,82505_99 Cardiovascular complications - Other12,5512,6462,2191,1483,7481,9571,9421,4923,7982,4021,02010,3167,18806_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl ir2,7421,6341,0823,8431,4924921,2112,1325842,0262,426-1,21110,83806_03 Acute lower respiratory complications - Other9,2993,5053,0211,8543,0412,5121,1331,5164,9042,39583713,3157,06707_01 Gastro enteritis2,2133,2263,5375,1561433,2235,2041,4157,4293,9734,86611,2693,10407_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile2835,0295,1083,3136,1267,7044,0015,6742,28010,1189,612-6,92841,23707_04 Constipation4,4032,1991,6192,790-1122,9056393,472582,2996999,7107,97107_05 Nausea and Vomiting3,6683,947735385383033948-2,8148171833,4	04 Specific infections	2,001	5,185	2,230	2,231	12,417	3,715	2,920	854	-733	4,093	4,216	13,917	10,900
O5_06 Hypotension9,3311,4581,4705492,1077741,3326201,3382,2322625,7932,82505_99 Cardiovascular complications - Other12,5512,6462,2191,1483,7481,9571,9421,4923,7982,4021,02010,3167,18806_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl ir2,7421,6341,0823,8431,4924921,2112,1325842,0262,426-1,21110,83806_99 Respiratory Complications - Other9,2993,5053,0211,8543,0412,5121,1331,5164,9042,39583713,3157,06707_01 Gastro enteritis2,2133,2263,5375,1561433,2235,2041,4157,4293,9734,86611,2693,10407_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile2285,0295,1083,3136,1267,7044,0015,6742,28010,1189,612-6,92841,23707_04 Constipation4,4032,1991,6192,790-1122,9056393472582,9296999,7107,97107_05 Nausea and Vomiting3,6683947735385383033948-2,8148171883,4795,30207_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other2,9174,4504,1882,6675,7974,0082,8562,770-6322,2701,5336,654	05_02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE)	326	5,486	2,322	-4,144	7,765	12,805	7,074	4,574	2,380	8,095	9,282	-13,444	4,220
05_99 Cardiovascular complications - Other12,5512,6462,2191,1483,7481,9571,9421,4923,7982,4021,02010,3167,18806_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl ir2,7421,6341,0823,8431,4924921,2112,1325842,0262,426-1,21110,83806_99 Respiratory Complications - Other9,2993,5053,0211,8543,0412,5121,1331,5164,9042,39583713,3157,06707_01 Gastro enteritis2,2133,2263,5375,1561433,2235,2041,4157,4293,9734,86611,2693,10407_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile2835,0295,1083,3136,1267,7044,0015,67422,80010,1189,612-6,92841,23707_04 Constipation4,4032,1991,6192,790-1122,9056393472582,9296999,7107,97107_05 Nausea and Vomiting3,6683947735385383033948-2,8148171883,4795,30207_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other2,9174,4504,1882,6675,7974,0082,8562,770-6322,2701,5336,6541,44208_01 Pressure Ulcers1,8666,0165,9648,98310,2237,0513,2212,4886,5684,2313,467	05 06 Hypotension	9,331	1,458	1,470	549	2,107	774	1,332	620	1,338	2,232	262	5,793	2,825
O6_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl ir2,7421,6341,0823,8431,4924921,2112,1325842,0262,426-1,21110,83806_99 Respiratory Complications - Other9,2993,5053,0211,8543,0412,5121,1331,5164,9042,39583713,3157,06707_01 Gastro enteritis2,2133,2263,5375,1561433,2235,2041,4157,4293,9734,86611,2693,10407_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile2835,0295,1083,3136,1267,7044,0015,67422,80010,1189,612-6,92841,23707_04 Constipation4,4032,1991,6192,790-1122,9056393472582,9296999,7107,97107_05 Nausea and Vomiting3,6683947735385383033948-2,8148171833,4795,30207_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other2,9174,4504,1882,6675,7974,0082,8562,770-6322,2701,5336,6541,44208_01 Pressure Ulcers1,8666,0165,9648,98310,2237,0513,2212,4886,5684,2313,46720,988-5,47808_99 Skin Conditions - Other3,8552,3163,3741,5293,2506931,9351,3352,335,4911,17611,890<	05 99 Cardiovascular complications - Other	12,551	2,646	2,219	1,148	3,748	1,957	1,942	1,492	3,798	2,402	1,020	10,316	7,188
06_99 Respiratory Complications - Other9,2993,5053,0211,8543,0412,5121,1331,5164,9042,39583713,3157,06707_01 Gastro enteritis2,2133,2263,5375,1561433,2235,2041,4157,4293,9734,86611,2693,10407_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile2835,0295,1083,3136,1267,7044,0015,67422,80010,1189,612-6,92841,23707_04 Constipation4,4032,1991,6192,790-1122,9056393472582,9296999,7107,97107_05 Nausea and Vomiting3,6683947735385383033948-2,8148171833,4795,30207_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other2,9174,4504,1882,6675,7974,0082,8562,770-6322,2701,5336,6541,44208_01 Pressure Ulcers1,8666,0165,9648,98310,2237,0513,2212,4886,5684,2313,46720,988-5,47808_99 Skin Conditions - Other3,8552,3163,3741,5293,2506931,9851,9352,335,4911,17611,8908,61509_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure3,6401,9501,2406,0223,4411,6289661,548-4481,2296,6183,637 <t< td=""><td>06_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl in</td><td>2,742</td><td>1,634</td><td>1,082</td><td>3,843</td><td>1,492</td><td>492</td><td>1,211</td><td>2,132</td><td>584</td><td>2,026</td><td>2,426</td><td>-1,211</td><td>10,838</td></t<>	06_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl in	2,742	1,634	1,082	3,843	1,492	492	1,211	2,132	584	2,026	2,426	-1,211	10,838
07_01 Gastro enteritis 2,213 3,226 3,537 5,156 143 3,223 5,204 1,415 7,429 3,973 4,866 11,269 3,104 07_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile 283 5,029 5,108 3,313 6,126 7,704 4,001 5,674 22,800 10,118 9,612 -6,928 41,237 07_04 Constipation 4,403 2,199 1,619 2,790 -112 2,905 639 347 258 2,929 699 9,710 7,971 07_05 Nausea and Vomiting 3,668 394 773 538 538 303 394 8 -2,814 817 183 3,479 5,302 07_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other 2,917 4,450 4,188 2,667 5,797 4,008 2,856 2,770 -632 2,270 1,533 6,654 1,442 08_01 Pressure Ulcers 1,866 6,016 5,964 8,983 10,223 7,051 3,221 2,488 6,568 4,231 3,467 20,988 -5,478 08_99 Skin Conditi	06_99 Respiratory Complications - Other	9,299	3,505	3,021	1,854	3,041	2,512	1,133	1,516	4,904	2,395	837	13,315	7,067
07_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile 283 5,029 5,108 3,313 6,126 7,704 4,001 5,674 22,800 10,118 9,612 -6,928 41,237 07_04 Constipation 4,403 2,199 1,619 2,790 -112 2,905 639 347 258 2,929 699 9,710 7,971 07_05 Nausea and Vomiting 3,668 394 773 538 538 303 394 8 -2,814 817 183 3,479 5,302 07_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other 2,917 4,450 4,188 2,667 5,797 4,008 2,856 2,770 -632 2,270 1,533 6,654 1,442 08_01 Pressure Ulcers 1,866 6,016 5,964 8,983 10,223 7,051 3,221 2,488 6,568 4,231 3,467 20,988 -5,478 08_99 Shin Conditions - Other 3,855 2,316 3,374 1,529 3,250 693 1,985 1,935 2,33 5,491 1,176	07_01 Gastro enteritis	2,213	3,226	3,537	5,156	143	3,223	5,204	1,415	7,429	3,973	4,866	11,269	3,104
07_04 Constipation 4,403 2,199 1,619 2,790 -112 2,905 639 347 258 2,929 699 9,710 7,971 07_05 Nausea and Vomiting 3,668 394 773 538 538 303 394 8 -2,814 817 183 3,479 5,302 07_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other 2,917 4,450 4,188 2,667 5,797 4,008 2,856 2,770 -632 2,270 1,533 6,654 1,442 08_01 Pressure Ulcers 1,866 6,016 5,964 8,983 10,223 7,051 3,221 2,488 6,568 4,231 3,467 20,988 -5,478 08_99 Skin Conditions - Other 3,855 2,316 3,374 1,529 3,250 693 1,985 1,935 2,33 5,491 1,176 11,890 8,615 09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure 3,160 1,950 1,240 6,022 3,441 1,628 966 1,548 -448 1,613 3,637 09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure 3,160 <td>07_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile</td> <td>283</td> <td>5,029</td> <td>5,108</td> <td>3,313</td> <td>6,126</td> <td>7,704</td> <td>4,001</td> <td>5,674</td> <td>22,800</td> <td>10,118</td> <td>9,612</td> <td>-6,928</td> <td>41,237</td>	07_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile	283	5,029	5,108	3,313	6,126	7,704	4,001	5,674	22,800	10,118	9,612	-6,928	41,237
O7_05 Nausea and Vomiting 3,668 394 773 538 538 303 394 8 -2,814 817 183 3,479 5,302 07_09 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other 2,917 4,450 4,188 2,667 5,797 4,008 2,856 2,770 -632 2,270 1,533 6,654 1,442 08_01 Pressure Ulcers 1,866 6,016 5,964 8,983 10,223 7,051 3,221 2,488 6,568 4,231 3,467 20,988 -5,478 08_09 skin Conditions - Other 3,855 2,316 3,374 1,529 3,250 693 1,985 1,935 2,491 1,176 11,890 8,615 09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure 3,160 1,950 1,240 6,022 3,441 1,628 966 1,548 -448 1,229 6,138 3,637 09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure 3,160 1,950 1,240 6,022 3	07 04 Constipation	4,403	2,199	1,619	2,790	-112	2,905	639	347	258	2,929	699	9,710	7,971
07_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other 2,917 4,450 4,188 2,667 5,797 4,008 2,856 2,770 -632 2,270 1,533 6,654 1,442 08_01 Pressure Ulcers 1,866 6,016 5,964 8,983 10,223 7,051 3,221 2,488 6,568 4,231 3,467 20,988 -5,478 08_99 Skin Conditions - Other 3,855 2,316 3,374 1,529 3,250 693 1,935 233 5,491 1,176 11,890 8,615 09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure 3,160 1,950 1,240 6,022 3,441 1,628 966 1,548 -448 1,229 -6,183 3,637 09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure 3,160 1,950 1,240 6,022 3,441 1,628 966 1,548 -448 1,229 -6,183 3,637 09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure 3,160 1,950 1,240 6,022 3,441 1,628 966 1,548 -448 </td <td>07 05 Nausea and Vomiting</td> <td>3,668</td> <td>394</td> <td>773</td> <td>538</td> <td>538</td> <td>303</td> <td>394</td> <td>8</td> <td>-2,814</td> <td>817</td> <td>183</td> <td>3,479</td> <td>5,302</td>	07 05 Nausea and Vomiting	3,668	394	773	538	538	303	394	8	-2,814	817	183	3,479	5,302
08_01 Pressure Ulcers 1,866 6,016 5,964 8,983 10,223 7,051 3,221 2,488 6,568 4,231 3,467 20,988 -5,478 08_09 Skin Conditions - Other 3,855 2,316 3,374 1,529 3,250 693 1,985 1,935 233 5,491 1,176 11,890 8,615 09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure 3,160 1,950 1,240 6,022 3,441 1,628 966 1,548 -448 1,229 -6,183 3,637 09_01 Linpacy tract infection 8,449 4,300 3,560 3,170 4,208 1,745 1,937 2,989 8,984 4,830	07 99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other	2,917	4,450	4,188	2,667	5,797	4,008	2,856	2,770	-632	2,270	1,533	6,654	1,442
08_99 Skin Conditions - Other 3,855 2,316 3,374 1,529 3,250 693 1,985 1,935 2.33 5,491 1,176 11,890 8,615 09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure 3,160 1,950 1,920 3,441 1,628 966 1,548 -448 1,229 -6,183 3,637 09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure 3,160 1,950 1,240 6,022 3,441 1,628 966 1,548 -448 1,229 -6,183 3,637 09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure 3,160 1,950 1,240 6,022 3,441 1,628 966 1,548 -448 1,229 -6,183 3,637	08 01 Pressure Ulcers	1,866	6,016	5,964	8,983	10,223	7,051	3,221	2,488	6,568	4,231	3,467	20,988	-5,478
09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure 3,160 1,950 1,950 1,240 6,022 3,441 1,628 966 1,548 -448 1,229 -6,183 3,637	08 99 Skin Conditions - Other	3,855	2,316	3,374	1,529	3,250	693	1,985	1,935	233	5,491	1,176	11,890	8,615
09.02 Urinery tract infection 8.449, 4.800, 8.550, 8.170, 4.208, 1.745, 1.937, 2.980, 859, 5.258, 2.948, 8.984, 4.820	09 01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure	3,160	1,950	1,950	1.240	6.022	3,441	1.628	966	1.548	-448	1,229	-6,183	3,637
03 02 0111d1 v t dct i i i i ct i i i ct i	09 02 Urinary tract infection	3,449	4,300	3,560	3,170	4,208	1.745	1.937	2.930	869	5.258	2,943	8,934	4.320
09 99 Genitourinary Complications - Other 5.300 1.480 2.622 2.723 46 617 715 209 2.244 1.905 970 8.330 8.319	09 99 Genitourinary Complications - Other	5.300	1.480	2.622	2.723	46	617	715	209	2.244	1,905	970	8,330	8.319
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states 6.598 2.435 2.568 1.583 1.485 1.935 2.121 1.418 -406 2.379 281 4.849 8.185	10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6.598	2.435	2,568	1.583	1.485	1.935	2,121	1.418	-406	2.379	281	4,849	8,185
11 12 13 Early Pregnancy, Labour, Delivery & Po 49 480 -1,539 796 6,734 1,474	11 12 13 Early Pregnancy, Labour, Delivery & Po	49	480	-1,539	796	6,734	1,474		· .		4,722	5,929	36,273	-1,256
14 Haematological Disorders 6.375 1.652 1.919 665 3.746 2.226 1.534 1.225 3.999 1.817 1.556 5.294 13.137	14 Haematological Disorders	6.375	1.652	1,919	665	3,746	2,226	1.534	1.225	3,999	1.817	1,556	5,294	13,137
15 01 Dehydration / volume depletion 3.686 721 2.540 4.067 538 361 300 207 1.905 605 164 5.191 1.040	15 01 Dehvdration / volume depletion	3.686	721	2,540	4.067	538	361	300	207	1,905	605	164	5,191	1.040
15 02 Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration 9.808 2.086 2.086 1.723 1.628 2.610 1.698 1.107 4.661 2.337 1.839 12.937 8.571	15 02 Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration	9.808	2.086	2.086	1.723	1.628	2.610	1.698	1.107	4,661	2.337	1.839	12,937	8.571
15 04 Hypoglycaemia and Hyperglycaemia 364 1,403 1,924 905 5,997 2,049 -3,664 17,384 -2,257 5,320 -1,283 -486	15 04 Hypoglycaemia and Hyperglycaemia	364	1,403	1,924	905	5,997	2,049	-3,664	17,384		-2,257	5,320	-1,283	-486
15 99 Metabolic Disorders - Other 4.141 1.270 474 2.347 1.251 1.898 826 1.319 5.477 1.016 2.220 3.752 6.487	15 99 Metabolic Disorders - Other	4,141	1,270	474	2,347	1,251	1,898	826	1,319	5,477	1,016	2,220	3,752	6,487
16 01 Hospital-acquired Paralysis 493 3.391 767 5.502 176 -1.159 2.337 -2.198 -5.915 2.079 -2.158	16 01 Hospital-acquired Paralysis	493	3,391	767	5,502	176	-1.159	2.337	-2.198	-5,915	2.079		-2.158	
16 99 Nervous System Complications - Other 1.563 6.082 1.636 2.002 9.956 6.385 5.869 1.567 7.494 3.483 1.888 5.641 3.195	16 99 Nervous System Complications - Other	1.563	6.082	1.636	2.002	9,956	6,385	5,869	1,567	7,494	3,483	1.888	5,641	3,195
17 01 Major Symptoms (includes instantaneous 935 283 -664 -14 253 197 1,456 1,040 -5,144 -1,034 3,835 -3,559 -5,300	17 01 Major Symptoms (includes instantaneous	935	283	-664	-14	253	197	1,456	1,040	-5,144	-1,034	3,835	-3,559	-5,300
17_99 Other Complications - Other 13,880 1,957 2,016 1,034 2,104 1,379 1,148 832 1,852 2,602 769 8,040 4,286	17_99 Other Complications - Other	13,880	1,957	2,016	1,034	2,104	1,379	1,148	832	1,852	2,602	769	8,040	4,286

Table 43 – Estimates of the incremental length of stay – GLM Mean results - Model B1 and B2 - Part 1

Adjacent DRG Version 6.x													
	Episodes with COF diag-nosis	Total Sample	B02 Cranial Procedures	B70 Stroke and Other Cerebro- vascular Disorders	E62 Respiratory Infections/ Inflam- mations	E65 Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease	F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F06 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F07 Other Cardio- thoracic/Vas cular Proc. W CPB Pump	F10 Intervent- ional Coronary Procedures W AMI	F14 Vascular Procedures Except Major Reconstr. W/O CPB Pump	F41 Circulatory Disorders W AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inves. Proc.	F42 Circulatory Disorders W/O AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inve. Proc.
Number of episodes (inc same day)		406,401	8,194	22,052	51,920	36,428	1,683	3,771	866	9,631	9,107	6,312	27,254
Number of episodes (exc same day)		342,230	8,153	21,140	49,199	34,516	1,682	3,769	865	9,593	7,283	6,163	14,858
% overnight episodes		84%	99%	96%	95%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	98%	55%
Mean LOS per episode		6.2	12.9	7.4	5.5	5.4	17.2	10.3	12.4	4.0	4.2	4.6	2.5
Mean LOS per overnight episode		7.1	13.0	7.7	5.7	5.7	17.2	10.3	12.4	4.0	5.0	4.7	3.8
Model A1 - Presence of any COF diagnosis Overn	night Episode	s											
Model predicted cost per episode		7.1	13.7	7.7	5.8	5.8	17.3	10.3	12.4	4.2	5.2	4.8	4.0
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode	e	6.0	9.2	6.3	5.1	5.2	14.4	8.3	8.2	3.8	3.9	4.4	3.7
Incremental cost of presence of any COF diagnos	67,621	5.3	9.3	6.3	4.7	4.4	3.9	2.8	5.9	1.6	5.6	2.2	2.1
Model A2 - Presence of Major CHADx - Overnight	t episodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		7.2	14.1	7.8	5.9	5.9	17.2	10.4	12.6	4.2	5.4	4.9	4.1
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode	e	6.0	9.2	6.4	5.1	5.3	14.1	7.9	7.7	3.7	3.9	4.4	3.7
Incremental cost of presence of:	n												
01 Post-procedural complications	14,686	2.8	3.9	2.8	3.6	3.5	2.1	2.1	3.7	0.5	2.0	0.7	0.6
02 Adverse drug events	6,853	2.7	4.3	2.2	1.9	1.8	3.0	1.5	4.7	1.3	3.3	1.2	1.6
03 Accidental injuries	2,556	4.7	6.5	2.9	3.0	2.6	2.3	0.6	4.0	0.7	3.0	3.0	3.0
04 Specific infections	2,001	4.5	4.5	3.9	3.1	3.2	6.0	5.2	8.5	1.7	8.2	5.3	1.9
05 Cardiovascular complications	19,732	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.3	1.8	0.8	0.8	1.4	0.7	2.0	1.5	1.3
06 Respiratory Complications	9,299	2.4	3.2	3.0	2.5	3.0	1.0	1.1	2.2	1.8	1.1	2.6	2.6
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	12,337	2.3	1.9	2.6	2.5	2.3	1.4	1.3	1.8	0.9	2.7	1.4	1.9
08 Skin Conditions	5,495	3.3	2.8	3.2	2.8	3.3	4.0	2.0	1.0	1.9	4.6	1.9	3.5
09 Genitourinary Complications	10,757	2.4	2.7	3.4	2.3	1.9	3.8	1.9	2.0	1.2	2.9	1.7	2.1
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	2.1	1.5	2.4	1.8	1.2	1.1	2.1	0.7	0.9	2.7	0.5	1.4
11-12 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	49	3.0	0.6	-3.1	1.8				5.2	0.9	-0.6		-2.4
14 Haematological Disorders	6,375	1.2	1.9	1.4	2.1	2.7	-0.2	0.3	0.5	2.4	1.9	1.0	2.6
15 Metabolic Disorders	15,684	1.5	2.4	2.2	1.8	1.3	0.9	0.3	0.3	1.0	2.2	1.5	1.8
16 Nervous System Complications	1,948	2.8	3.6	1.7	2.2	0.9	1.3	4.0	-0.4	0.8	0.6	2.1	1.1
17 Other Complications	13,880	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.3	0.6	0.6	1.6	0.6	2.8	0.5	1.2

Table 43– Estimates of the incremental length of stay – GLM Mean results - Model B1 and B2 - Part 2

Note:	Shaded values	represent estimate	s based on a	parameter which	was not statistica	ally significant
-------	---------------	--------------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------------	------------------

Adjacent DRG Version 6.x													
	Episodes with COF diag-nosis	Total Sample	F62 Heart Failure and Shock	F74 Chest Pain	GO2 Major Small and Large Bowel Procedures	H08 Laparo- scopic Chole- cystectomy	103 Hip Replace- ment	104 Knee Replace- ment	131 Hip Revision	168 Non- surgical Spinal Disorders	NO4 Hyster- ectomy for Non- Malignancy	U61 Schizo- phrenia Disorders	U63 Major Affective Disorders
Number of episodes (inc same day)		406,401	26,303	70,609	10,758	21,178	11,630	10,470	1,327	32,860	8,268	21,178	14,602
Number of episodes (exc same day)		342,230	25,289	40,151	10,596	20,527	11,625	10,460	1,327	21,010	8,244	21,178	14,602
% overnight episodes		84%	96%	57%	98%	97%	100%	100%	100%	64%	100%	100%	100%
Mean LOS per episode		6.2	6.1	1.3	13.1	2.7	8.1	6.2	13.8	3.4	3.4	22.6	17.4
Mean LOS per overnight episode		7.1	6.3	1.6	13.3	2.7	8.1	6.2	13.8	4.8	3.4	22.6	17.4
Model A1 - Presence of any COF diagnosis Overn	ight Episode	5											
Model predicted cost per episode		7.1	6.6	1.6	13.1	2.7	8.4	6.3	14.0	5.0	3.4	20.7	15.6
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode	e	6.0	5.7	1.6	8.6	2.4	7.0	5.6	12.1	4.2	3.1	18.3	14.0
Incremental cost of presence of any COF diagnos	67,621	5.3	4.8	1.9	8.0	2.3	2.6	1.7	3.2	6.3	1.5	24.7	14.2
Model A2 - Presence of Major CHADx - Overnight	t episodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		7.2	6.7	1.6	13.3	2.8	8.4	6.3	14.0	5.1	3.4	21.2	15.9
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode	e	6.0	5.7	1.6	8.6	2.4	6.9	5.6	11.5	4.3	3.0	18.5	14.2
Incremental cost of presence of:	n												
01 Post-procedural complications	14,686	2.8	3.0	2.0	5.6	1.4	1.8	1.8	2.9	5.7	1.5	3.2	7.9
O2 Adverse drug events	6,853	2.7	2.2	0.9	4.4	1.2	1.3	0.6	2.4	2.8	0.7	13.5	6.2
03 Accidental injuries	2,556	4.7	3.5	2.5	2.7	0.6	2.8	0.9	7.6	3.0	0.4	15.6	6.4
04 Specific infections	2,001	4.5	2.3	3.1	5.0	3.8	2.9	2.2	-1.4	3.6	3.5	16.8	7.3
05 Cardiovascular complications	19,732	1.4	1.8	0.8	1.2	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	2.5	0.2	11.7	4.9
06 Respiratory Complications	9,299	2.4	2.6	3.1	1.4	2.0	1.1	1.4	3.9	1.9	0.8	15.7	8.2
07 Gastrointestinal Complications	12,337	2.3	2.5	1.7	2.3	1.5	1.0	0.6	-0.4	2.9	0.7	10.8	6.4
08 Skin Conditions	5,495	3.3	3.3	2.2	2.6	1.2	1.8	1.3	5.1	4.6	0.5	14.3	8.1
09 Genitourinary Complications	10,757	2.4	2.7	2.3	1.7	1.3	1.2	0.7	2.9	3.2	1.0	8.4	7.3
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	2.1	1.6	1.0	0.6	1.8	1.7	0.8	-0.5	1.6	0.6	6.0	7.1
11-12 Early Pregnancy, Labour etc	49	3.0	-0.6	0.7	3.2	-0.4				2.0	6.2	57.3	-1.9
14 Haematological Disorders	6,375	1.2	1.1	0.2	1.8	2.6	0.9	0.8	0.4	1.8	0.6	32.5	5.8
15 Metabolic Disorders	15,684	1.5	1.8	2.0	1.3	1.9	0.7	0.4	1.9	1.8	0.6	7.0	3.0
16 Nervous System Complications	1,948	2.8	0.7	3.2	1.4	0.8	3.5	0.7	-1.5	2.6	0.1	6.5	3.6
17 Other Complications	13,880	1.9	1.8	0.9	1.0	1.3	0.9	0.5	1.2	2.3	0.5	9.5	5.2

Table 44 – Estimates of the incremental length of stay – GLM Median results - Model B1 and B2 - Part 1

Note:	Shaded values	represent estimates	based on a p	arameter which v	was not statistically	sianificant
	0					0.9

Adjacent DRG Version 6.x													
	Episodes with COF Diagnosis	Total Sample	B02 Cranial Procedures	B70 Stroke and Other Cerebro- vascular Disorders	E62 Respiratory Infections/ Inflam- mations	E65 Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease	F05 Coronary Bypass W Invasive Cardiac Inves.	FO6 Coronary Bypass W/O Invasive Cardiac Inves.	F07 Other Cardio- thoracic/Vas cular Proc. W CPB Pump	F10 Intervent- ional Coronary Procedures W AMI	F14 Vascular Procedures Except Major Reconstr. W/O CPB Pump	F41 Circulatory Disorders W AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inves. Proc.	F42 Circulatory Disorders W/O AMI W Invasive Cardiac Inve. Proc.
	n	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Model A3 - Presence of selected groups - Overni	ght episodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		7.2	14.0	8.0	6.0	6.0	17.0	10.0	13.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode	2	6.1	9.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	14.0	8.0	8.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Incremental cost of presence of:													
01_01 Complications of Infusion /Transfusion	325	- 0.2	-0.9	0.1	-1.6	-0.7	-1.4	-0.4	0.9	0.0	3.4	0.0	1.0
01_09 Wound infection (excluding sepsis)	1,052	4.5	3.5	4.8	-0.3	0.3	8.2	7.0	6.5	0.9	4.9	-0.7	2.4
01_99 Post-procedural complications - Other	14,686	2.4	3.9	2.7	3.8	3.6	1.2	1.3	2.6	0.6	1.8	0.8	0.6
02 Adverse drug events	6,853	2.7	4.2	2.2	1.9	1.9	3.1	1.5	5.0	1.3	3.3	1.3	1.6
03_03 Falls	1,559	4.0	4.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	-1.1	0.3	-2.5	1.9	4.4	1.5	3.1
03_99 Accidental injuries - Other	1,013	5.7	8.6	2.2	3.2	2.0	5.6	0.7	7.1	-1.3	1.8	3.5	2.7
04 Specific infections	2,001	4.0	4.2	3.7	2.9	3.2	3.8	2.0	6.8	1.7	7.2	4.9	2.0
05_02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE)	326	4.1	8.3	1.6	2.3	5.1	1.0	2.4	44.0	0.4	2.7	2.9	2.5
05_06 Hypotension	9,331	0.8	0.7	1.6	0.7	1.6	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.4	1.1	0.8	0.5
05_99 Cardiovascular complications - Other	12,551	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.6	1.5	0.8	0.9	1.6	0.7	2.0	1.5	1.7
06_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl	2,742	1.5	1.0	0.8	2.0	2.1	1.6	1.2	1.8	1.1	-2.2	2.0	1.5
06_99 Respiratory Complications - Other	9,299	1.9	2.6	2.7	2.2	2.7	0.9	0.7	1.8	1.3	2.6	1.7	2.0
07_01 Gastro enteritis	2,213	2.5	1.5	2.2	2.2	2.2	0.8	2.0	10.1	2.2	2.2	1.2	2.4
07_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile	283	4.0	2.8	4.9	4.8	4.9	7.3	2.6	0.8		2.4	9.3	5.0
07_04 Constipation	4,403	2.5	2.3	2.6	1.9	2.2	0.4	0.5	-0.9	0.1	2.2	1.9	3.2
07_05 Nausea and Vomiting	3,668	0.7	0.1	1.4	2.3	1.3	-0.3	0.2	0.1	-0.1	1.7	0.9	-0.1
07_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other	2,917	2.7	3.2	2.2	1.8	1.7	2.8	3.6	3.0	1.2	2.9	0.4	2.9
08_01 Pressure Ulcers	1,866	3.2	4.1	3.7	2.9	4.1	4.6	2.5	1.6	2.8	2.8	3.8	1.9
08_99 Skin Conditions - Other	3,855	2.9	1.2	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	0.3	0.3	1.7	4.6	1.3	3.6
09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure	3,160	1.0	-0.3	0.3	0.8	0.6	3.5	1.4	2.0	0.6	-0.5	1.8	2.6
09_02 Urinary tract infection	3,449	3.8	4.2	3.7	5.4	3.2	4.1	2.8	3.8	2.2	4.9	1.3	2.5
09_99 Genitourinary Complications - Other	5,300	1.4	0.9	2.4	1.8	2.1	0.7	1.1	1.0	0.9	2.3	1.3	0.7
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	2.0	1.5	2.3	1.7	1.1	0.5	1.5	0.3	0.8	2.2	0.3	1.5
11 12 13 Early Pregnancy, Labour, Delivery & F	49	3.0	0.6	-3.1	1.8				4.3	0.9	-0.6		-2.5
14 Haematological Disorders	6,375	1.2	1.6	1.3	2.0	2.6	-0.3	0.3	0.4	2.4	2.2	0.7	2.3
15_01 Dehydration / volume depletion	3,686	1.1	1.5	1.9	1.9	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.2	1.4	2.6	2.8	1.0
15_02 Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration	9,808	1.4	2.2	2.3	1.6	1.2	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.7	1.8	1.3	1.8
15_04 Hypoglycaemia and Hyperglycaemia	364	0.4	-0.6	-0.5	0.5	1.1	0.2	0.3	-1.3	3.4	-0.5	3.0	2.1
15_99 Metabolic Disorders - Other	4,141	1.1	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	0.5	2.1	-0.1	0.7
16_01 Hospital-acquired Paralysis	493	1.4	1.7	1.3	0.6	0.2	3.6	4.2	-0.2	-0.8	-1.3	3.7	3.0
16_99 Nervous System Complications - Other	1,563	2.8	3.4	1.8	2.4	1.0	1.3	3.0	1.3	1.1	1.7	1.1	0.3
17_01 Major Symptoms (includes instantaneou	935	0.2	1.3	-0.2	0.2	-0.1	-2.0	-0.5	-1.0	1.0	1.3	0.3	-0.6
17_99 Other Complications - Other	13,880	2.0	1.7	1.8	1.7	2.3	1.0	0.8	1.4	0.6	2.8	0.5	1.3

	Adjacent DRG Version 6.x												
	Episodes with COF Diagnosis	Total Sample	F62 Heart Failure and Shock	F74 Chest Pain	GO2 Major Small and Large Bowel Procedures	H08 Laparo- scopic Chole- cystectomy	103 Hip Replace- ment	104 Knee Replace- ment	131 Hip Revision	l68 Non- surgical Spinal Disorders	NO4 Hyster- ectomy for Non- Malignancy	U61 Schizo- phrenia Disorders	U63 Major Affective Disorders
	n	s	\$	s	\$	\$	S	s	s	s	S	\$	S
Model A3 - Presence of selected groups - Overnig	ght episodes												
Model predicted cost per episode		7.2	7.0	2.0	13.0	3.0	8.0	6.0	14.0	5.0	3.0	21.0	16.0
Model predicted cost per uncomplicated episode	2	6.1	6.0	2.0	9.0	2.0	7.0	6.0	11.0	4.0	3.0	18.0	14.0
Incremental cost of presence of:													
01_01 Complications of Infusion /Transfusion	325	- 0.2	-0.5	0.0	1.3	0.6	-0.8	-1.2	5.0	-1.1	2.6	-3.7	7.8
01_09 Wound infection (excluding sepsis)	1,052	4.5	2.8		4.5	2.9	4.3	1.2	3.3	-6.6	2.1	12.0	5.6
01_99 Post-procedural complications - Other	14,686	2.4	3.1	2.0	4.4	1.3	1.5	1.7	2.5	6.4	1.3	1.4	7.1
02 Adverse drug events	6,853	2.7	2.2	0.9	4.4	1.2	1.1	0.5	2.0	2.8	0.7	14.1	6.2
03_03 Falls	1,559	4.0	3.6	2.3	2.5	1.8	2.7	0.9	12.7	3.2	1.4	10.5	7.6
03_99 Accidental injuries - Other	1,013	5.7	2.9	2.6	3.2	-0.7	2.7	0.8	4.2	2.0	-0.1	18.1	5.5
04 Specific infections	2,001	4.0	2.0	2.9	4.0	1.7	2.4	1.3	-0.8	3.6	2.5	16.0	7.4
05_02 Pulmonary Embolism (PE)	326	4.1	1.9	-3.9	4.4	-2.2	4.7	3.3	5.8	5.9	4.8	-22.3	1.2
05_06 Hypotension	9,331	0.8	1.4	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.0	2.2	0.0	7.2	1.8
05_99 Cardiovascular complications - Other	12,551	1.5	1.7	0.8	1.3	1.0	1.1	0.9	1.0	2.2	0.6	13.1	6.0
06_03 Acute lower respiratory infections (incl	2,742	1.5	1.6	3.4	1.5	1.9	1.3	1.0	2.1	2.7	1.1	5.8	10.6
06_99 Respiratory Complications - Other	9,299	1.9	1.9	1.5	0.7	1.3	0.3	0.9	2.7	0.6	0.4	13.0	5.3
07_01 Gastro enteritis	2,213	2.5	3.0	3.0	0.7	1.8	3.2	0.4	2.6	3.7	2.4	11.7	4.1
07_03 Enterocolitis dt Clostridium difficile	283	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.7	4.7	3.8	5.6	1.0	5.1	4.5	-5.1	13.9
07_04 Constipation	4,403	2.5	1.7	2.4	1.1	3.0	0.5	0.6	0.3	3.4	0.7	11.8	7.2
07_05 Nausea and Vomiting	3,668	0.7	1.2	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.1	-1.9	1.1	0.2	6.5	3.5
07_99 Gastrointestinal Complications - Other	2,917	2.7	3.0	3.5	3.7	2.9	1.8	1.8	4.1	1.5	1.7	4.9	1.8
08_01 Pressure Ulcers	1,866	3.2	4.1	7.8	2.8	1.6	2.1	1.8	4.7	3.7	0.9	14.1	-6.8
08_99 Skin Conditions - Other	3,855	2.9	2.7	1.8	1.8	0.8	1.2	1.1	4.1	4.6	0.5	14.0	9.3
09_01 Acute & Unspecified Renal Failure	3,160	1.0	1.8	1.1	0.7	4.0	0.7	0.5	0.5	-0.1	0.1	-8.5	0.9
09_02 Urinary tract infection	3,449	3.8	3.2	3.7	3.8	2.1	2.0	1.8	5.1	4.3	1.7	12.0	5.0
09_99 Genitourinary Complications - Other	5,300	1.4	2.0	1.5	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.3	2.1	1.9	0.7	6.0	8.6
10 Hospital-acquired Psychiatric states	6,598	2.0	1.6	1.0	0.4	1.7	1.4	0.7	-0.4	1.8	0.4	6.1	7.2
11 12 13 Early Pregnancy, Labour, Delivery & F	49	3.0	-0.6	0.7	3.2	-0.4				2.0	6.4	57.2	-1.9
14 Haematological Disorders	6,375	1.2	1.1	0.1	1.5	2.2	0.9	0.8	0.5	1.8	0.7	33.0	6.2
15_01 Dehydration / volume depletion	3,686	1.1	2.0	2.3	1.2	0.6	0.4	-0.2	-0.1	0.4	0.1	4.2	-0.3
15_02 Electrolyte disorders w/o dehydration	9,808	1.4	1.9	2.0	0.6	2.2	0.8	0.5	2.8	2.3	0.6	12.2	6.1
15_04 Hypoglycaemia and Hyperglycaemia	364	0.4	-0.6	1.0	1.8	1.8	-1.5	2.8		-0.6	6.0	-6.9	0.2
15_99 Metabolic Disorders - Other	4,141	1.1	0.1	1.0	1.2	0.9	0.6	0.6	1.8	1.5	1.2	5.0	6.4
16_01 Hospital-acquired Paralysis	493	1.4	-0.1	10.4	0.1	-0.2	2.3	-1.8	-2.1	-0.2		-7.8	
16_99 Nervous System Complications - Other	1,563	2.8	1.5	1.6	1.1	1.8	3.4	0.8	-0.4	2.7	0.2	6.7	3.6
17_01 Major Symptoms (includes instantaneo	935	0.2	-0.9	0.1	0.5	-0.9	0.7	0.6	-2.4	-0.3	-0.6	-3.6	-5.2
17_99 Other Complications - Other	13,880	2.0	1.8	0.9	1.1	1.4	0.9	0.5	1.1	2.4	0.5	9.6	5.7

Table 44 – Estimates of the incremental length of stay – GLM Median results - Model B1 and B2 - Part 2 Note: Shaded values represent estimates based on a parameter which was not statistically significant

Health Policy Analysis Pty Ltd | Analysis of hospital-acquired diagnoses

Appendix 4: Methodological issues in estimating the impact on cost and length of stay of hospital-acquired conditions.

Literature on methods

Many studies have examined the additional length of stay and cost associated with hospitalacquired conditions, and in particular hospital-acquired infections (HAI). A recent systematic review (Fukuda, Lee, & Imanaka, 2011b) identified 89 studies published between 1980 and 2006 that presented estimates of the incremental costs of HAIs. The review analysed the methods adopted for producing these estimates, identifying three principal methods:

- **a. Case reviews** (7 studies), where investigators undertake micro level data collection, informed by clinical judgement, to "accurately distinguish between resources used in the treatment of the primary diagnosis of patients, and the additional resources used for HAIs "(Fukuda et al., 2011b, p. 93).
- **b.** Matched comparisons (53 studies, plus 3 studies using unmatched comparisons), where each case with HAI is compared with a case without HAI, using predetermined criteria for matching cases.
- c. Regression analysis (23 studies), where cases (with and without HAIs) are included these analyses, and differences controlled for through including a range of additional variables in the model. More recent approaches using regression models have used two stage methods, including instrumental variables, to address the problem of endogeneity bias (see discussion below).

Studies are potentially subject to various biases that have not always been addressed or recognised by authors. Most, but not all, recent studies have included methods to address bias. The particular biases that impact these methods include:

- Selection bias, for example, in matched comparison cases this may arise where cases cannot always be accurately matched with equivalent controls. In various studies "variations in patient attributes make it extremely difficult to find a corresponding uninfected patient for every infected case" (Fukuda et al., 2011b, p. 93). An additional manifestation of selection bias is that there may be a range of factors that impact the likelihood of a patient having a HAI that are not accounted for in the matching criteria or the relevant regression model.
- Endogeneity bias (Graves et al., 2010; Howard et al., 2001; Schulgen, Kropec, Kappstein, Daschner, & Schumacher, 2000), which arises as "while there is good evidence that a primary channel through which HAI influences total costs is through

increasing the length of hospital stay, increased length of stay is itself a primary cause of increased risk of HAI" (Graves, Weinhold, & Roberts, 2005, p. 754).

• Time dependent bias, which is a related problem (van Walraven, Davis, Forster, & Wells, 2004; Barnett et al., 2011; Graves et al., 2010) which "occurs when the risk sets dependent on time are not correctly addressed in the statistical analysis" (Barnett et al., 2011, p. 382).

Fukuda et al. (2011b) found that "studies that had used measures to minimise biases and deal with confounding factors were in the minority, and there is a strong possibility that many of the published COHAI estimates are biased to varying extents" (Fukuda et al., 2011b, p. 95). Regression models (which are of most interest to the current study) are generally better suited to address problems of selection bias, provided sufficient information is incorporated into the model to control for relevant factors that result in differences between patients with and without the presence of hospital-acquired complications, such as severity of the primary condition (Howard et al., 2001). These models typically take the form of:

$$Cost_{i} = \alpha + \beta_{1} HAI_{Dummy_{i}} + \sum_{n} \beta_{j} Control_{j} + \mu$$
(1)

Where $Cost_i$ is the cost of the episode i, $\[mathbb{c}\]$ can be interpreted as the cost of episodes without the relevant HAI present, $I2_1$ is the marginal cost for each episodes with the relevant HAI, HAI_{Dummy} , is a dummy variable that takes the value 1 when the HAI of interest is present and

 $\sum_{n} \beta_{j} Control_{j}$ related to a set of control variables considered important, such as age, sex, primary diagnosis (not associated with the HAI itself). Models using length of stay, rather than cost, as the dependent variable are also common. Fukuda et al. (2011) identified around 20 studies using regression models of this nature related to HAI. In some studies the dependent variable is transformed using a logarithmic or gamma distribution, to address issues related to assumptions underpinning the regression model.

In many recent studies, the DRG assigned to the patient episode is used as a control. One factor that is not always recognised is that the DRG to which an episode is assigned may be impacted by the presence of additional diagnosis codes related to the hospital-acquired conditions of interest. DRG assignment may also be impacted by the presence of particular procedures that potentially 'contaminate' the comparability of episodes within a particular DRG. In this respect, the pre-MDC assignment algorithms which assign episodes to the tracheostomy and ventilation AR-DRGs could have a potentially large impact through shifting episodes into these DRGs. An approach to address this (taken in the current study) is to use the AR-DRG assigned ignoring hospital-acquired diagnoses and also the procedures that allocate the episodes to the tracheostomy and ventilation AR-DRGs.

Few studies have recognised or controlled for within-DRG heterogeneity at the principal diagnosis level. However, this could be a serious confounder, as discussed below.

In addition to DRG, various studies have controlled to the presence of particular comorbidities within patients. Other common factors included as control variables include age, flags for patients who die (or alternatively excluding these cases from analysis), flags for patients who are transferred at the end of the episode, flags for same day episodes (or

alternatively excluding these episodes from analysis. Adjusting for 'same day' episodes is only relevant for countries where these are counted as admitted patient activity (such as Australia).

Regression models can also be impacted by endogeneity bias, unless specific steps are taken to address these issues. Only a limited number of studies identified by Fukuda et al. (2011b) incorporated these steps into the methods.

Hollenbeak et al. (2002) used a two stage method in a study investigating the cost of surgical site infections for patients receiving coronary artery by-pass surgery (CABG). In the first stage the risk of infection is estimated using a probit regression model. In the second stage the predicted risk is introduced as an explanatory variable in a regression model similar to equation 1 above. In this particular study, the authors found these methods resulted in a significantly reduced estimate of the cost of SSI in these cases (from \$20,103 to \$14,211).

Graves et al. (2005) used a similar approach, but also used an instrumental variables method. The study re-analysed data from a previous study of the impact of the cost of lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) in non-surgical patients. They also adopted a two stage model. In the first stage the likelihood of a patient having a LRTI was estimated used a probit regression model, using variables that were predictive of an infection but not of length of stay or cost. (The restriction to these 'instrumental variables' is the main methodological difference compared with the Hollenbeak study.) The resulting predicted probability of infection was then introduced into a second stage model as a variable in a model similar to equation 1 above.

Endogeneity and the related time dependency biases represent serious challenges, which are not easily addressed.

Another set of methodological issues relate to the regression estimation methods adopted. Cost and length of stay data typically have very skewed distributions. While it has been argued that datasets with very large numbers of observations could use Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) models to generate unbiased estimates of effects (e.g. Fuller et al., 2009), in recent studies Generalised Linear Models (GLM) have been used combined with a transformation of the data and assumptions regarding the distribution of the data (e.g. Jackson et al., 2013, under review; Zhan & Miller, 2003).

In addition to these methodological issues a range of factors will potentially impact the empirical estimation of the impacts of hospital-acquired conditions. These include:

- **Depth of coding at the hospital level:** This may be reflected in either the number of additional diagnoses coded or the specificity with which additional diagnoses are coded (e.g. reflected in the relative level of diagnosis codes ending with a '9'). Poorer levels of coding are likely to lead to under-identification of hospital-acquired conditions. However the impacts on estimates of the incremental cost of these conditions could be in any direction.
- **Coding standards and interpretation of these standards**: Current Australian standards are that an additional diagnosis should only be coded in certain circumstances⁷.

⁷ For coding purposes, additional diagnoses should be interpreted as conditions that affect patient management in terms of requiring any of the following: commencement, alteration or adjustment of therapeutic treatment; diagnostic procedures; or increased clinical care and/or monitoring.

Similar standards exist elsewhere. Additional diagnoses which are present, but do not meet these standards may not be coded. At least one study (Goldman, Chu, Osmond, & Bindman, 2011), found that areas of disagreement between original coding and re-abstracted data, related to codes where there is ambiguity in where the condition meets this standard.

• Accuracy of coding of COF: In many medical records, it may not be clear as to whether a diagnosis was present on admission. Coding of these indicators has been examined in a number of studies (e.g. Goldman et al., 2011). These studies highlight that there can be particular additional diagnosis codes where identifying whether the condition was present at admission is difficult, unless this is clearly indicated in the medical record. For other codes, the likelihood of a code being present on admission is either very high or very low (Jackson, Michel, Roberts, Shepheard, et al., 2009).

Table 45 summarises the major methodological challenges in estimating the impact of hospital-acquired conditions on cost and length of stay, together with an indication of the direction these factors may have on estimated effects.

Issues potentially impacting estimates of the cost of hospital-acquired conditions	Types of impact	Impact on estimates
Depth of coding (number of additional diagnoses coded, or specificity of coding diagnoses)	Hospitals with deeper levels of coding are more likely to pick up additional diagnoses which were not present on admission. Poorer coding is likely to bias estimates downwards (where hospital-acquired conditions add to cost)	\checkmark
Coding standards may lead to some conditions not being coded	Current Australian standards are that a diagnosis should only be coded in certain circumstances. Additional diagnoses which are present, but do not meet these standards may not be coded. These may be 'lower cost' (hence not coded), which will result in an upward bias in estimates of effects	↑
Accuracy of coding of COF	Studies suggest coding is underestimated in some areas and overestimated in others. There are circumstances in which the interpretation of the medical chart is ambiguous.	\leftrightarrow
Selection Bias – General	Where there is selection bias present, episodes unaccounted for factors will influence both the presence of hospital-acquired conditions and the outcome of interest (cost or length of stay). Comparisons between episodes with and without hospital-acquired conditions will not be valid. In general (where hospital-acquired conditions add to costs), this is likely to result in estimates of effect that are upwardly biased. However it is also possible that the estimates of effect will be underestimated (see next row).	↑
Selection Bias – Variables used to control for selection bias are also impacted by the presence of hospital- acquired conditions.	An example here is the use of DRG as a control variable, where DRG is determined by additional diagnoses both present on admission and those acquired in hospital. A similar issue applies to measures of comorbidity with include hospital-acquired diagnoses. In these instances, the estimates of effect will generally be biased downwards	¥

Table 45 – Sources of potential bias in assessing the impact of hospital-acquired conditions

Issues potentially impacting estimates of the cost of hospital-acquired conditions	Types of impact	Impact on estimates
Selection Bias – Within DRG heterogeneity related to principal diagnosis	DRGs typically group a range of principal diagnoses together. While these are similar, there can be high degree of heterogeneity (see discussion of cranial procedures and stroke DRGs below). If episodes with more complex principal diagnoses tend to also have higher levels of hospital-acquired conditions, the result will be that estimates of effect with be biased upwards.	↑
Selection Bias – Failure to include other factors that independently impact the cost or length of stay within a DRG (e.g. comorbidities, age)	There is also a level of heterogeneity within DRGs related to a range of other factors such as the presence of comorbidities. Age is also often introduced, as a proxy for unmeasured 'complexity'. Failure to control for these factors will typically result in upwardly biased estimates	^
Endogeneity/Time Dependent Bias – General	Unless endogeneity bias is addressed through methods, there a danger that effects will be over-estimated.	\uparrow

Studies of national/state level hospital morbidity data

Most of the studies identified in the Fukuda (2011a) review were focussed on studies of specific types of HAIs occurring with a specific hospital. Exceptions to this were Noskin et al. (2005) and Zhan and Miller (2003).

Zhan and Miller (2003) analysed the impact of medical injuries identified using the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Patient Safety Indicators (PSIs) and analysing the US Nationwide Inpatient Sample database for 2002 maintained by AHRQ. The database represents a 20% sample of US hospitals which hospital discharges data available for from close to 1,000 hospitals representing approximately 7.45 million inpatient stays for this study. The model controlled for DRGs (using the 3M All Patient Refined Diagnosis Related Group -APR-DRG - classification), the presence of 30 comorbidity conditions (Elixhauser, Steiner, Harris, & Coffey, 1998), age, sex, race/ethnicity and insurance status. Modelling was undertaken using a two stage methodology. In the first stage the impact of the presence of comorbidities on the outcomes variables was estimated using a model that included fixed DRG effects, age, sex, race/ethnicity and insurance status. Significant positive coefficients were then used as relative weights to summarise comorbidities into indices applied to each episode.

These indices were then used in a multivariable matching methodology in which cases identified with selected hospital-acquired injuries were matched with up to four control cases without these injuries, taking into account DRG, severity, comorbidity and the patient sociodemographic factors. Cases and controls were then compared with respect to length of stay, charges and mortality.

Results were cross validated with a regression analysis undertaken using Generalised Linear Model (GLM) estimation to estimate excess length of stay, charges and excess mortality, controlling for factors mentioned above. The model adjusted for, but did not calculate hospital fixed effects.

Noskin et al. (2005) analysed the impact of *Staphylococcus aureus* infections through a retrospective analysis of the US Nationwide Inpatient Sample database for 2001 and 2002 maintained by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ).The database

represents a 20% sample of US hospitals which hospital discharges data available for from close to 1,000 hospitals representing approximately 14 million inpatient stays. The authors used a hierarchical regression model controlling for hospital, DRG age, sex, race, and payer, as well as selected comorbidities (diabetes, lung disease, and dialysis) identified by an expert panel (Zhan & Miller, 2003, p. 1757). The influence of hospital was introduced as a fixed effect. Outcome variables of interest included length of stay, total charges and mortality. The paper provides no discussion of or adjustment for endogeneity bias. The authors also performed a supplementary 'confirmatory analysis' using a matched comparison method. Through this method, each inpatient identified Staphylococcus aureus infection was "matched with 1 control from the same hospital and with the same age, sex, and race. Cases with a certain comorbidity (diabetes, lung disease, and dialysis) were matched with controls with 1 or more of these comorbidities" (Noskin et al., 2005, p. 1757).

Fuller et al. (2009) analysed the impact of 64 categories of hospital-acquired complications on costs of hospitalisation for a large sample of hospital discharges from 237 Californian hospitals and 45 Maryland hospitals. This study utilised the present on admission (POA) indicators available for these states, to identify the presence of each of 64 Potentially Preventable Complications (PPC) diagnoses (Hughes et al., 2006). In the analysis, episodes with a discharge status of transferred or expired (death) were excluded. The model estimated had a relatively simple specification as follows:

$$Cost_{i} = \alpha + \sum_{n} \beta_{j} PPC_{ji} + \sum_{m} \gamma_{k} APRDRG_{ki} + \mu$$
(1)

In this model, $\frac{\sum_{n} \beta_{j} PPC_{ji}}{n}$ represents a vector of 64 dummy variables for each of the PPC and their coefficients. $I2_{i}$ can be interpreted as the marginal increase in cost associated with the

presence of complication PPC_j . m is a vector of dummy variables, once each for every APRDRG (slightly over 1,000). The coefficient for these dummy variables, $I3_k$, can be interpreted as the marginal cost of each APRDRG. Dummy variables for some APRDRG were excluded from the final model where their coefficients were found not to be significant. The model was estimated through OLS linear regression

Through this specification, this model has a particular feature which is worth noting. This is that it assumes that the coefficient for each complication is the same across all APRDRGs. This is a significant 'restriction' on the parameters estimated for the model, and it is unlikely to a true reflection of effects of the complications on costs. However, the coefficients could be interpreted as an average of the effect across all episodes. Another feature of the specification is that it is assumes APRDRGs adequately capture all other confounders. The authors do not discuss methodological limitations, such as the potential for endogeneity bias.

Jackson et al., 2011 modelled the impact of hospital-acquired conditions on costs for acute inpatients in 45 public hospitals in Victoria (2005-06) and 23 public hospitals in Queensland (2006-07), representing 1.7 million episodes. The CHADx data cleaning and grouping algorithms were applied to the data. The data sets were partitioned into two components: episodes with no CHADx diagnosis identified and those with CHADx diagnoses identified. Episodes with non CHADx diagnoses were summarised at the AR-DRG level to calculate

average costs without hospital-acquired complications. This was then used to calculate a 'mean corrected treatment cost' for episodes with CHADx diagnoses. This corrected cost was the difference between total cost and the mean uncomplicated costs. Ordinary least squares (OLS) modelling was then undertaken on the dataset.

Jackson et al. (2013, under review) analysed the increment cost length of stay for episodes with hospital-acquired conditions in eight hospitals in Alberta, Canada and 206,011 acute care episodes from the 2008-09 period. Additional diagnoses with a 'present on admission' flag were grouped to CHADx classes. Within the sample 23.9% of episodes had a hospital-acquired diagnoses identified. Incremental costs were estimated using generalized linear model with a gamma distribution using a log link relationship. Estimates of costs were controlled for the uncomplicated cost within each Case Mix Group (the Canadian version of DRGs).

References

- Australian Commissions for Safety and Quality in Health Care. (2013). CHADx codes for ICD-10-AM Eight Edition. Sydney: ACSQHC.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2013a). Australian Hospital Statistics 2011-12 Health services series no. 50. Cat. no. HSE 134. Canberra: AIHW.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2013b). METeOR Episode of admitted patient care—condition onset flag Retrieved 26/8/2013, 2013, from http://meteor.aihw.gov.au/content/index.phtml/itemId/354816

Barnett, A.G., Beyersmann, J., Allignol, A., Rosenthal, V.D., Graves, N., & Wolkewitz, M. (2011). The time-dependent bias and its effect on extra length of stay due to nosocomial infection. Value Health, 14(2), 381-386.

- Berenholtz, S.M., Pham, J.C., Thompson, D.A., Needham, D.M., Lubomski, L.H., Hyzy, R.C., ... Pronovost, P.J. (2011). Collaborative cohort study of an intervention to reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia in the intensive care unit. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol, 32*(4), 305-314.
- Ehsani, J.P., Jackson, T., & Duckett, S.J. (2006). The incidence and cost of adverse events in Victorian hospitals 2003-04. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 184(11), 551-555.
- Elixhauser, A., Steiner, C., Harris, D.R., & Coffey, R.M. (1998). Comorbidity measures for use with administrative data. *Med Care*, 36(1), 8-27.
- Fukuda, H., Lee, J., & Imanaka, Y. (2011a). Costs of hospital-acquired infection and transferability of the estimates: a systematic review. *Infection*, 39(3), 185-199.
- Fukuda, H., Lee, J., & Imanaka, Y. (2011b). Variations in analytical methodology for estimating costs of hospital-acquired infections: a systematic review. J Hosp Infect, 77(2), 93-105.
- Fuller, R.L., McCullough, E.C., Bao, M.Z., & Averill, R.F. (2009). Estimating the costs of potentially preventable hospital acquired complications. *Health Care Financ Rev*, 30(4), 17-32.
- Goldman, L.E., Chu, P.W., Osmond, D., & Bindman, A. (2011). The accuracy of present-onadmission reporting in administrative data. *Health Serv Res*, 46(6pt1), 1946-1962.
- Graves, N., Harbarth, S., Beyersmann, J., Barnett, A., Halton, K., & Cooper, B. (2010). Estimating the cost of health care-associated infections: mind your p's and q's. *Clin Infect Dis, 50*(7), 1017-1021.
- Graves, N., Weinhold, D., & Roberts, J.A. (2005). Correcting for bias when estimating the cost of hospital-acquired infection: an analysis of lower respiratory tract infections in nonsurgical patients. *Health Econ*, 14(7), 755-761.
- Hollenbeak, C.S., Murphy, D., Dunagan, W.C., & Fraser, V.J. (2002). Nonrandom selection and the attributable cost of surgical-site infections. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*, 23(4), 177-182.
- Howard, D., Cordell, R., McGowan, J.E., Jr., Packard, R.M., Scott, R.D., 2nd, & Solomon, S.L. (2001). Measuring the economic costs of antimicrobial resistance in hospital settings: summary of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention-Emory Workshop. *Clin Infect Dis*, 33(9), 1573-1578.
- Hughes, J.S., Averill, R.F., Goldfield, N.I., Gay, J.C., Muldoon, J., McCullough, E., & Xiang, J. (2006). Identifying potentially preventable complications using a present on admission indicator. *Health Care Financ Rev*, 27(3), 63-82.
- Institute of Medicine. (2013). Crossing the Quality Chasm: The IOM Health Care Quality Initiative. Retrieved 20 September 2013, from http://www.iom.edu/Global/News%20Announcements/Crossing-the-Quality-Chasm-The-IOM-Health-Care-Quality-Initiative.aspx
- Jackson, T., Fong, A., Liu, M., Murray, K., QWalz, L., Houston, C., & Dean, S. (2013, under review). Incremental costs of hospital-acquired complications in Alberta, Canada.

Jackson, T., Michel, J.L., Roberts, R., Shepheard, J., Cheng, D., Rust, J., & Perry, C. (2009). Development of a validation algorithm for 'present on admission' flagging. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak, 9, 48.

- Jackson, T., Michel, J.L., Roberts, R.F., Jorm, C.M., & Wakefield, J.G. (2009). A classification of hospital-acquired diagnoses for use with routine hospital data. *Med J Aust, 191*(10), 544-548.
- Jackson, T., Nghiem, H.S., Rowell, D., Jorm, C., & Wakefield, J. (2011). Marginal costs of hospital-acquired conditions: information for priority-setting for patient safety programmes and research. J Health Serv Res Policy, 16(3), 141-146.
- McNair, P., Borovnicar, D., Jackson, T., & Gillett, S. (2009). Prospective payment to encourage system wide quality improvement. *Med Care*, 47(3), 272-278.
- Michel, J., Nghiem, H.D., & Jackson, T.J. (2009). Using ICD-10-AM codes to characterise hospital-acquired complications. *HIM J*, 38(3), 18-25.
- National Casemix and Classification Centre. (2012). Australian Coding Standards. Sydney: NCCC.
- Noskin, G.A., Rubin, R.J., Schentag, J.J., Kluytmans, J., Hedblom, E.C., Smulders, M., ... Gemmen, E. (2005). The burden of Staphylococcus aureus infections on hospitals in the United States: an analysis of the 2000 and 2001 Nationwide Inpatient Sample Database. Arch Intern Med, 165(15), 1756-1761.
- Pronovost, P., Goeschel, C., & Wachter, R. (2008). The wisdom and justice of not paying for "preventable complications". *Journal of the American Medical Association, 299,* 2197–2199.
- Pronovost, P., Needham, D., Berenholtz, S., Sinopoli, D., Chu, H., Cosgrove, S., . . . Goeschel, C. (2006). An intervention to decrease catheter-related bloodstream infections in the ICU. N Engl J Med, 355(26), 2725-2732.
- Schulgen, G., Kropec, A., Kappstein, I., Daschner, F., & Schumacher, M. (2000). Estimation of extra hospital stay attributable to nosocomial infections: heterogeneity and timing of events. J Clin Epidemiol, 53(4), 409-417.
- Umscheid, C.A., Mitchell, M.D., Doshi, J.A., Agarwal, R., Williams, K., & Brennan, P.J. (2011). Estimating the proportion of healthcare-associated infections that are reasonably preventable and the related mortality and costs. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*, 32(2), 101-114.
- van Walraven, C., Davis, D., Forster, A.J., & Wells, G.A. (2004). Time-dependent bias was common in survival analyses published in leading clinical journals. *J Clin Epidemiol*, 57(7), 672-682.
- Vincent, C., Aylin, P., Franklin, B.D., Holmes, A., Iskander, S., Jacklin, A., & Moorthy, K. (2008). Is health care getting safer? *BMJ*, 337, a2426.
- Wilson, R., Runciman, W., Gibberd, R., Harrison, B., Newby, L., & Hamilton, J. (1995). The Quality in Australian Health Care Study. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 163, 458-471.
- Zhan, C., & Miller, M.R. (2003). Excess length of stay, charges, and mortality attributable to medical injuries during hospitalization. *JAMA*, 290(14), 1868-1874.